test sulfur removal sorbents in transport-flow regimes. Test objectives are to qualify sorbents for demonstration in commercial-scale Clean Coal Technology (CCT) projects such as the Sierra Pacific Power Company, an Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle (IGCC) technology.

The test reactor is designed as a single pass system to explore various aspects of hot gas desulfurization in the transport regime. The reactor is constructed from 0.334-inch i.d. Incoloy 800 tubing with a nominal 28-foot reaction length. It operates at temperature up to 1500°F (816°C). pressures from 100-600 psig (0.7-4.1 MPa (ga)), a residence times from 2-10 seconds, solids feed rates from 0.5-10 lb/hr (0.23–4.54 kg/hr), gas feed rates from 200–1000 scfh (5.7–28.3 scmh). Preheated gases and solids mix in a nozzle at the bottom of the reactor. Reactant gas enters the nozzle concentrically and countercurrent to the solids flow to promote thorough mixing. The mixture reacts and flows upward in a transport flow regime through the reactor. Five zones of heaters line the vertical section of the reactor and provide temperature control. The reaction can be quenched at either of two vertical injection ports to allow variable reactant residence times. Quenching at the lower port gives a reaction length of 12 feet (3.67 meters) whereas quenching at the upper port provides a reaction length of 28 feet (8.6 meters). Reactor facility investment is approximately \$4 million. Proposals require the Participant to provide a cost estimate and description of work scope that the Participant is proposing to perform, supply, and/or fund. The Participant may propose to compensate METC for work performed by METC, however METC cannot reimburse the Participant for work performed by the Participant. As a minimum, the Participant's contribution shall be: sorbent development and supply, and a portion of sorbent analytical work. Test operation shall be performed by METC personnel; however, Participant personnel may be present during testing and may perform most other test activities. Additionally, the Participant shall describe its qualifications for sorbent development and supply consistent with the CRADA objective and for the work scope proposed to be performed by the Participant. Qualifications for transport reactor design and commercial offering should be provided, if appropriate. Also, the Participant shall describe its long range plan for supplying projected quantities of hot gas desulfurization sorbent to the

marketplace. Product pricing and performance parameters should be provided as a function of market projections. Elaborate proposals are not required nor expected. It is recommended that the proposal not exceed 25 pages.

James J. Grabulis,

Director, Acquisition & Assistance Division, Morgantown Energy Technology Center. [FR Doc. 96–6842 Filed 3–20–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP96-110-000]

Carnegie Interstate Pipeline Company; Notice of Changed Comment Periods to Technical Conference

March 15, 1996.

At the technical conference held on March 5, 1996, in the above-captioned proceeding comment periods were established for parties to respond to issues raised at the technical conference concerning the review of Carnegie Interstate Pipeline Company's (Carnegie) release of its unassigned Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern) capacity. One party has requested additional time to review data related to the filing before it files its initial comments. Accordingly, the comment periods are revised as follows: Initial comments are due by the close of business March 28, 1996; with reply comments due by the close of business April 15, 1996.

All comments should be filed with the Secretary's office and in accordance with the provisions of the Commission's Rule of Practice and Procedure. In particular, 18 FERC 385.2010 (Rule 2010) requires that you serve a copy of the comments to each person whose name appears on the official service list in this proceeding.

For additional information, please contact Bob Sheldon at (202) 208–2273. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-6766 Filed 3-20-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-88-000]

CNG Transmission Corporation; Notice of Technical Conference

March 15, 1996.

Take notice that a technical conference has been scheduled in the above-captioned proceeding for 10:00 a.m. on March 28, 1996, in Room 3M–2A, at the offices of the Federal energy

Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

The purpose of the conference is to discuss matters of interest and concern relating to CNG Transmission
Corporation's proposal to abandon operational capacity in excess of 3.2
MMcf/day at the Johnsonburg M&R
Station located in Elk County,
Pennsylvania, and permission to install flow control devices necessary to ensure the desired level of operation of the facility.

All interested parties are invited to attend. For additional information, interested parties may call Philip J. Veres at (202) 208–0049.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-6772 Filed 3-20-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-245-000]

Northern Natural Gas Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

March 15, 1996.

