Need and Use of the Information: The information is needed to assist consumers in maintaining healthy dietary practices; to increase regulatory flexibility and support product innovation and provide consumers with an informative nutrition labeling system.

Description of Respondents: Business or other for profit.

Number of Respondents: 100. Frequency of Responses:

Recordkeeping; Reporting: On occasion. Total Burden Hours: 625.

Donald E. Hulcher,

Deputy Departmental Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. 96–6123 Filed 3–13–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-01-M

Agricultural Research Service

Notice of Intent To Grant Exclusive License

AGENCY: Agricultural Research Service,

USDA.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, intends to grant to Biotechnology Research and Development Corporation of Peoria, Illinois, an exclusive license for U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/095,552, filed July 26, 1993, entitled "A Composition Containing 2-Deoxy-D-Glucose and Candida saitoana and Method of Use for the Biological Control of Postharvest Diseases," and U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/ 336,079, filed November 7, 1994, entitled "Bioactive Coating for Harvested Commodities." Notice of Availability for U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/095,552 was published in the Federal Register on September 24, 1993, and Notice of Availability for U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/ 336,079 was published in the Federal Register on April 19, 1995.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 13, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Send Comments to: USDA, ARS, Office of Technology Transfer, Room 415, Building 005, BARC—West, Baltimore Boulevard, Beltsville, Maryland 20705–2350.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: June Blalock of the Office of Technology Transfer at the Beltsville address given above; telephone: 301–504–5989.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Government's patent rights to this invention are assigned to the United States of America, as represented by the Secretary of Agriculture. It is in the

public interest to so license this invention as Biotechnology Research and Development Corporation has submitted a complete and sufficient application for a license. The prospective exclusive license will be royalty-bearing and will comply with the terms and conditions of 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR 404.7. The prospective exclusive license may be granted unless, within sixty days from the date of this published Notice, the Agricultural Research Service receives written evidence and argument which establishes that the grant of the license would not be consistent with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR 404.7.

R. M. Parry, Jr.,

Assistant Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96–6058 Filed 3–13–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–03–M

Forest Service

Rocky Mountain Region; Environmental Impact Statement for the Stevens Gulch Road and Related Timber Sales, Grand Mesa, Uncompahgre and Gunnison National Forests, Delta County, CO

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of intent to supplement a final environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The Forest Service will prepare a supplement to the final environmental impact statement for the Stevens Gulch Road and Related Timber Sales located on the Gunnison National Forest, Paonia Ranger District.

DATES: Comments concerning the scope and issues of the analysis should be received 45 days after publication of the Draft Supplement to the Final EIS: April, 1996. Final Supplement to the Final EIS: June, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to Ray Kingston, District Ranger, Paonia Ranger District, P.O. Box 1030, Paonia, CO 81428.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carol McKenzie, Silviculturist, Forest Supervisor's Office, 2250 Hwy 50, Delta, CO, Gunnison, CO 81416, (970) 874– 7691 or Andrea Wang, Wildlife Biologist, Paonia Ranger District, P.O. Box 1030, Paonia, CO 81428, (970) 527– 4131.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Forest Service is proposing to prepare a supplement to the final environmental impact statement for the Stevens Gulch Road and Related Timber Sales. In accordance with FSH 1909.15 the final environmental impact statement for the

Stevens Gulch road and Related Timber Sales has been reviewed by an interdisciplinary team. Significant new information have been discovered. The Forest Service is considering alternatives to change the Record of Decision in relation to the timing of use of existing and future roads within the Stevens Gulch project area used by active timber sales; and, mitigation measures to protect species identified in the updated Biological Evaluation and Biological Assessment. The scope and analysis of the proposed supplement to the Final EIS will be limited to these alternatives and mitigation measures.

The original Notice of Intent for this project was published in the Federal Register Vol. 108, No. 49, June 4, 1985 Page 23092. A Record of Decision and Final environmental impact statement were approved September 12, 1986 by then Forest Supervisor Evans. This decision was upheld on August 7, 1987 by then Regional Forester Cargill. A second level appeal was then filed on September 8, 1987 to the Chief of the U.S. Forest Service. Regional Forester Cargill's decision was upheld on October 24, 1988.

The comment period on the draft supplemental final environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency's notice of availability appears in the Federal Register.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft supplemental environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could have been raised at the draft stage may be waived if not raised until after completion of the final supplemental environmental impact statement. City of Angoon v. Hodel, (9th Circuit, 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45 day comment period so that substantive comments and objections re made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final supplement to the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft supplement to the final environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft supplement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the draft supplement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on **Environmental Quality Regulations for** implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

The responsible official for this supplement to the final environmental impact statement is Robert L. Storch, Forest Supervisor, Grand Mesa, Uncompander and Gunnison National Forests, 2250 Highway 50, Delta, Colorado 81416.

Dated: March 1, 1996. Robert L. Storch, Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 96-6115 Filed 3-13-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce has submitted the following information collection requirement to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An emergency clearance is being requested with a response date of March 15, 1996, from OMB.

Agency: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

Title: The GLOBE Program. Agency Number: None. OMB Number: None.

Type of Request: New Collection— Emergency Processing Requested. Burden: 798 hours.

Number of Respondents: 1,521.

Avg Hours Per Response: Ranges between 19 and 30 minutes depending on the survey version being completed.

Needs and Uses: Global Leaning and Observations to Benefit the Environment (GLOBE) is an international environmental science and education program that joins students, teachers, and scientists from around the world to study the global environment. Information is needed to guide planning for the rapid growth of the GLOBE Program. Participating teachers and

students will be requested to complete a survey so that necessary program implementation changes are made before substantial growth occurs.

Affected Public: Not-for-profit institutions, individuals.

Frequency: One-time. Should the survey be continued, a follow-up submission will be made.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary. OMB Desk Officer: Victoria Wassmer, (202) 395–7340.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Linda Engelmeier, DOC's Acting Forms Clearance Officer, (202) 482–3272, Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent to Victoria Wassmer, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503.

Dated: March 8, 1996.

Linda Engelmeier,

Acting Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of Management and Organization.

[FR Doc. 96–6037 Filed 3–13–96; 8:45 am]

Bureau of Export Administration

Requests for the Appointment of a Technical Advisory Committee; Proposed Collection; Comment Request

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before May 13, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Linda Engelmeier, Acting Departmental Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Stephen Baker, U.S. Dept. of Commerce, 14th & Constitution Ave., NW, Room 6877, Washington, DC, 20230.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The Technical Advisory Committees (TACs) were established to advise and assist the U.S. Government on export control matters. In managing the operations of the TACs, the Department of Commerce is responsible for implementing the policies and procedures prescribed in the Federal Advisory Committee Act. The TACs advise the government on proposed revisions to export control lists, licensing procedures, assessments of the foreign availability of controlled products, and export control regulations.

Any producers which are subject to export controls because of their significance to the national security of the U.S., or are being considered for such controls, may request the Secretary of Commerce to establish a TAC. Such requests must include a description of the articles, materials, or supplies including technical data, and other information supporting why a committee should be established. The information will be used by BXA to determine whether to establish a TAC.

II. Method of Collection

Written request to BXA.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0694–0100. *Form Number:* None.

Type of Review: Regular submission for extension of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Individuals, businesses or other for-profit and not-for-profit institutions.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 1. Estimated Time Per Response: 5 hours per response.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 5.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$200 (Respondents will not need to purchase equipment or materials to provide information).

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; © ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the