

—Discuss Possible Topics for Civil Rights Project
IV. Other Business
V. Adjourn

Dated: November 7, 2018.

David Mussatt,

Supervisory Chief, Regional Programs Unit.

[FR Doc. 2018–24752 Filed 11–13–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[B–70–2018]

Foreign-Trade Zone (FTZ) 52—Suffolk County, New York; Notification of Proposed Production Activity; LNK International, Inc. (Pharmaceutical Products), Hauppauge, New York

Suffolk County, New York, grantee of FTZ 52, submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the FTZ Board on behalf of LNK International, Inc. (LNK), located at sites in Hauppauge, New York. The notification conforming to the requirements of the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR 400.22) was received on November 5, 2018.

The LNK facilities are located within Subzone 52B. The facilities are used for the production of over-the-counter (OTC) analgesic pharmaceutical products. Pursuant to 15 CFR 400.14(b), FTZ activity would be limited to the specific foreign-status materials and components and specific finished products described in the submitted notification (as described below) and subsequently authorized by the FTZ Board.

Production under FTZ procedures could exempt LNK from customs duty payments on the foreign-status components used in export production. On its domestic sales, for the foreign-status materials/components noted below, LNK would be able to choose the duty rates during customs entry procedures that apply to dosage form ibuprofen, aspirin and acetaminophen pharmaceutical products (duty-free). LNK would be able to avoid duty on foreign-status components which become scrap/waste. Customs duties also could possibly be deferred or reduced on foreign-status production equipment.

The components and materials sourced from abroad include: Ibuprofen active pharmaceutical ingredient; o-acetylsalicylic acid (aspirin) active pharmaceutical ingredient; diphenhydramine citrate; acetaminophen active pharmaceutical

ingredient; caffeine; and, bulk mixture of acetaminophen (duty rates range from duty-free to 6.5%).

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is December 24, 2018.

A copy of the notification will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 21013, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230–0002, and in the "Reading Room" section of the Board's website, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz.

For further information, contact Diane Finver at Diane.Finver@trade.gov or (202) 482–1367.

Dated: November 7, 2018.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018–24797 Filed 11–13–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–201–830]

Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod From Mexico: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that sales of carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod (wire rod) from Mexico were made at less than normal value during the period of review (POR), October 1, 2016, through September 30, 2017. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable November 14, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jolanta Lawska, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–8362.

Background

On October 29, 2002 Commerce published the *Wire Rod Order* in the **Federal Register**.¹ On December 7,

2017, pursuant to section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce initiated an administrative review of the *Wire Rod Order*² covering Deacero S.A.P.I. de C.V. (Deacero), ArcelorMittal Las Truchas, S.A. de C.V. (AMLT), ArcelorMittal Mexico S.A. de C.V. (AMM) (successor-in-interest to AMLT),³ and Ternium Mexico S.A. de C.V. (Ternium). On May 31, 2018, Commerce extended the deadline for the preliminary results to November 5, 2018.⁴ For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁵

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the *Wire Rod Order* is wire rod, in coils, of approximately round cross section, 5.00 mm or more, but less than 19.00 mm, in solid cross-sectional diameter. The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 7213.91.3000, 7213.91.3010, 7213.91.3011, 7213.91.3015, 7213.91.3020, 7213.91.3090, 7213.91.3091, 7213.91.3092, 7213.91.3093, 7213.91.4500, 7213.91.4510, 7213.91.4590, 7213.91.6000, 7213.91.6010, 7213.91.6090, 7213.99.0030, 7213.99.0031, 7213.99.0038, 7213.99.0090, 7227.20.0000, 7227.20.0010, 7227.20.0020, 7227.20.0030, 7227.20.0080, 7227.20.0090, 7227.20.0095, 7227.90.6010, 7227.90.6020, 7227.90.6030, 7227.90.6035, 7227.90.6050, 7227.90.6051, 7227.90.6053, 7227.90.6058, 7227.90.6059, 7227.90.6080, and 7227.90.6085. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only; the written product description remains dispositive. A full description

and Ukraine, 67 FR 65945 (October 29, 2002) (*Wire Rod Order*).

² See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 82 FR 57705, 57707 (December 7, 2017).

³ See *Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review: Antidumping Duty Order on Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Mexico*, 82 FR 53456 (November 16, 2017) (Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review), in which Commerce determined that AMM is the successor-in-interest to AMLT.

⁴ See Memorandum, "Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Mexico: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated May 31, 2018.

⁵ See "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Mexico; 2016–2017," dated concurrently and hereby adopted by this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

¹ See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Brazil, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago,*

of the scope of the *Wire Rod Order* is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

On December 12, 2017, we received a timely-filed submission on behalf of AMM and its predecessor-in-interest AMLT that AMM/AMLT made no exports, sales, or entries of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. To confirm AMM's no shipment claim, Commerce issued a no-shipment inquiry to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) requesting that it confirm AMM/AMLT had no shipments during the POR. CBP did not report that it had any information to contradict the claim of no shipments during the POR.

