

non-market economy producer purchases an input from market economy suppliers and pays for that input in a market economy currency, the Department normally uses the actual price paid for these inputs, where possible. *See* 19 CFR 351.408(c)(1). Because Shanghai Fortune provided sufficient documentation on the record of this review demonstrating that the phthalic anhydride used was sourced from a market economy and paid for in a market economy currency, we are using the actual average price paid by Shanghai Fortune for this input for the final results. For further details, *see* Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 3, and Memorandum to the File From Jennifer Moats Through Wendy Frankel "Analysis for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Saccharin from the People's Republic of China: Shanghai Fortune Chemical Co., Ltd. ("Shanghai Fortune Final Analysis Memo")," dated February 6, 2006, which is available in the CRU in Room B-099 of the main Commerce building.

Since our issuance of the *Preliminary Results*, we have reviewed our calculations of surrogate values and found some to contain clerical errors, which we have corrected for the *Final Results*. These values are for the products sulphuric acid, hydrochloric acid, sodium bicarbonate, sodium hypochlorite, cardboard drums and cartons, inner plastic bags, plastic film, and pallets. For further details, *see* "Factors Valuations for the Final Results of the Administrative Review," dated February 6, 2006.

For further information detailing all of the changes to Shanghai Fortune's calculations in the final results, *see* Shanghai Fortune Final Analysis Memo.

Final Results of the Review

The Department has determined that the following final dumping margins exist for the period December 27, 2002, through June 30, 2004:

SACCHARIN FROM THE PRC

Producer/Manufacturer/ Exporter	Weighted-Average Margin (Percent)
Shanghai Fortune Chemical Co., Ltd.	17.05%
PRC-Wide Entity ²	329.33%

²The PRC-wide entity includes: Suzhou Fine Chemicals Group Co., Kaifeng Xinghua Fine Chemical Factory, Tianjin North Food, Tianjin Changjie Chemical Co., Ltd., and Beta Udyog Ltd.

The Department will disclose calculations performed for these final results to the parties within five days of

the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Duty Assessment and Cash-Deposit Requirements

The Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of the final results of this review. For assessment purposes, we calculated exporter/importer (or customer)-specific assessment rates or values for merchandise subject to this review. Because Shanghai Fortune reported entered values, for these final results, we divided the total dumping margins for the reviewed sales by the total entered value for the reviewed sales for each applicable importer. For duty-assessment rates calculated on this basis, we will direct CBP to assess the resulting percentage margin against the entered customs values for the subject merchandise on each of the applicable importer's/customer's entries during the review period.

Further, the following cash-deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results of the administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For subject merchandise exported by Shanghai Fortune, the cash-deposit rate will be 17.05 percent; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above that have separate rates, the cash-deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all other PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash-deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate of 329.33 percent; (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise, the cash-deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that exporter. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Notification of Interested Parties

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the review period. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.402(f)(3), failure to comply

with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO as explained in the administrative protective order itself. *See* 19 CFR 351.306. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. *See* 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These final results of administrative review and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: February 6, 2006.

David M. Spooner,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix 1

Decision Memorandum

1. Bona Fides
 2. By-Product Offset
 3. Valuation of Phthalic Anhydride
 4. Valuation of Brokerage and Handling
 5. Valuation of Ammonia Water
 6. Valuation of Liquid Chlorine
 7. Valuation of Sulfur Dioxide
 8. Valuation of Ocean Freight
 9. Valuation of Steam Coal
 10. Valuation of Activated Carbon
- [FR Doc. E6-1985 Filed 2-10-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-806]

Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Silicon Metal from Brazil

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 8, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the administrative review of the order on silicon metal from Brazil. *See Silicon Metal from Brazil: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 70 FR 45665 (August 8, 2005) ("Preliminary Results"). This review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the

