

Prevention, "Fourth National Report on Human Exposure to Environmental Chemicals, Updated Tables, March 2018, Volume One." [https://www.cdc.gov/exposurereport/pdf/FourthReport\\_UpdatedTables\\_Volume1\\_Mar2018.pdf](https://www.cdc.gov/exposurereport/pdf/FourthReport_UpdatedTables_Volume1_Mar2018.pdf).

11. Mielke, H.W., M.D. Taylor, C.R. Gonzales, et al., "Lead-Based Hair Coloring Products: Too Hazardous for Household Use," *Journal of the American Pharmaceutical Association*, NS37:85–89, 1997b.
12. Deeb, W., D. Cachia, C. Quinn, et al., "Peripheral Neuropathy After Hair Dye Exposure: A Case Report," *Journal of Clinical Neuromuscular Disease*, 15:161–163, 2014.
- \*13. The Scientific Committee on Cosmetic Products and Non-Food Products Intended for Consumers. Opinion Concerning Lead Acetate, SCCNFP/0832/04, July 1, 2004. [http://ec.europa.eu/health/ph\\_risk/committees/sccp/documents/out286\\_en.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/health/ph_risk/committees/sccp/documents/out286_en.pdf).

**List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 73**

Color additives, Cosmetics, Drugs, Medical devices.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, 21 CFR part 73 is amended as follows:

**PART 73—LISTING OF COLOR ADDITIVES EXEMPT FROM CERTIFICATION**

- 1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:  
**Authority:** 21 U.S.C. 321, 341, 342, 343, 348, 351, 352, 355, 361, 362, 371, 379e.

**§ 73.2396 [Removed]**

- 2. Remove § 73.2396.

Dated: October 25, 2018.

**Leslie Kux,**

*Associate Commissioner for Policy.*

[FR Doc. 2018–23725 Filed 10–30–18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4164–01–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

**Department of the Navy**

**32 CFR Part 706**

**Certifications and Exemptions Under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy (DoN), DoD.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Navy (DoN) is amending its certifications and exemptions under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 (72 COLREGS), to reflect that the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (DAJAG) (Admiralty and Maritime Law) has determined that USS CINCINNATI (LCS 20) is a vessel of the Navy which, due to its special construction and purpose, cannot fully comply with certain provisions of the 72 COLREGS without interfering with its special function as a naval ship. The intended effect of this rule is to warn mariners in waters where 72 COLREGS apply.

**DATES:** This rule is effective October 31, 2018 and is applicable beginning October 19, 2018.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lieutenant Commander Kyle Fralick, JAGC, U.S. Navy, Admiralty Attorney, (Admiralty and Maritime Law), Office of the Judge Advocate General, Department of the Navy, 1322 Patterson Ave. SE, Suite 3000, Washington Navy Yard, DC 20374–5066, telephone number: 202–685–5040.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to the authority granted in 33 U.S.C. 1605, the DoN amends 32 CFR part 706.

This amendment provides notice that the DAJAG (Admiralty and Maritime Law), under authority delegated by the Secretary of the Navy, has certified that USS CINCINNATI (LCS 20) is a vessel of the Navy which, due to its special construction and purpose, cannot fully comply with the following specific provisions of 72 COLREGS without interfering with its special function as a naval ship: Annex I, paragraph 2(a)(i), pertaining to the height of the forward masthead light above the hull; Annex I, paragraph 3(a), pertaining to the location of the forward masthead light in the forward quarter of the ship and the horizontal distance between the forward and after masthead light; Rule 21(a) and Annex I, paragraph 2(f)(i), requiring the masthead lights be above and clear of all other lights and obstructions; Annex I, paragraph 2(f)(ii) and Annex I, paragraph 3(c), pertaining to the horizontal and vertical spacing of task lights; and Rule 27(b)(i) and Annex I, paragraph 9(b), pertaining to the

visibility of task lights. The DAJAG (Admiralty and Maritime Law) has also certified that the lights involved are located in closest possible compliance with the applicable 72 COLREGS requirements.

Moreover, it has been determined, in accordance with 32 CFR parts 296 and 701, that publication of this amendment for public comment prior to adoption is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to public interest since it is based on technical findings that the placement of lights on this vessel in a manner differently from that prescribed herein will adversely affect the vessel's ability to perform its military functions.

**List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 706**

Marine safety, Navigation (water).

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the DoN amends part 706 of title 32 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

**PART 706—CERTIFICATIONS AND EXEMPTIONS UNDER THE INTERNATIONAL REGULATIONS FOR PREVENTING COLLISIONS AT SEA, 1972**

- 1. The authority citation for part 706 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1605.

- 2. Section 706.2 is amended by:
  - a. In Table One, adding, in alpha numerical order, by vessel number, an entry for USS CINCINNATI (LCS 20);
  - b. In Table Four, under Paragraph 15, adding, in alpha numerical order, by vessel number, an entry for USS CINCINNATI (LCS 20);
  - c. In Table Four, under Paragraph 16, adding, in alpha numerical order, by vessel number, an entry for USS CINCINNATI (LCS 20);
  - d. In Table Four, under Paragraph 27, adding, in alpha numerical order, by vessel number, an entry for USS CINCINNATI (LCS 20); and
  - e. In Table Five, adding, in alpha numerical order, by vessel number, an entry for USS CINCINNATI (LCS 20).

