O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46–48c) in connection with the service proposed for addition to the Procurement List.

#### **End of Certification**

Accordingly, the following service is added to the Procurement List:

Service

Service Type/Location: Base Supply Center, Department of the Army, Building 2961 Vanture Road, Fort Sill, Oklahoma. NPA: Beacon Lighthouse, Inc., Wichita

Falls, Texas.

Contracting Activity: U.S. Army Field Artillery Center & Fort Sill, Fort Sill, Oklahoma.

This action does not affect current contracts awarded prior to the effective date of this addition or options that may be exercised under those contracts.

#### Sheryl D. Kennerly,

Director, Information Management.
[FR Doc. E6–1472 Filed 2–2–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6353–01–P

## COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

#### **Procurement List; Proposed Additions**

**AGENCY:** Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

**ACTION:** Proposed additions to Procurement List.

**SUMMARY:** The Committee is proposing to add to the Procurement List services to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

Comments Must be Received on or Before: March 5, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Jefferson Plaza 2, Suite 10800, 1421 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia, 22202–3259.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION OR TO SUBMIT COMMENTS CONTACT: Sheryl D. Kennerly, Telephone: (703) 603–7740, Fax: (703) 603–0655, or e-mail SKennerly@jwod.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice is published pursuant to 41 U.S.C. 47(a)(2) and 41 CFR 51–2.3. Its purpose is to provide interested persons an opportunity to submit comments on the proposed actions.

If the Committee approves the proposed additions, the entities of the Federal Government identified in the notice for each product or service will be required to procure the services listed below from nonprofit agencies

employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

### Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

- 1. If approved, the action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the services to the Government.
- 2. If approved, the action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the services to the Government.
- 3. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46–48c) in connection with the services proposed for addition to the Procurement List.

Comments on this certification are invited. Commenters should identify the statement(s) underlying the certification on which they are providing additional information.

#### **End of Certification**

The following services are proposed for addition to Procurement List for production by the nonprofit agencies listed:

Services

Service Type/Location: Custodial Services, Basewide (Remaining 51% of requirement). Naval Submarine Base New London, Box 26, Bldg. 135, Groton, Connecticut. NPA: CW Resources, Inc., New Britain, Connecticut.

Contracting Activity: Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Groton, Connecticut.

Service Type/Location: Food Service Attendant.

Connecticut Air National Guard, Bldg 20, 206 Boston Post Road, Orange, Connecticut.

NPA: CW Resources, Inc., New Britain, Connecticut.

Contracting Activity: Connecticut Air National Guard, 103d Fighter Wing, East Granby, CT.

### Sheryl D. Kennerly,

Director, Information Management.
[FR Doc. E6–1473 Filed 2–2–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6353–01–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **Bureau of Industry and Security**

Action Affecting Export Privileges; Wen Enterprises, Ning Wen, Hailin Lin; Order Renewing Temporary Denial Order Against Wen Enterprises ("WE"), Ning Wen ("Wen"), and Hailin Lin ("Lin")

In the Matters of: WEN ENTERPRISES, 402 Wild Oak Drive, Manitowoc, WI 54220 and, NING WEN, 402 Wild Oak Drive, Manitowoc, WI 54220 and, HAILIN LIN, 402 Wild Oak Drive, Manitowoc, WI 54220, Respondents.

Pursuant to section 766.24 of the **Export Administration Regulations** ("EAR"), the Bureau of Industry and Security ("BIS"), U.S. Department of Commerce, through its Office of Export Enforcement ("OEE"), has requested that I renew for 180 days an Order temporarily denying export privileges of Wen Enterprises ("WE"), 402 Wild Oak Drive, Manitowoc, WI 54220; Ning Wen ("Wen"), 402 Wild Oak Drive, WI 54220; and Hailin Lin ("Lin"), 402 Wild Oak Drive, Manitowoc, WI 54220 (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Respondents"). BIS has not sought a renewal of the Order against Beijing Rich Linscience Electronics Company or Ruo Ling Wang.