subject merchandise, Camargo Correa Metais (CCM). The merchandise covered by this order is silicon metal from Brazil as described in the "Scope of the Order" section of this notice. The period of review ("POR") is July 1, 2003, through June 30, 2004. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. Based upon our analysis of the comments received, we made changes to the margin calculation. Therefore, the final results have changed from the preliminary results of this review. The final weight-averaged dumping margin is listed below in the section titled "Final Results of Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 13, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Maisha Cryor, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5831.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department's preliminary results of review were published on August 8, 2005. See *Preliminary Results*. As provided in section 782(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), we verified sales and cost information provided by CCM, from September 12, 2005, through September 23, 2005, using standard verification procedures such as the examination of relevant sales and financial records. Our verification results are outlined in the public and proprietary versions of our verification reports, which are on file in the Central Records Unit ("CRU") in room B-099 of the main Commerce building. We invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results* and our verification findings. We received written comments on November 14, 2005, from Globe Metallurgical (the petitioner). On December 9, 2005, we received rebuttal comments from CCM, the respondent. On January 26, 2006, the Department held a public hearing concerning these final results. The Department is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order is silicon metal from Brazil containing at least 96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight. Also covered by this order is silicon metal from Brazil containing between 89.00 and 96.00 percent silicon by weight but which contains more aluminum than the silicon metal

containing at least 96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight. Silicon metal is currently provided for under subheadings 2804.69.10 and 2804.69.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") as a chemical product, but is commonly referred to as a metal. Semiconductor grade silicon (silicon metal containing by weight not less than 99.99 percent silicon and provided for in subheading 2804.61.00 of the HTSUS) is not subject to the order. Although the HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written description remains dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs, as well as the Department's findings, in this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Administrative Review of Silicon Metal from Brazil ("Decision Memorandum"), dated February 6, 2006, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues raised, all of which we have responded to in the Decision Memorandum, is appended to this notice. The Decision Memorandum is on file in the CRU in room B-099 of the main Commerce building, and can also be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes in the calculations for the final dumping margin. The changes are discussed in detail in the Decision Memorandum. Additional detail regarding these changes is provided in the Memorandum from Maisha Cryor, Senior International Trade Compliance Analyst, to Thomas F. Futtner, Acting Office Director, "Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Silicon Metal from Brazil; Calculation Memorandum for the Final Results," dated February 6, 2006, and the Memorandum from Michael P. Harrison, Senior Accountant, to Neal M. Halper, Director, Office of Accounting, "Cost of Production and Constructed Value Calculation Adjustments for the Final Results," dated February 6, 2005.

Final Results of Review

As a result of our review, we determine that the following weighted-average margin exists for the period July 1, 2003, through June 30, 2004:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Weighted- Average Margin (Percentage)
Camargo Correa Metais	0.00 percent

Assessment Rates

The Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries, pursuant to section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b). The Department calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales for each importer to the total entered value of the examined sales for that importer. Where the importer-specific assessment rate is above *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on that importer's entries of subject merchandise produced by CCM. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of these final results of review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of silicon metal from Brazil entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, as provided by section 751(a) of the Act: (1) for CCM we will instruct CBP not to collect cash deposits; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in the investigation, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate from the most recently completed review; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be that established for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will be 91.06 percent, the "All Others" rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant

entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred, and in the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also is the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 3, 2006.

David M. Spooner,
Assistant Secretary for Import
Administration.

APPENDIX – Issues in Decision Memorandum

I. Programa de Integracao Social and
Contribuicao do Financiamento Social
Taxes

II. Per–Unit Cost Calculation
III. General & Administrative Expense/
Ratio

IV. Financial Expenses
V. Depreciation of Deferred Charges for
Restarting Idled Furnaces
VI. Depreciation of Idled Assets
VII. Taxes Included in Constructed
Value

[FR Doc. E6–1987 Filed 2–10–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–583–831]

Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Taiwan; Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 9, 2005, the
Department of Commerce (the
Department) published in the **Federal
Register** the preliminary results and
partial rescission of the administrative
review of the antidumping duty order
on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils

from Taiwan. This review covers 16
manufacturers/exporters. The period of
review (POR) is July 1, 2003, through
June 30, 2004.

We provided interested parties with
an opportunity to comment on the
preliminary results of review. After
analyzing the comments received, we
made changes to the margin calculations
for two respondents, Chia Far Industrial
Factory Co., Ltd. (Chia Far) and Yieh
United Steel Corporation (YUSCO).
Therefore, the final results of review
differ from the preliminary results of
review. The final weighted-average
dumping margins for the reviewed firms
are listed below in the section entitled
“Final Results of Review.”