Take notice that on March 13, 1996, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern), 1111 South 103rd Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68124-1000, filed in Docket No. CP96-245-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to install and operate a new delivery point, the MAPCO delivery point, located in Gage County, Nebraska under Northern's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-401–000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northern proposes to install and operate the MAPCO delivery point to accommodate natural gas deliveries to Mid-America Pipeline Company (Mid-America) under Northern's currently effective interruptible throughput service agreement. Northern states that Mid-America requests the proposed delivery point to provide fuel for its pumping station. The estimated volumes that would be delivered at the MAPCO delivery point are up to 984 MMBtu per day and 76,608 MMBtu on an annual basis. Northern estimates the cost to install the new delivery point as \$68,850. Northern states that Mid-America would reimburse it for the costs of the proposed delivery point.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of

the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–6770 Filed 3–20–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Project No. 3083-072 and 3083-078]

Oklahoma Municipal Power Authority; Notice Shortening Comment Period

March 15, 1996.

On March 14, 1996, the Oklahoma Municipal Power Authority (OMPA) field an offer of settlement in the abovecaptioned together with an unopposed request for shortening of the comment period under Rule 602(f)(2) of the Commission's Rule of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.602(f)(2). OMPA requests shortened comment periods in order to facilitate Commission action on the offer by May 1, 1996, when OMPA is required to begin monitoring and managing its hydroelectric electric operations to maintain water quality standards for dissolved oxygen under its previously approved water quality plan. OMPA requests that the comment period be shortened to March 29, 1996 (15 days) for original comments, and to April 5, 1996 (7 days) for reply comments. For good cause shown, the comment periods are shortened as requested.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–6769 Filed 3–20–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP96-193-000]

Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

March 15, 1996.

Take notice that on February 15, 1996. **Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation** (Texas Eastern), P.O. Box 1642, Houston, Texas 77251-1642, filed in Docket No. CP96-193-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.211) for authorization to construct and operate a delivery point on its facilities in Bucks County, Pennsylvania to make natural gas deliveries of up to 250,000 dt equivalent of natural gas per day on an interruptible basis under Rate Schedule IT-1 to Interstate Energy Company (IEC), wholly-owned subsidiary of Pennsylvania Power & Light Co. (PP&L), for transmission to PP&L for consumption at PP&L's Martins Creek Steam Electric Station, under the blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82–535–000, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Texas Eastern states that the proposed facilities consist of one 12-inch valve and 12-inch check valve each and electronic gas measurement equipment on Texas Eastern's 30-inch Line 19 and 24-inch Line No. 12, along with dual 12-inch meter runs, related equipment and 50 feet of 18-inch pipeline extending from IEC's 18-inch pipeline to Texas Eastern's Line Nos. 12 and 19. Texas Eastern estimates a cost of facilities of \$441,000 which it indicates would be fully reimbursed by IEC.

It is indicated that IEC has requested that Texas Eastern construct and operate the facilities so that IEC may receive natural gas service from Texas Eastern so that IEC may ultimately deliver natural gas service to PP&L's Martins Creek Steam Station in Northampton County, Pennsylvania. It is also indicated that PP&L intends to modify its oil-fired Martins Creek Units 3 and 4 to co-fire these units with natural gas, resulting in reduced carbon dioxide emissions, reduced emissions of sulfur dioxide, reduced nitrous oxide emissions and reduced energy costs. Texas Eastern states that it has been informed by IEC that Martins Creek is not currently, nor has it ever been, served by UGI, Inc, the local

distribution company which holds certificate authority from the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission to provide natural gas service in the vicinity of the power plant. Texas Eastern submits that the delivery point proposed for IEC does not constitute a bypass of UGI.

Texas Eastern states that interruptible transportation service to be rendered to PP&L through the delivery point requested by IEC would be performed using existing capacity on Texas Eastern's system and would have no effect on Texas Eastern's peak day or annual deliveries. It is also stated that the proposal would be accomplished without detriment or disadvantage to Texas Eastern's other customers.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–6771 Filed 3–20–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. ER95-1096-003, et al.]

PacifiCorp Power Marketing, Inc., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

March 14, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. PacifiCorp Power Marketing, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-1096-003]

Take notice that on February 2, 1996, PacifiCorp Power Marketing, Inc. tendered for filing a letter requesting an order addressing the substance of its December 14, 1995, Amended Application in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: March 28, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.