

National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves a special local regulation on Pensacola Bay extending in a 300 yard radius from position 30°24'16.4" N, 87°12'55.2" W in Pensacola, FL. It is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L61 of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 01. A Record of Environmental Consideration (REC) supporting this determination would be available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

#### G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places, or vessels.

#### V. Public Participation and Request for Comments

We view public participation as essential to effective rulemaking, and will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. Your comment can help shape the outcome of this rulemaking. If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking, indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation.

We encourage you to submit comments through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>. If your material cannot be submitted using <http://www.regulations.gov>, contact the person in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document for alternate instructions.

We accept anonymous comments. All comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov> and will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and the docket, visit <http://www.regulations.gov/privacyNotice>.

Documents mentioned in this NPRM as being available in the docket, and all public comments, will be in our online

docket at <http://www.regulations.gov> and can be viewed by following that website's instructions. Additionally, if you go to the online docket and sign up for email alerts, you will be notified when comments are posted or a final rule is published.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 100

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 100 as follows:

#### PART 100—SAFETY OF LIFE ON NAVIGABLE WATERS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 100 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1233; 33 CFR 1.05–1.

■ 2. Add § 100.35T08–0103 to read as follows:

##### § 100.35T08–0103 Special Local Regulation; Pensacola Bay, Pensacola, FL

(a) *Regulated area.* All navigable waters of Pensacola Bay extending in a 300 yard radius from position 30°24'16.4" N, 87°12'55.2" W in Pensacola, FL.

(b) *Enforcement period.* This section will be enforced on April 29, 2018.

(c) *Special local regulations.*

(1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 100.801, entry into, transit within or through, or exit from this area is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Sector Mobile (COTP) or a designated representative. A designated representative may be a Patrol Commander (PATCOM). The PATCOM will be aboard either a Coast Guard or Coast Guard Auxiliary vessel. The Patrol Commander may be contacted on Channel 16 VHF-FM (156.8 MHz) by the call sign "PATCOM".

(2) All persons and vessels not registered with the sponsor as participants or official patrol vessels are considered spectators. The "official patrol vessels" consist of any Coast Guard, state, or local law enforcement and sponsor provided vessels assigned or approved by the Captain of the Port (COTP) Mobile to patrol the regulated area.

(3) Spectator vessels desiring to transit the regulated area may do so only with prior approval of the Patrol Commander and when so directed by that officer will be operated at a minimum safe navigation speed in a manner which will not endanger participants in the regulated area or any other vessels.

(4) No spectator vessel shall anchor, block, loiter, or impede the through transit of participants or official patrol vessels in the regulated area during the effective dates and times, unless cleared for entry by or through an official patrol vessel.

(5) Any spectator vessel may anchor outside the regulated area, but may not anchor in, block, or loiter in a navigable channel. Spectator vessels may be moored to a waterfront facility within the regulated area in such a way that they shall not interfere with the progress of the event. Such mooring must be complete at least 30 minutes prior to the establishment of the regulated area and remain moored through the duration of the event.

(6) The COTP or a designated representative may forbid and control the movement of all vessels in the regulated area. When hailed or signaled by an official patrol vessel, a vessel shall come to an immediate stop and comply with the directions given. Failure to do so may result in expulsion from the area, citation for failure to comply, or both.

(7) The COTP or a designated representative may terminate the event or the operation of any vessel at any time it is deemed necessary for the protection of life or property.

(8) The COTP or a designated representative will terminate enforcement of the special local regulations at the conclusion of the event.

(d) *Informational broadcasts.* The COTP or a designated representative will inform the public through Broadcast Notices to Mariners of the enforcement period for the temporary safety zone as well as any changes in the planned schedule

Dated: February 26, 2018.

**M.R. McLellan,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Sector Mobile.*

[FR Doc. 2018–04503 Filed 3–5–18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110–04–P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

##### Coast Guard

##### 33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG–2018–0029]

RIN 1625–AA00

**Safety Zone for Fireworks Display; Patapsco River, Inner Harbor, Baltimore, MD**

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard proposes to establish a temporary safety zone for certain waters of the Patapsco River. This action is necessary to provide for the safety of life on the navigable waters of the Inner Harbor at Baltimore, MD, during a fireworks display on April 21, 2018. If necessary, due to inclement weather, the event will be rescheduled to April 22, 2018. This action will prohibit persons and vessels from entering the safety zone unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Maryland-National Capital Region or a designated representative. We invite your comments on this proposed rulemaking.

