initiation from the domestic interested party and respondent interested party (Indorama Chemical (Thailand) Ltd. ("Indorama")) within the 30-day deadline specified in the Department's regulations under section 351.218(d)(3)(i). On May 8, 2006, the domestic interested party filed rebuttal comments to Indorama's substantive response.

On May 23, 2006, the Department determined that respondent interested party accounted for more than 50 percent of exports by volume of the subject merchandise and, therefore, submitted an adequate substantive response to the Department's Notice of Initiation. See Memorandum to Susan H. Kuhbach, Director, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1 "Adequacy Determination in Antidumping Duty Sunset Review of Furfuryl Alcohol From Thailand," (May 23, 2006). In accordance with section 351.218(e)(2)(i) of the Department's regulations, the Department determined to conduct a full sunset review of this antidumping duty order. On July 14, 2006, in accordance with section 751(c)(5)(B) of the Act, the Department extended the deadlines for the preliminary and final results of this sunset review by 90 days from the originally scheduled dates. The final results in the full sunset review of this antidumping duty order are scheduled on or before February 27, 2007.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order is furfuryl alcohol (C4H3OCH2OH). Furfuryl alcohol is a primary alcohol, and is colorless or pale yellow in appearance. It is used in the manufacture of resins and as a wetting agent and solvent for coating resins, nitrocellulose, cellulose acetate, and other soluble dyes. The product subject to this order is classifiable under subheading 2932.13.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Second Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Furfuryl Alcohol From Thailand; Preliminary Results," to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated October 20, 2006 ("Decision Memo"), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision

Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail if the antidumping duty order were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in room B–099 of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at http:// ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/index.html. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Preliminary Results of Review

The Department preliminarily determines that revocation of the antidumping duty order on furfuryl alcohol from Thailand is not likely to lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping. As a result of this determination, the Department preliminarily intends to revoke the antidumping duty order on furfuryl alcohol from Thailand, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act. Consistent with 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i) and section 751(c)(6)(A)(iii) of the Act, this revocation would be effective May 4, 2006, the fifth anniversary of the date of publication in the Federal Register of the notice of continuation. See Notice of Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders: Furfuryl Alcohol From the People's Republic of China and Thailand, 66 FR 22519 (May 4, 2001). We will notify the U.S. International Trade Commission ("ITC") of our final results. We do not intend, however, to report a rate to the ITC as a determination by the Department that revocation of the order would not lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping will result in revocation of the order. Moreover, the ITC has already ruled in this proceeding.

If the antidumping duty order is revoked, the Department will instruct the U.S. Customs and Border Protection to liquidate without regard to dumping duties entries of the subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption on or after May 4, 2006, (the effective date), and to discontinue collection of cash deposits of antidumping duties.

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.310(c). Consistent with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(i), interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than 5 days

after the time limit for filing the case briefs, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1). Any hearing, if requested, will be held two days after rebuttal briefs are due, unless the Department alters the date, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.310(d)(1). The Department intends to issue a notice of final results of this second sunset review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such briefs, no later than February 27, 2007.

This five-year ("sunset") review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 20, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6–17979 Filed 10–25–06; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-588-857]

Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review: Certain Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe from Japan

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On September 15, 2006, the Department of Commerce ("the Department'') published the preliminary results of the antidumping duty changed circumstances review and notice to revoke in part the order on welded large diameter line pipe from Japan ("LDLP") with respect to certain welded large diameter line pipe as described below. See Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review and Notice of Intent to Revoke the Order in Part: Certain Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe from Japan, (71 FR 54471) (September 15, 2006) ("Preliminary Results"). In our Preliminary Results, we gave interested parties an opportunity to comment; however, we did not receive any comments from parties opposing the partial revocation of the order. Therefore, the Department hereby revokes this order with respect to all future entries for consumption of certain welded large diameter line pipe, as described below, effective on the date of publication of this Federal Register notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 26, 2006. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Abdelali Elouaradia or Judy Lao, Import Administration, International Trade

Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1374 and (202) 482–7924, respectively.

