

8:30 a.m. Panel Session I: Physical, Biological and Ecological Sciences  
 9:30 a.m. Roundtable Discussion  
 10 a.m. Break  
 10:15 a.m. Panel Session II: Social, Behavioral and Economic Sciences  
 11:15 a.m. Roundtable Discussion  
 11:45 a.m. Break  
 1 p.m. Panel Session III: Engineering and Infrastructure  
 2 p.m. Roundtable Discussion  
 2:30 p.m. Break-Out Groups (Sessions I, II, III)  
 4 p.m. Break-Out Group Reports and Discussion 5 p.m. Summary and Next Steps  
 5:15 p.m. Adjourn

**Michael P. Crosby,**

*Executive Officer and NSB Office Director.*

[FR Doc. E6-1374 Filed 2-1-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-P**

**NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION**

**National Science Board; Hearing on 21st Century Education in Science, Mathematics and Technology**

*Date and Time:* February 10, 2006, 12:30 p.m.–6 p.m. (MT).

*Place:* University of Colorado, University Memorial Center, Room 235 (Student Union), Boulder, Colorado.

*Contact Information:* Please refer to the National Science Board Web site (<http://www.nsf.gov/nsb>) for updated Agenda and information on Webcast. NSB Office: Mrs. Susan E. Fannoney (703) 292-7000.

*Status:* This Hearing will be open to the public.

**Provisional Agenda**

12:30 p.m. Welcome  
 Warren M. Washington, Chairman, National Science Board, Senior Scientist and Section Head, National Center for Atmospheric Research  
 12:35 p.m. Opening Remarks  
 Steven C. Beering, National Science Board, President Emeritus, Purdue University  
 12:45 p.m. Congressional Commentary and Discussion  
 Congressman Mark Udall, Ranking Member, Subcommittee on Space and Aeronautics, Committee on Science  
 1 p.m. Panelist Commentary  
 Susan Windels, Colorado General Assembly, Chair, Senate Education Committee  
 Randy Dehoff, Colorado Department of Education, Colorado State Board of Education  
 John Evans, Colorado General Assembly, Senate Education

Committee  
 Keith King, Colorado General Assembly, House Education Committee  
 1:35 p.m. Roundtable Discussion  
 1:45 p.m. Panelist Commentary  
 Cindy Stevenson, Superintendent, Jefferson County Public Schools  
 Cindy Moss, K-12 Science Coordinator, Charlotte/Mecklenburg Public Schools  
 Terry Joyner, Chief Academic Officer, Cincinnati Public Schools  
 Timothy McCollum, 7-12 Science Teacher, Charleston Middle School  
 2:15 p.m. Roundtable Discussion  
 2:25 p.m. Panelist Commentary  
 Michael Barnett, Senior Physicist and Educator, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory  
 Joseph Heppert, Chairman, Department of Chemistry, University of Kansas  
 Thomas Smith, Professor of Chemistry and Microsystems Engineering, Rochester Institute of Technology  
 Karin Wiburg, Associate Dean for Research, New Mexico State University  
 2:55 p.m. Roundtable Discussion  
 3:05 p.m. Break  
 3:20 p.m. Panelist Commentary  
 Shirley Malcom, Head, Directorate for Education, American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS)  
 Dennis Bartels, President, TERC Science and Math Learning  
 Leon Lederman, Fermilab Director Emeritus and Chairman, Teachers Academy for Mathematics and Science  
 Judith Opert Sandler, Vice President, Education Development Center, Inc.  
 3:50 p.m. Roundtable Discussion  
 4 p.m. Panelist Commentary  
 Ruth David, President and CEO, Analytic Services, Inc.  
 James Von Her, Founder, Chairman, and CEO, Zyvex Corp.  
 Della Williams, President and CEO, Williams-Pyro, Inc.  
 Robin Willner, Vice President, Global Community Relations, IBM Corp.  
 Michael Miravalle, President and CEO, Dolphin Technology, Inc.  
 4:35 p.m. Roundtable Discussion  
 4:45 p.m. Comments from the Audience.  
 6 p.m. Closing Remarks  
 Dr. Beering

**Michael P. Crosby,**

*Executive Officer and NSB Office Director.*

[FR Doc. E6-1375 Filed 2-1-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-P**

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

[Docket No. 50-263]

**Nuclear Management Company, LLC, Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant; Notice of Availability of the Draft Supplement 26 to the Generic Environmental Impact Statement and Public Meeting for the License Renewal of Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant**

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) has published a draft plant-specific Supplement to the "Generic Environmental Impact Statement (GEIS), NUREG-1437," regarding the renewal of operating license DPR-22 for an additional 20 years of operation at Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant (Monticello). Monticello is located on the southern bank of the Mississippi River in the City of Monticello, Wright County, Minnesota, approximately 30 miles northwest of Minneapolis, St. Paul, Minnesota. Possible alternatives to the proposed action (license renewal) include no action and reasonable alternative energy sources.

The draft Supplement to the GEIS is publicly available in the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland 20852 or from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the Public Electronic Reading Room on the NRC's Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/readingrm/adams.html>. The ADAMS accession number for draft Supplement 26 to the GEIS is ML060190072. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS, or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the PDR reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to [pdr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr@nrc.gov).

In addition, the Monticello Public Library (220 West 6th Street, Monticello, Minnesota 55362) and the Buffalo Public Library (18 Northwest Lake Boulevard, Buffalo, Minnesota 55313) have agreed to make the draft plant-specific supplement to the GEIS available for public inspection.