**DATES:** Comments and related material must be received by the Coast Guard on or before April 5, 2018.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG–2018–0029 using the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>. See the “Public Participation and Request for Comments” portion of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for further instructions on submitting comments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions about this proposed rulemaking, call or email Mr. Ronald Houck, Sector Maryland-National Capital Region Waterways Management Division, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone 410–576–2674, email [Ronald.L.Houck@uscg.mil](mailto:Ronald.L.Houck@uscg.mil).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****I. Table of Abbreviations**

CFR Code of Federal Regulations  
COTP Captain of the Port  
DHS Department of Homeland Security  
FR Federal Register  
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking  
§ Section  
U.S.C. United States Code

**II. Background, Purpose, and Legal Basis**

On December 15, 2017, the Baltimore Office of Promotion and The Arts notified the Coast Guard that it will be conducting a fireworks display from 11:59 p.m. on April 21, 2018, to 12:06 a.m. on April 22, 2018, or if necessary, due to inclement weather, from 11:59 p.m. on April 22, 2018 to 12:06 a.m. on April 23, 2018. Final details of the event were received by the Coast Guard on January 30, 2018. The public fireworks display will be conducted by Fireworks by Grucci, Inc., and launched from five floating platforms located within the waters of Inner Harbor Baltimore,

between Inner Harbor Pier 3 and Inner Harbor Pier 5 in Baltimore, MD. Hazards from firework displays include accidental discharge of fireworks, dangerous projectiles, and falling hot embers or other debris. The COTP has determined that potential hazards associated with the fireworks to be used in this display would be a safety concern for anyone within 75 yards of each of the five fireworks discharge sites.

The purpose of this rulemaking is to ensure the safety of persons and vessels on the navigable waters of the Inner Harbor before, during, and after the scheduled event. The Coast Guard proposes this rulemaking under authority in 33 U.S.C. 1231.

**III. Discussion of Proposed Rule**

The COTP proposes to establish a safety zone from 11 p.m. on April 21, 2018, until 1 a.m. on April 22, 2018, or if necessary, due to inclement weather, from 11 p.m. on April 22, 2018, until 1 a.m. on April 23, 2018. The safety zone will cover all navigable waters of the Patapsco River, Inner Harbor, from shoreline to shoreline, within an area bounded on the east by longitude 076°36′12″ W, and bounded on the west by the Inner Harbor west bulkhead, located at Baltimore, MD. The duration of the zone is intended to ensure the safety of persons and vessels on the specified navigable waters before, during, and after the scheduled 11:59 p.m. fireworks display. No vessel or person will be permitted to enter the safety zone without obtaining permission from the COTP or a designated representative. The regulatory text we are proposing appears at the end of this document.

**IV. Regulatory Analyses**

We developed this proposed rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

**A. Regulatory Planning and Review**

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. Executive Order 13771 directs agencies to control regulatory costs through a budgeting process. This NPRM has not been designated a “significant regulatory action,” under Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, the NPRM has not been reviewed by the Office of

Management and Budget (OMB), and pursuant to OMB guidance it is exempt from the requirements of Executive Order 13771.

This regulatory action determination is based on the size, location, duration, and time-of-day of the safety zone. Although this safety zone would restrict the entire width of the waterway, it would impact a small designated area of the Inner Harbor for two hours during the evening when vessel traffic is normally low. The Coast Guard will issue a Broadcast Notice to Mariners via VHF–FM marine band channel 16 to provide information about the safety zone.

**B. Impact on Small Entities**

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

While some owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the safety zone may be small entities, for the reasons stated in section IV.A above this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see **ADDRESSES**) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this proposed rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

### C. Collection of Information

This proposed rule would not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

### D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. If you believe this proposed rule has implications for federalism or Indian tribes, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

### E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule would not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

### F. Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.ID, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This proposed rule

involves a safety zone lasting two hours that would prohibit vessel movement within the Inner Harbor at Baltimore, MD. Normally such actions are categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L60(a) of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 01. A preliminary Record of Environmental Consideration supporting this determination is available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

### G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places, or vessels.

### V. Public Participation and Request for Comments

We view public participation as essential to effective rulemaking, and will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. Your comment can help shape the outcome of this rulemaking. If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking, indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation.

