

CBP to liquidate, without regard to antidumping duties, shipments of corrosion-resistant steel meeting the specifications of the product in question entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after August 1, 2005. We will also instruct CBP to pay interest on such refunds in accordance with section 778 of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(g)(4).

This changed circumstance review, partial revocation of antidumping duty order, and notice are in accordance with sections 751(b) and (d), 782(h) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and section 351.216(e) and 351.222(g)(3)(vii) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: November 6, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-19109 Filed 11-9-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-863]

Honey from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 13, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Helen Kramer or Judy Lao, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202-482-0405 and 202-482-7924, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 31, 2006, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the initiation of its new shipper antidumping duty reviews of honey from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") for four companies, covering the period of December 1, 2004, through November 30, 2005. *See Honey from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Reviews*, 71 FR 5051 (January 31, 2006). On February 1, 2006, the Department published the initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on honey from

the PRC covering the period December 1, 2004, through November 30, 2005. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Review and Request for Revocation in Part*, 71 FR 5241 (February 1, 2006). On July 3, 2006, the Department extended the preliminary results for the new shipper review by 120 days. *See Honey from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the New Shipper Review*, 71 FR 37904 (July 3, 2006). On July 12, 2006, Tianjin Eulia Honey Co., Ltd., one of the new shipper companies in this proceeding, withdrew its request for a new shipper review. The Department rescinded the review for Tianjin Eulia Honey Co., Ltd. on July 31, 2006. *See Honey from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 71 FR 43110 (July 31, 2006). On August 16, 2006, the Department extended the preliminary results for the administrative review by 80 days. *See Honey from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 71 FR 47170 (August 16, 2006). The preliminary results for the new shipper reviews and the administrative review are currently due no later than November 21, 2006.

On October 25, 2006, the Department received a letter from counsel to Inner Mongolia Altin Bee-Keeping Co., Ltd., Dongtai Peak Honey Industry Co., Ltd, and Qinhuangdao Municipal Dafeng Industrial Co., Ltd. agreeing to waive the new shipper time limits in accordance with 19 CFR § 351.214(j)(3). Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR § 351.214(j)(3), on October 25, 2006, the Department acknowledged respondents' waiver of the new shipper review time limits and aligned the new shipper reviews with the administrative review. *See* Department's Memo to All Interested Parties dated October 25, 2006, in which the Department acknowledged that all three remaining new shipper companies waived the new shipper time limits, and the Department aligned the current new shipper reviews with the current administrative review.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department shall make a preliminary determination in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Act further provides that the

Department may extend that 245-day period to 365 days if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period. *See* section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Completion of the preliminary results for the administrative review within the 245-day period is not practicable. The administrative review and new shipper review cover six companies involving complex issues regarding surrogate values. The Department is also required to gather and analyze a significant amount of information pertaining to each company's sales and production processes. Additionally, the Department only recently received information on appropriate surrogate values from both respondents and petitioners. *See* Submission from Petitioners re: Surrogate Values for the Factors of Production in the 8th New Shipper Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order for Honey from the PRC, dated September 22, 2006; *see also* Submission from Respondents regarding Surrogate Values, dated September 20, 2006; *see also* Rebuttal Comments from Petitioners and Respondents on Proposed Surrogate Value Data, dated October 10, 2006, and October 12, 2006, respectively. The Department requires further time to review the data contained in these submissions for consideration in the preliminary results of these new shipper and administrative reviews. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for completion of the preliminary results of this review by 30 days, until December 21, 2006.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: November 3, 2006.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-19113 Filed 11-9-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-507-601]

Certain In-shell Roasted Pistachios from the Islamic Republic of Iran: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 7, 2006, the Department of Commerce (the