Interested parties may submit comments on the draft supplement to the GEIS for consideration by the NRC staff. To be certain of consideration, comments on the draft supplement to the GEIS and the proposed action must be received by May 4, 2006. Comments received after the due date will be

considered if it is practical to do so, but the NRC staff is able to assure consideration only for comments received on or before this date. Written comments on the draft supplement to the GEIS should be sent to: Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, Mailstop T-6D59, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

Comments may be hand-delivered to the NRC at 11545 Rockville Pike, Room T-6D59, Rockville, Maryland, between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. on Federal workdays. Electronic comments may be submitted to the NRC by e-mail at [MonticelloEIS@nrc.gov](mailto:MonticelloEIS@nrc.gov). All comments received by the Commission, including those made by Federal, State, and local agencies, Native American Tribes, or other interested persons, will be made available electronically at the Commission's PDR in Rockville, Maryland, and in ADAMS.

The NRC staff will hold two public meetings to present an overview of the draft plant-specific supplement to the GEIS and to accept public comments on the document. The public meetings will be held on March 22, 2006, at the Monticello Community Center, 505 Walnut Street in Monticello, Minnesota. The first meeting will convene at 1:30 p.m. and will continue until 4:30 p.m., as necessary. The second meeting will convene at 7 p.m. and will continue until 10 p.m., as necessary. Both meetings will be transcribed and will include: (1) A presentation of the contents of the draft plant-specific supplement to the GEIS, and (2) the opportunity for interested government agencies, organizations, and individuals to provide comments on the draft report. Additionally, the NRC staff will host informal discussions one hour before the start of each meeting at the Monticello Community Center. No comments on the draft supplement to the GEIS will be accepted during the informal discussions. To be considered, comments must be provided either at the transcribed public meetings or in writing, as discussed below.

Persons may register to attend or present oral comments at the meetings by contacting Ms. Jennifer A. Davis, by telephone at 1-800-368-5642, extension 3835, or by e-mail at [MonticelloEIS@nrc.gov](mailto:MonticelloEIS@nrc.gov) no later than March 17, 2006. Members of the public may also register to speak at the meeting within 15 minutes of the start of each session. Individual oral comments may be limited by the time available, depending on the number of persons who register. Members of the public who have not registered may also have

an opportunity to speak, if time permits. Ms. Davis will need to be contacted no later than March 17, 2006, if special equipment or accommodations are needed to attend or present information at the public meeting, so that the NRC staff can determine whether the request can be accommodated.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Jennifer A. Davis, Division of License Renewal, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. Ms. Davis may also be contacted at the aforementioned telephone number or e-mailed address.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 23rd day of January, 2006.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Pao-Tsin Kuo,**

*Deputy Director, Division of License Renewal, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. E6-1387 Filed 2-1-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

### **Draft Regulatory Guide: Issuance, Availability**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has issued for public comment a draft revision to an existing guide in the agency's Regulatory Guide Series. This series has been developed to describe and make available to the public such information as methods that are acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing specific parts of the NRC's regulations, techniques that the staff uses in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, and data that the staff needs in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

Draft Revision 1 of Regulatory Guide 1.76, entitled "Design-Basis Tornado and Tornado Missiles for Nuclear Power Plants," is temporarily identified by its task number, DG-1143, which should be mentioned in all related correspondence. This proposed revision provides licensees and applicants with new guidance that the NRC staff considers acceptable for use in selecting the design-basis tornado and design-basis tornado-generated missiles that a nuclear power plant should be designed to withstand in each of the three regions within the contiguous United States to prevent undue risk to the health and safety of the public.

By contrast, the predecessor to this revision, entitled "Design-Basis Tornadoes for Nuclear Power Plants," did not include guidance on the selection of design-basis tornado-generated missiles. Such missiles were

previously addressed in Section 3.5.1.4, "Missiles Generated by Natural Phenomena," of NUREG-0800, "Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants" (SRP). With this draft Revision 1 of Regulatory Guide 1.76, the staff added related guidance for licensees and applicants because the Standard Review Plan (SRP) is intended to provide guidance to NRC reviewers, rather than licensees and applicants.

In particular, General Design Criterion (GDC) 2, "Design Bases for Protection Against Natural Phenomena," of Appendix A, "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants," to Title 10, Part 50, of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR part 50), requires that structures, systems, and components that are important to safety must be designed to withstand the effects of natural phenomena such as tornadoes without loss of capability to perform their safety functions. GDC 2 also requires that the design bases for these structures, systems, and components shall reflect (1) appropriate consideration of the most severe of the natural phenomena that have been historically reported for the site and surrounding area, with sufficient margin for the limited accuracy, quantity, and period of time in which the historical data have been accumulated, (2) appropriate combinations of the effects of normal and accident conditions with the effects of the natural phenomena, and (3) the importance of the safety functions to be performed.

Additionally, GDC 4, "Environmental and Dynamic Effects Design Bases," of Appendix A to 10 CFR part 50 requires, in part, that structures, systems, and components that are important to safety must be protected against the effects of missiles from events and conditions outside the plant.

In addition, for stationary power reactor site applications submitted before January 10, 1997, Paragraph 100.10c(2) of 10 CFR part 100, "Reactor Site Criteria," states that meteorological conditions at the site and in the surrounding area should be considered in determining the acceptability of a site for a power reactor.

By contrast, for stationary power reactor site applications submitted on or after January 10, 1997, Paragraph 100.20c(2) of 10 CFR part 100 requires that meteorological characteristics of the site that are necessary for safety analysis or may have an impact upon plant design (such as maximum probable wind speed) must be considered in determining the acceptability of a site for a nuclear power plant. In addition, Paragraph 100.21(d) of 10 CFR part 100