We encourage you to submit comments through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>. If your material cannot be submitted using <http://www.regulations.gov>, contact the person in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document for alternate instructions.

We accept anonymous comments. All comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov> and will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and the docket, visit <http://www.regulations.gov/privacyNotice>.

Documents mentioned in this NPRM as being available in the docket, and all public comments, will be in our online docket at <http://www.regulations.gov> and can be viewed by following that website's instructions. Additionally, if you go to the online docket and sign up for email alerts, you will be notified when comments are posted or a final rule is published.

### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. Add § 165.T05–0029 to read as follows:

#### § 165.T05–0029 Safety Zone for Fireworks Display; Patapsco River, Inner Harbor, Baltimore, MD.

(a) *Definitions.* As used in this section:

(1) *Captain of the Port Maryland-National Capital Region* means the Commander, U.S. Coast Guard Sector Maryland-National Capital Region.

(2) *Designated representative* means any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant, or petty officer who has been authorized by the Captain of the Port Maryland-National Capital Region to assist in enforcement of the safety zone described in paragraph (a) of this section.

(b) *Location.* The following area is a safety zone: All navigable waters of the Patapsco River, Inner Harbor, from shoreline to shoreline, within an area bounded on the east by longitude 076°36'12" W, and bounded on the west by the Inner Harbor west bulkhead, located at Baltimore, MD. All coordinates refer to datum NAD 1983.

(c) *Regulations.* The general safety zone regulations found in 33 CFR part 165, subpart C apply to the safety zone created by this section.

(1) All persons are required to comply with the general regulations governing safety zones found in 33 CFR 165.23.

(2) Entry into or remaining in this safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Coast Guard Captain of the Port Maryland-National Capital Region. All vessels underway within this safety zone at the time it is implemented are to depart the zone.

(3) Persons desiring to transit the area of the safety zone shall obtain authorization from the Captain of the Port Maryland-National Capital Region or designated representative. To request permission to transit the area, the Captain of the Port Maryland-National

Capital Region and or designated representatives can be contacted at telephone number 410–576–2693 or on marine band radio VHF–FM channel 16 (156.8 MHz). The Coast Guard vessels enforcing this section can be contacted on marine band radio VHF–FM channel 16 (156.8 MHz). Upon being hailed by a U.S. Coast Guard vessel, or other Federal, State, or local agency vessel, by siren, radio, flashing light, or other means, the operator of a vessel shall proceed as directed. If permission is granted to enter the safety zone, all persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Captain of the Port Maryland–National Capital Region or designated representative and proceed as directed while within the zone.

(4) *Enforcement officials.* The U.S. Coast Guard may be assisted in the patrol and enforcement of the zone by Federal, State, and local agencies.

(d) *Enforcement period.* This section will be enforced from 11 p.m. on April 21, 2018, until 1 a.m. on April 22, 2018, or if necessary, due to inclement weather, from 11 p.m. on April 22, 2018, until 1 a.m. on April 23, 2018.

Dated: February 22, 2018.

**Lonnie P. Harrison, Jr.,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Maryland–National Capital Region.*

[FR Doc. 2018–04487 Filed 3–5–18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110–04–P**

## PRESIDIO TRUST

### 36 CFR Parts 1007, 1008, 1009, and 1011

**RIN 3212–AA08; 3212–AA09; 3212–AA10; 3212–AA11**

### Freedom of Information Act; Privacy Act; Federal Tort Claims Act; Debt Collection

**AGENCY:** Presidio Trust.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Presidio Trust (Trust) proposes revisions to its regulations addressing requests under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), requests under the Privacy Act, administrative claims under the Federal Tort Claims Act (FTCA), and Debt Collection. The Trust is revising these regulations to update and streamline the language of several procedural provisions, and to reflect amendments pursuant to the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016 and the Digital Accountability and Transparency Act of 2014.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by the Trust on or before April 24, 2018. Comments received by mail will be considered timely if they are postmarked on or before that date.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- *Email:* [scarp@presidiotrust.gov](mailto:scarp@presidiotrust.gov).