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations as codified at 19 C.F.R. Part 351 (2002).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 6, 2001, the Department published in the Federal Register the antidumping duty order on certain welded large diameter line pipe from Japan. See Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe from Japan, 66 FR 63368 (December 6, 2001); see also Certain Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe From Japan: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review, 67 FR 64870 (October 22, 2002), which revoked the order with respect to certain merchandise as described in the "Scope of the Order" section of this notice. On July 17, 2006, petitioners requested a changed circumstances review indicating they no longer have an interest in the following product being subject to the order: API grade X-80 having an outside diameter of 21 inches and wall thickness of 0.625 inch of

On August 14, 2006, the Department published the Initiation of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review: Certain Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe from Japan, 71 FR 46448 (August 14, 2006). In the notice, we indicated that interested parties could submit comments for consideration in the Department's preliminary results. We did not receive any comments. On September 15, 1006, the Department published the Preliminary Results. In the notice, we indicated that interested parties could submit comments for consideration in the Department's Final Results. We did not receive any comments.

Scope of Review

The product covered by this antidumping order is certain welded carbon and alloy line pipe, of circular cross section and with an outside diameter greater than 16 inches, but less than 64 inches, in diameter, whether or

not stencilled. This product is normally produced according to American Petroleum Institute (API) specifications, including Grades A25, A, B, and X grades ranging from X42 to X80, but can also be produced to other specifications. The product currently is classified under U.S. Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTSUS) item numbers 7305.11.10.30, 7305.11.10.60, 7305.11.50.00, 7305.12.10.30, 7305.12.10.60, 7305.12.50.00, 7305.19.10.30. 7305.19.10.60, and 7305.19.50.00. Although the HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope is dispositive. Specifically not included within the scope of this investigation is American Water Works Association (AWWA) specification water and sewage pipe and the following size/grade combinations; of line pipe:

-Having an outside diameter greater than or equal to 18 inches and less than or equal to 22 inches, with a wall thickness measuring 0.750 inch or greater, regardless of grade.

-Having an outside diameter greater than or equal to 24 inches and less than 30 inches, with wall thickness measuring greater than 0.875 inches in grades A, B, and X42, with wall thickness measuring greater than 0.750 inches in grades X52 through X56, and with wall thickness measuring greater than 0.688 inches in grades X60 or greater.

Having an outside diameter greater than or equal to 30 inches and less than 36 inches, with wall thickness measuring greater than 1.250 inches in grades A, B, and X42, with wall thickness measuring greater than 1.000 inches in grades X52 through X56, and with wall thickness measuring greater than 0.875 inches in grades X60 or greater.

–Having an outside diameter greater than or equal to 36 inches and less than 42 inches, with wall thickness measuring greater than 1.375 inches in grades A, B, and X42, with wall thickness measuring greater than 1.250 inches in grades X52 through X56, and with wall thickness measuring greater than 1.125 inches in grades X60 or greater.

-Having an outside diameter greater than or equal to 42 inches and less than 64 inches, with a wall thickness measuring greater than 1.500 inches in grades A, B, and X42, with wall thickness measuring greater than 1.375 inches in grades X52 through X56, and with wall thickness measuring greater than 1.250 inches in grades X60 or greater.

-Having an outside diameter equal to 48 inches, with a wall thickness measuring 1.0 inch or greater, in grades X–80 or greater.

-Having an outside diameter of 48 inches to and including 52 inches, and with a wall thickness of 0.90 inch or more in grade X–80.

-Having an outsides diameter of 48 inches to and including 52 inches, and with a wall thickness of 0.54 inch or more in grade X100.

Scope of Changed Circumstances Review

The products subject to this changed circumstances review is LDLP with an API grade X–80 having an outside diameter of 21 inches and wall thickness of 0.625 inch or more. See Letter from Petitioners to the Department dated July 17, 2006.

Pursuant to section 751(d)(1) of the Act, the Department may revoke an antidumping or countervailing duty order, in whole or in part, based on a review under section 751(b) of the Act (i.e., a changed circumstances review). Section 751(b)(1) of the Act requires a changed circumstances review to be conducted upon receipt of a request which shows changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a review. Section 351.222(g)(1) of the Department's regulations provides that the Department may revoke an order (in whole or in part) based on changed circumstances, if it determines that: (i) producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product to which the order (or part of the order to be revoked) pertains have expressed a lack of interest in the relief provided by the order, in whole or in part, or (ii) if other changed circumstances sufficient to warrant revocation exist. Taking into consideration that (1) the petitioners have uniformly expressed that they do not want relief with respect to this particular sub-product, and that (2) there have been no contrary expressions from the remainder of the known domestic or U.S. LDLP producers, the Department is revoking the order on certain welded large diameter line pipe from Japan, effective on the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, with respect to all future entries for consumption of welded large diameter line pipe which meet the specifications detailed above, in accordance with sections 751(b) and (d) and 782(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216. We will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to terminate suspension of liquidation for all future entries of certain large diameter welded

line pipe meeting the specifications indicated above.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.222.