Given that AMM certified that AMM/AMLT made no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR, and there is no information calling its claims into question, we preliminarily determine that AMM/AMLT did not have any shipments during the POR. Consistent with Commerce's practice, we will not rescind the review with respect to AMM/AMLT but, rather, will complete the review and issue instructions to CBP based on the final results.⁶

Application of Adverse Facts Available With Regard to Ternium

Because Ternium failed to response to Commerce's questionnaire, we preliminarily find that necessary information is not on the record and that Ternium failed to cooperate to the best of its ability to comply with a request for information from Commerce in this review. As a result, we have preliminarily based Ternium's dumping margin on facts otherwise available with an adverse inference (AFA), in accordance with sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.308. As AFA, we have preliminarily assigned Ternium a dumping margin of 40.52 percent. For further discussion, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Act. Export and constructed

export price were calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value was calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content. A list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as an Appendix to this notice.

Preliminary Results of the Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the POR:

Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margins (percent)
Deacero S.A.P.I de C.V	17.65
Ternium Mexico S.A. de C.V (Ternium)	40.52

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, Commerce shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. If the weighted-average dumping margin for Deacero is not zero or *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.5 percent), we will calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* antidumping duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).⁷ We will instruct CBP to

assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above *de minimis* (i.e., 0.5 percent). Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review where applicable.

In accordance with Commerce's "automatic assessment" practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by each respondent for which they did not know that their merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate entries not reviewed at the all-others rate of 20.11 percent⁸ if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of wire rod from Mexico entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results, as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the firms listed above will be equal to the dumping margins established in the final results of this review, except if the ultimate rates are *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rates will be zero; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this administrative review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the producer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value investigation but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of the proceeding for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other

⁶ See, e.g., *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from Thailand; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Rescission of Review, Preliminary Determination of No Shipments*; 2012–2013, 79 FR 15951, 15952 (March 24, 2014), unchanged in *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Final Determination of No Shipments, and Partial Rescission of Review*; 2012–2013, 79 FR, at 51306–51307 (August 28, 2014).

⁷ In the preliminary results, Commerce applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

⁸ See *Wire Rod Order*, 67 FR at 65947.

producers or exporters will continue to be 20.11 percent, the all-others rate established in the antidumping duty investigation.⁹ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed in these preliminary results to parties in this proceeding within five days of the date of publication of this notice.¹⁰

Public Comment

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii), interested parties may submit case briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.¹¹ Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.¹² All briefs must be filed electronically using ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by the established deadline.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, limited to issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, at a time and date to be determined. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

We intend to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of our analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Dated: November 5, 2018.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Preliminary Determination of No Shipments
- V. Use of Adverse Facts Available
 - A. Legal Standard for Facts Available and Adverse Inferences
 - B. Application of Total AFA to Ternium
 - C. Selection of the AFA Margin Assigned to Ternium
- VI. Discussion of the Methodology
 - A. Comparisons to Normal Value
 - B. Product Comparisons
 - C. Date of Sale
 - D. Constructed Export Price
 - E. Normal Value
 - F. Level of Trade
 - G. Sales to Affiliated Parties
 - H. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Comparison Market Prices
 - I. Currency Conversion
- VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2018–24801 Filed 11–13–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–821–802]

Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Duty Investigation on Uranium From the Russian Federation: Preliminary Results of 2016–2017 Administrative Review and Postponement of Final Results

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is conducting an administrative review of the Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Duty Investigation on Uranium from the Russian Federation (the Agreement). We preliminarily find that the State Atomic Energy Corporation “ROSATOM” (ROSATOM), its affiliates Joint Stock Company “TENEX” (TENEX) and TENAM Corporation (TENAM), and TENEX’s unaffiliated reseller, Centrus Energy Corp. and United States Enrichment Corporation (collectively, Centrus), are in compliance with the Agreement.

DATES: Applicable November 14, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sally C. Gannon or Jill Buckles, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0162 or (202) 482–6230, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 16, 1992, Commerce signed an agreement with the Russian Federation’s Ministry for Atomic Energy (MINATOM), the predecessor to ROSATOM, under section 734(l) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), suspending the antidumping duty investigation on uranium from the Russian Federation.¹ There have been five amendments to the Agreement, the most recent of which was signed on February 1, 2008.² Section 8118 of the

¹ See *Antidumping; Uranium from Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Russia, Tajikistan, Ukraine, and Uzbekistan; Suspension of Investigations and Amendment of Preliminary Determinations*, 57 FR 49220, 49235 (October 30, 1992) (1992 Suspension Agreement).

² See *Amendment to Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Uranium from the Russian Federation*, 59 FR 15373 (April 1, 1994) (1994 Amendment); *Amendments to the Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Uranium from the Russian Federation*, 61 FR 56665 (November 4, 1996) (1996 Amendments); *Amendment to Agreement Suspending the*

⁹ *Id.*

¹⁰ See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

¹¹ See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

¹² See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2) and 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).