Include “Proposed Rule” in the subject line of the message.

- *Mail:* Steve Carp, Legal Analyst, Presidio Trust, 103 Montgomery Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129–0052.

- *Hand Delivery/Courier:* Steve Carp, Legal Analyst, Presidio Trust, 103 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94129–0052.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steve Carp, Legal Analyst, 415.561.5300, [scarp@presidiotrust.gov](mailto:scarp@presidiotrust.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

Section 104(j) of the Presidio Trust Act (16 U.S.C. 460bb appendix) authorizes the Trust to prescribe regulations governing the manner in which it conducts its business and exercises its powers. This rulemaking revises the Trust’s administrative regulations at 36 CFR part 1007 (FOIA), part 1008 (Privacy Act), part 1009 (FTCA), and part 1011 (Debt Collection), as described below. In addition, the Trust has made minor ministerial changes and corrected typographical errors to these parts of its regulations.

#### Proposed Revisions to 36 CFR Part 1007 (Requests Under the FOIA)

The Trust adopted FOIA regulations effective January 29, 1999. The FOIA Improvement Act of 2016 (Act) amended the FOIA on June 30, 2016. Those FOIA amendments require federal agencies to review and update their FOIA regulations in accordance with the provisions of the Act. The Trust proposes revisions to conform its regulations to the Act, as well as to the Department of Justice’s revised FOIA regulations. Specifically, this rulemaking proposes revisions to § 1007.1 (Purpose and scope) by adding references to the text of FOIA and the Trust’s Privacy Act regulations; § 1007.2 (Records available) by adopting a policy of presumption of openness and the “foreseeable harm” standard; § 1007.3 (Requests for records) by providing a requester an opportunity to consult with the Trust’s FOIA Officer to perfect a request and adding procedures to verify the requester’s identity; § 1007.4 (Preliminary processing of requests) by specifying the date used for searching, adding consultation and referral

procedures for requests of records of other departments and agencies, and adding procedures to notify submitters and requesters of actions taken with respect to requests containing commercial or financial information; § 1007.5 (Action on initial requests) by specifying decisions that constitute adverse determinations of requests, adding procedures for notifying requesters of dispute resolution services, and adding types of requests that would qualify for expedited processing; § 1007.7 (Appeals) by changing the time period for requesters to file an administrative appeal from 20 working days to 90 calendar days and requiring an appeal of an adverse determination before seeking a court order; § 1007.8 (Action on appeals) by adding procedures for notifying requesters of dispute resolution services; and § 1007.9 (Fees) by adding definitions for the terms “direct costs” and “review.”

The Trust also proposes revisions to § 1007.9 to update the fees charged by the Trust for processing FOIA requests. The Trust previously published its fees on December 2, 1998 in its Interim Compendium. Under the proposed revisions to § 1007.9, the Trust’s Executive Director will set fees for processing these requests and will publish the fees on the Trust’s website instead of the Interim Compendium. With these changes, the fees previously listed in § 1007.9 of the Interim Compendium will no longer be effective.

#### Proposed Revisions to 36 CFR Part 1008 (Requests Under the Privacy Act)

The Trust adopted Privacy Act regulations effective January 29, 1999. There has been little statutory change to the Privacy Act of 1974 since the Trust adopted its Privacy Act regulations. However, the Trust proposes revisions to conform its regulations to guidance issued by the Department of Justice and the Office of Management and Budget. Specifically, this rulemaking proposes revisions to § 1008.2 (Definitions) by changing the definition of “individual”; § 1008.9 (Disclosure of records) by adding procedures for notice of court-ordered and emergency disclosures; and §§ 1008.11 (Request for notification of existence of records: Submission), 1008.14 (Requests for access to records: Submission), and 1008.19 (Petitions for amendment: Submission and form) by adding procedures to verify the requester’s identity.

The Trust also proposes revisions to § 1008.15 (Requests for access to records: Initial decision) to update the fees charged by the Trust for processing