On January 31, 2005, I found that evidence presented by BIS demonstrated that the Respondents conspired to do acts that violated the EAR and did in fact commit numerous violations of the EAR by participating in the unlicensed export of national security controlled items to the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). I further found that such violations had been significant, deliberate and covert, and were likely to occur again, especially given the nature of the structure and relationships of the Respondents. Effective July 31, 2005, I found that, based on the continued circumstances that led to the initial issuance of the Order Denying Export Privileges on January 31, 2005, and on the additional evidence supplied by OEE, that the renewal of the Order for a period of 180 days was necessary and in the public interest, to prevent an imminent violation of the EAR.

OEE has presented additional evidence that, on September 20, 2005, a jury convicted Wen of nine counts related to his participation in a conspiracy to violate U.S. export control laws. On January 18, 2006, Wen was sentenced to 60 months in prison and a \$50,000 fine. OEE also presented evidence that on December 21, 2005,

Lin was sentenced to 42 months in prison and a \$50,000 fine for her involvement in the conspiracy to violate U.S. export laws. OEE has informed me that Wen and Lin remain out of custody, on bond, and reside at a location that is both their home and also the operating address of WE. I now find, based on the continued circumstances that led to the initial issuance of the Order Denying Export Privileges on January 31, 2005, on the evidence that was presented by OEE prior to the Order's renewal on July 31, 2005, and on the additional evidence supplied by OEE, that the renewal of this Order for a period of 180 days is necessary and in the public interest, to prevent an imminent violation of the EAR. All parties to this Order have been given notice of the request for renewal in accordance with section 766.24 of the EAR.

It Is Therefore Ordered:

First, that the Respondents, Wen Enterprises, 402 Wild Oak Drive, Manitowoc, WI 54220; Ning Wen, 402 Wild Oak Drive, Manitowoc, WI 54220; and Hailin Lin, 402 Wild Oak Drive, Manitowoc, WI 54220 (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Respondents"), and their successors and assigns and when acting on behalf of any of the Respondents, their officers, employees, agents or representatives, ("Denied Persons") may not, directly or indirectly, participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as "item") exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the **Export Administration Regulations** ("EAR"), or in any other activity subject to the EAR including, but not limited to:

A. Apply for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export

control document;

B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the EAR, or in any other activity subject to the EAR; or

C. Benefiting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the EAR, or in any other activity subject to the EAR.

Second, that no person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of the Denied Person any item subject to the EAR;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by

the Denied Person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the EAR that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the Denied Person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the Denied Person of any item subject to the EAR that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from the Denied Person in the United States any item subject to the EAR with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the EAR that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the EAR that has been or will be exported from the United States. For puposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

Third, that after notice and opportunity for comment as provided in section 766.23 of the EAR, any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to any of the Respondents by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order.

Fourth, that this Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the EAR where the only items involved that are subject to the EAR are the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

In accordance with the provisions of section 766.24(e) of the EAR, the Respondents may, at any time, appeal this Order by filing a full written statement in support of the appeal with the Office of the Administrative Law Judge, U.S. Coast Guard ALJ Docketing Center, 40 South Gay Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202–4022.

In accordance with the provisions of section 766.24(d) of the EAR, BIS may seek renewal of this Order by filing a written request not later than 20 days before the expiration date. The Respondents may oppose a request to renew this Order by filing a written submission with the Assistant Secretary for Export Enforcement, which must be received not later than seven days before the expiration date of the Order.

A copy of this Order shall be served on the Respondents, and shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

This Order is effective on January 27, 2006 and shall remain in effect for 180 days.

Entered this 27th day of January, 2006. **Wendy Wysong,** 

Deputy Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 06–1003 Filed 2–2–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DT–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

A-570-891

Hand Trucks and Certain Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China; Initiation of New Shipper Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 3, 2006. SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") has determined the request for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on hand trucks and certain parts thereof ("hand trucks") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"), received in December 2005, meets the statutory and regulatory requirements for initiation. The period of review ("POR") of this new shipper review is May 24, 2004, through November 30, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lilit Astvatsatrian, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6412.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Background**

The notice announcing the antidumping duty order on hand trucks from the PRC was published on December 2, 2004. See Antidumping Duty Order: Hand Trucks and Certain Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China, 69 FR 70122 (December 2, 2004). On December 27, 2005, the Department received from Since Hardware (Guangzhou) Co., Ltd. ("Since Hardware") a timely request for a new shipper review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1).

Since Hardware certified that it produced and exported hand trucks on which it based its request for a new shipper review.