Department) published the preliminary results in the countervailing duty (CVD) administrative review of certain in-shell roasted pistachios from Iran. The Department has now completed this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Based on information received since the preliminary results and our analysis of the comments received, the Department has not revised the net subsidy rate for Tehran Negah Nima Trading Company, Inc., trading as Nima Trading Company (Nima), the respondent company in this proceeding. The final net subsidy rate for the reviewed company is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 13, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Darla Brown, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 4012, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 7, 2006, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results in the CVD review of certain in-shell roasted pistachios from Iran. See *Certain In-shell Roasted Pistachios from the Islamic Republic of Iran: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 71 FR 38622 (July 7, 2006) (*Preliminary Results*). We invited interested parties to comment on these results. Since the preliminary results, we received case briefs from petitioner¹ and from a domestic interested party² on August 7, 2006. Neither Nima nor the Government of Iran (GOI) submitted a case or rebuttal brief.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), this administrative review covers only those producers or exporters for which a review was specifically requested. Accordingly, this administrative review covers Nima for the period of review (POR) January 1, 2004, through December 31, 2004.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by this order is all roasted in-shell pistachio nuts, whether roasted in Iran or elsewhere, from which the hull has been removed, leaving the inner hard shells and the edible meat, as currently classifiable in

the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS)* under item number 0802.50.20.00. The written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

For a discussion of the programs and the issues raised in the briefs by parties to this review, see the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, concerning the "Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review: Certain In-shell Roasted Pistachios from the Islamic Republic of Iran" (Decision Memorandum) dated November 6, 2006, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A listing of the issues that parties raised and to which we have responded, included in the Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as Appendix I. Parties can find a complete discussion of the issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU), room B-099 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the World Wide Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Use of Facts Available

The Department has concluded that the GOI and Nima did not act to the best of their abilities in providing responses to the Department, in accordance with sections 776(a) and 776(b) of the Act. Specifically, neither the GOI nor Nima submitted questionnaire responses to the Department. By failing to respond to our questionnaire, Nima and the GOI have failed to provide information regarding subsidy programs in Iran, and regarding Nima's sales, in the manner explicitly requested by the Department. Therefore, we must resort to the facts otherwise available pursuant to section 776(a) of the Act. Furthermore, in selecting from among the facts available, the Department has determined that an adverse inference is warranted, pursuant to section 776(b) of the Act because, despite the Department's efforts, Nima and the GOI did not respond to our questionnaire and requests for information.

In the instant case, the Department is relying on information from *Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Countervailing Duty Order: Roasted In-Shell Pistachios from*

Iran, 51 FR 35679 (October 7, 1986) (*Roasted Pistachios*); *Certain In-Shell Pistachios and Certain Roasted In-Shell Pistachios from the Islamic Republic of Iran: Final Results of New Shipper Countervailing Duty Reviews*, 68 FR 4997 (January 31, 2003) (*Pistachios New Shipper Reviews*); and *Certain In-shell Roasted Pistachios from the Islamic Republic of Iran: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 71 FR 27682 (May 12, 2006) (*2003 Roasted Pistachios*).

If the Department relies on secondary information (e.g., data from a petition) as facts available, section 776(c) of the Act provides that the Department shall, "to the extent practicable," corroborate such information using independent sources reasonably at its disposal.³ The SAA further provides that to corroborate secondary information means that the Department will satisfy itself that the secondary information to be used has probative value. See also 19 CFR 351.308(d) (describing the corroboration of secondary information).

Thus, in those instances in which it determines to apply adverse facts available, the Department, in order to satisfy itself that such information has probative value, will examine, to the extent practicable, the reliability and relevance of the information used. With regard to the reliability aspect of corroboration, unlike other types of information, such as publicly available data on the national inflation rate of a given country or national average interest rates, there typically are no independent sources for data on company-specific benefits resulting from countervailable subsidy programs. The only source for such information normally is administrative determinations. In the instant case, no evidence has been presented or obtained which contradicts the reliability of the evidence relied upon in previous segments of this proceeding.

With respect to the relevance aspect of corroboration, the Department will consider information reasonably at its disposal as to whether there are circumstances that would render benefit data not relevant. Where circumstances indicate that the information is not appropriate as adverse facts available, the Department will not use it. See *Fresh Cut Flowers from Mexico: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 61 FR 6812 (February 22, 1996). In the instant case, no evidence has been presented or

¹ The California Pistachio Commission (CPC) and its members.

² Cal Pure Pistachios, Inc. (Cal Pure).

³ The Statement of Administrative Action accompanying the URAA clarifies that information from the petition is "secondary information." See Statement of Administrative Action, URAA, H. Doc. No. 316, Vol. 1, 103d Cong. (1994) (SAA) at 870.

obtained which contradicts the relevance of the benefit data relied upon in previous segments of this proceeding. Thus, in the instant case, the Department finds that the information used has been corroborated to the extent practicable.