Dated: October 20, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretaryfor Import Administration. [FR Doc. E6–17962 Filed 10–25–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Article 1904; Binational Panel Reviews: Correction of Notice of Termination of Panel Review, Published on October 19, 2006, Regarding Certain Softwood Lumber Products From Canada (Secretariat File No. USA-CDA-2002-1904-02)

AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: The Notice of Termination of the subject Panel Review should be withdrawn from the **Federal Register** dated October 19, 2006, respecting Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada (Secretariat File No. USA–CDA–2002–1904–02).

Dated: October 19, 2006

Caratina L. Alston,

United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat. [FR Doc. E6–17936 Filed 10–25–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–GT–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 101206E]

Atlantic Highly Migratory Species; Advisory Panel

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: NMFS solicits nominations for the Highly Migratory Species (HMS) Advisory Panel. Nominations are being sought to fill one-third of the Advisory Panel posts for a 3-year appointment. DATES: Nominations must be received on or before November 27, 2006.

ADDRESSES: You may submit nominations and requests for the

Advisory Panel Statement of Organization, Practices, and Procedures by any of the following methods:

- Email: SF1.101206E@noaa.gov. Include in the subject line the following identifier: "I.D. 101206E."
- Mail: Margo Schulze-Haugen, Chief, Highly Migratory Species Management Division, NMFS, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910.
 - Fax: 301–713–1917.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Chris Rilling or Carol Douglas at (301) 713–2347.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Introduction

The Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act), 16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq., as amended by the Sustainable Fisheries Act, Public Law 104-297, provided for the establishment of Advisory Panel (AP) to assist in the collection and evaluation of information relevant to the development of any Fishery Management Plan (FMP) or FMP amendment. NMFS consults with and considers the comments and views of the AP when preparing and implementing FMPs or FMP amendments for Atlantic tunas, swordfish, sharks, and billfish. For instance, the AP has consulted with NMFS on the HMS FMP (April 1999), Amendment 1 to the Billfish FMP (April 1999), Amendment 1 to the HMS FMP (December 2004), and the Consolidated HMS FMP (March 2006).

Nominations are being sought to fill one-third of the posts on the HMS AP for a 3-year appointment.

Procedures and Guidelines

A. Nomination Procedures for Appointments to the Advisory Panels

Individuals with definable interests in the recreational and commercial fishing and related industries, environmental community, academia, governmental entities, and non-governmental organizations will be considered for membership in the AP.

Nominations are invited from all individuals and constituent groups. Nomination packages should include:

- 1. The name of the applicant or nominee and a description of his/her interest in HMS or in one species from sharks, swordfish, tunas, and billfish;
- 2. A statement of background and/or qualifications;
- 3. A written commitment that the applicant or nominee shall actively participate in good faith in the tasks of the AP; and
- 4. A list of outreach resources that the applicant has at his/her disposal to

communicate HMS issues to various interest groups.

Tenure for the HMS AP

Member tenure will be for 3 years (36 months), with one-third of the members' terms expiring on December 31 of each year.

B. Participants

Nominations for the AP will be accepted to allow representation from recreational and commercial fishing interests, the conservation community, and the scientific community. The HMS AP consists of members who are knowledgeable about the fisheries for Atlantic HMS species.

NMFS does not believe that each potentially affected organization or individual must necessarily have its own representative, but each area of interest must be adequately represented. The intent is to have a group that, as a whole, reflects an appropriate and equitable balance and mix of interests given the responsibilities of the AP. Criteria for membership include one or more of the following: (1) Experience in the recreational fishing industry involved in fishing for HMS; (2) experience in the commercial fishing industry for HMS; (3) experience in fishery-related industries (marinas, bait and tackle shops); (4) experience in the scientific community working with HMS; and/or (5) representation of a private, non-governmental, regional, (non-Federal) state, national, or international organization representing marine fisheries, environmental, governmental or academic interests dealing with HMS.

Five additional members on the AP include one member representing each of the following Councils: New England Fishery Management Council, the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council, the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council, the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, and the Caribbean Fishery Management Council. The AP also includes 22 ex-officio participants: 20 representatives of the coastal states and two representatives of the interstate commissions (the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission and the Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission).

NMFS will provide the necessary administrative support, including technical assistance, for the AP. However, NMFS will not compensate participants with monetary support of any kind. Depending on availability of funds, members may be reimbursed for travel costs related to the AP meetings.