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 13, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Melissa Blackledge or Karine Gziryan,
AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import
Administration, International Trade
Administration, U.S. Department of
Commerce, 14th and Constitution
Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230;
telephone: (202) 482–3518 or (202) 482–
4081, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The following events occurred after
the Department published the
preliminary results of the instant
administrative review in the **Federal
Register**. See *Stainless Steel Sheet and
Strip in Coils from Taiwan: Preliminary
Results and Partial Rescission of
Antidumping Duty Administrative
Review*, 70 FR 46137 (August 9, 2005)
(*Preliminary Results*). In response to the
Department's invitation to comment on
the *Preliminary Results*, the petitioners¹
filed case briefs on September 8, 2005,
and September 12, 2005. Chia Far filed
case brief on September 12, 2005.
YUSCO filed rebuttal brief on
September 13, 2005, while the
petitioners and Chia Far filed rebuttal
brief on September 19, 2005. On
November 16, 2005, the Department
extended the time limit for completing
the final results of review until February
5, 2006. See *Stainless Steel Sheet and
Strip in Coils From Taiwan: Extension
of Time Limit for Final Results of
Antidumping Duty Administrative
Review*, 70 FR 69514 (November 16,
2005).

Period of Review

The POR is July 1, 2003, through June
30, 2004.

¹ The petitioners are Allegheny Ludlum, AK Steel
Corporation, Butler Armco Independent Union, J&L
Specialty Steel, Inc., United Steelworks of America,
AFL-CIO/CLC, and Zanesville Armco Independent
Organization (collectively, “petitioners”).

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are
certain stainless steel sheet and strip in
coils. Stainless steel is an alloy steel
containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or
less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more
of chromium, with or without other
elements. The subject sheet and strip is
a flat-rolled product in coils that is
greater than 9.5 mm in width and less
than 4.75 mm in thickness, and that is
annealed or otherwise heat treated and
pickled or otherwise descaled. The
subject sheet and strip may also be
further processed (*e.g.*, cold-rolled,
polished, aluminized, coated, *etc.*)
provided that it maintains the specific
dimensions of sheet and strip following
such processing.

The merchandise subject to the order
is classified in the *Harmonized Tariff
Schedule of the United States* (HTS) at
subheadings: 7219.13.0031,
7219.13.0051, 7219.13.0071,
7219.1300.81², 7219.14.0030,
7219.14.0065, 7219.14.0090,
7219.32.0005, 7219.32.0020,
7219.32.0025, 7219.32.0035,
7219.32.0036, 7219.32.0038,
7219.32.0042, 7219.32.0044,
7219.33.0005, 7219.33.0020,
7219.33.0025, 7219.33.0035,
7219.33.0036, 7219.33.0038,
7219.33.0042, 7219.33.0044,
7219.34.0005, 7219.34.0020,
7219.34.0025, 7219.34.0030,
7219.34.0035, 7219.35.0005,
7219.35.0015, 7219.35.0030,
7219.35.0035, 7219.90.0010,
7219.90.0020, 7219.90.0025,
7219.90.0060, 7219.90.0080,
7220.12.1000, 7220.12.5000,
7220.20.1010, 7220.20.1015,
7220.20.1060, 7220.20.1080,
7220.20.6005, 7220.20.6010,
7220.20.6015, 7220.20.6060,
7220.20.6080, 7220.20.7005,
7220.20.7010, 7220.20.7015,
7220.20.7060, 7220.20.7080,
7220.20.8000, 7220.20.9030,
7220.20.9060, 7220.90.0010,
7220.90.0015, 7220.90.0060, and
7220.90.0080. Although the HTS
subheadings are provided for
convenience and customs purposes, the
Department's written description of the
merchandise under the order is
dispositive.

Excluded from the scope of the order
are the following: (1) sheet and strip that
is not annealed or otherwise heat treated
and pickled or otherwise descaled, (2)
sheet and strip that is cut to length, (3)
plate (*i.e.*, flat-rolled stainless steel

² Due to changes to the HTS numbers in 2001,
7219.13.0030, 7219.13.0050, 7219.13.0070, and
7219.13.0080 are now 7219.13.0031, 7219.13.0051,
7219.13.0071, and 7219.13.0081, respectively.