For further discussion, *see* the "Use of Facts Available" section of the Decision Memorandum.

Final Results of Review

In accordance with sections 777A(e)(1) and 751(a)(1)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5), we calculated an *ad valorem* subsidy rate for Nima, the only producer/exporter subject to this review, for the POR, calendar year 2004.

Producer/Exporter	Net Subsidy Rate
Tehran Negah Nima Trading Company, Inc., trading as Nima Trading Company (Nima)	66.50 percent <i>ad valorem</i>

As Nima is the exporter but not the producer of subject merchandise, the Department's final results of review apply only to subject merchandise exported by Nima and produced by any company which produces the subject merchandise. *See* 19 CFR 351.107(b) (providing that the Department may establish a combination rate for each combination of exporter and its supplying producer).

Therefore, we will issue the following cash deposit requirements, within 15 days of publication of the final results of the instant review, for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication: (1) for merchandise exported by Nima, the cash deposit rate will be 66.50 percent *ad valorem*, *i.e.*, the rate calculated in the final results of the instant administrative review; (2) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original CVD investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; and (3) if neither the exporter nor the producer is a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, the cash deposit rate will continue to be 317.89 percent *ad valorem*, the "All Others" rate from the final determination in the original investigation. We will also issue assessment instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1), 751(a)(3) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: November 6, 2006.

David M. Spooner,
Assistant Secretary for Import
Administration.

Appendix I - Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Methodology and Background Information

Use of Facts Available

II. Analysis of Programs

Programs Determined to Be Countervailable

1. Provision of Fertilizer and Machinery
2. Provision of Credit
3. Tax Exemptions
4. Provision of Water and Irrigation Equipment
5. Technical Support
6. Duty Refunds on Imported Raw or Intermediate Materials Used in the Production of Export Goods
7. Program to Improve Quality of Exports of Dried Fruit
8. Iranian Export Guarantee Fund
9. GOI Grants and Loans to Pistachio Farmers
10. Crop Insurance for Pistachios

III. Total Ad Valorem Rate

IV. Analysis of Comments

Comment 1: Adverse Facts Available Rate

Comment 2: Additional Subsidy Programs

[FR Doc. E6-19108 Filed 11-9-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Notice of Scope Rulings

AGENCY: Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 13, 2006.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) hereby publishes a list of scope rulings completed between July 1, 2006, and September 30, 2006. In conjunction with this list, the Department is also publishing a list of requests for scope rulings and anticircumvention determinations pending as of September 30, 2006. We intend to publish future lists after the close of the next calendar quarter.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alice Gibbons, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0498.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department's regulations provide that the Secretary will publish in the **Federal Register** a list of scope rulings on a quarterly basis. *See* 19 CFR 351.225(o). Our most recent "Notice of Scope Rulings" was published on July 28, 2006. *See* 71 FR 42807. The instant notice covers all scope rulings and anticircumvention determinations completed by Import Administration between July 1, 2006, and September 30, 2006, inclusive. It also lists any scope or anticircumvention inquiries pending as of September 30, 2006, as well as scope rulings inadvertently omitted from prior published lists. As described below, subsequent lists will follow after the close of each calendar quarter.

Scope Rulings Completed Between July 1, 2006 and September 30, 2006:

People's Republic of China

A-570-502: Iron Construction Castings from the People's Republic of China

Requestor: Unisource International, Inc.; its Polycast Series 700 Frame and Grate are not within the scope of the antidumping duty order; August 8, 2006.

A-570-504: Petroleum Wax Candles from the People's Republic of China

Requestor: Atico International, Inc.; its "Christmas Stocking Tealight," "Halloween Novelty Ghost," "Halloween Novelty JOL," and "Halloween Novelty Frankenstein" candles are within the scope of the antidumping duty order; its "Halloween Novelty Pumpkin," "Halloween Bloody Skull," "Halloween Novelty Tombstone," "Halloween Witch Shoe," and "Santas Boot" candles are not within the scope of the antidumping duty order; July 6, 2006.