**§ 706.2 Certifications of the Secretary of the Navy under Executive Order 11964 and 33 U.S.C. 1605.**

\* \* \* \* \*

TABLE ONE

| Vessel               | No.      | Distance in meters of forward masthead light below minimum required height. § 2(a)(i) Annex I |
|----------------------|----------|---|
| USS CINCINNATI ..... | (LCS 20) | 4.2   |

\* \* \* \* \*

TABLE FOUR

| Vessel               | No.       | Horizontal distances from the fore and aft centerline of the vessel in the athwartship direction |
|----------------------|-----------|--|
| USS CINCINNATI ..... | LCS 20 .. | Upper—0.20 meters.<br>Middle—1.3 meters.<br>Lower—1.3 meters.                                    |

16. \* \* \*

| Vessel               | No.       | Obstruction angle relative ship's headings |
|----------------------|-----------|--|
| USS CINCINNATI ..... | LCS 20 .. | 72° thru 74°.<br>286° thru 288°.           |

\* \* \* \* \*  
27. On the following ships, the arc of visibility of the middle task light (restricted maneuverability), required by the rule 27(b)(i) and Annex I, paragraph 9(b)(i), may be obstructed at the following angles relative to ship's heading;

| Vessel               | No.       | Obstruction angle relative ship heading |
|----------------------|-----------|---|
| USS CINCINNATI ..... | LCS 20 .. | 47° thru 59°.<br>301° thru 313°.        |

\* \* \* \* \*

TABLE FIVE

| Vessel               | No.      | Masthead lights not over all other lights and obstructions. Annex I, sec. 2(f) | Forward masthead light not in forward quarter of ship. Annex I, sec. 3(a) | After masthead light less than 1/2 ship's length aft of forward masthead light. Annex I, sec. 3(a) | Percentage horizontal separation attained |
|----------------------|----------|--|---|--|---|
| USS CINCINNATI ..... | (LCS 20) |  | X   | X  | 15.2                                      |

TABLE FIVE—Continued

| Vessel | No. | Masthead lights not over all other lights and obstructions. Annex I, sec. 2(f) | Forward masthead light not in forward quarter of ship. Annex I, sec. 3(a) | After masthead light less than 1/2 ship's length aft of forward masthead light. Annex I, sec. 3(a) | Percentage horizontal separation attained |
|--------|-----|--|---|--|---|
| *      | *   | *  | *   | *  | *   |

Approved: October 19, 2018.  
**A.S. Janin,**  
*Captain, JAGC, U.S. Navy, Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty and Maritime Law).*  
 Dated: October 19, 2018.  
**Meredith Steingold Werner,**  
*Lieutenant Commander, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.*  
 [FR Doc. 2018–23374 Filed 10–30–18; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 3810–FF–P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 141**

[EPA–HQ–OW–2018–0558; FRL–9985–19–OW]

**Expedited Approval of Alternative Test Procedures for the Analysis of Contaminants Under the Safe Drinking Water Act; Analysis and Sampling Procedures**

*Correction*

In rule document 2018–22162, appearing on pages 51636 through 51652, in the issue of Friday, October 12, 2018, make the following corrections:

1. On page 51646, in the table labelled “ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS FOR CONTAMINANTS LISTED AT 40 CFR 141.24(e)(1),” for the Contaminant “Atrazine” and the Methodology “Solid Phase Extraction/Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS),” the EPA Method should read “525.3<sup>24</sup>, 523<sup>26</sup>” and the SM 21st edition<sup>1</sup> should be blank.

2. On page 51647, in the table labelled “ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS FOR CONTAMINANTS LISTED AT 40 CFR 141.24(e)(1)—Continued,” for the Contaminant “Simazine” and the Methodology “Solid Phase Extraction/Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS),” the EPA Method should read “525.3<sup>24</sup>, 523<sup>26</sup>” and the SM 21st edition<sup>1</sup> should be blank.

3. On the same page, in the same table, for the Contaminant “Total

Trihalomethanes” and the Methodology “Purge & Trap/Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry,” the EPA Method should read “524.3<sup>9</sup>, 524.4<sup>29</sup>” and the SM 21st edition<sup>1</sup> should be blank.

4. On page 51649, in the table labelled “ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS FOR CONTAMINANTS LISTED AT 40 CFR 141.131(b)(1)—Continued,” the second Contaminant should read “Chlorite—daily monitoring as prescribed in 40 CFR 141.132(b)(2)(i)(A)”.

5. On the same page, in the same table, on the same row, the Methodology should read “Amperometric Titration” and the EPA Method should be blank.

6. On page 51650, in the table labelled “ALTERNATIVE TESTING METHODS FOR CONTAMINANTS LISTED AT 40 CFR 143.4(b),” for the Contaminant “Chloride” and the Methodology “Silver Nitrate Titration,” the SM 21st edition<sup>1</sup> should read “4500–Cl– B”.

7. On the same page, in the same table, on the same row, the SM 22nd edition,<sup>28</sup> SM 23rd edition<sup>49</sup> should read “4500–Cl– B”.

[FR Doc. C1–2018–22162 Filed 10–30–18; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 1301–00–D**

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

**Defense Acquisition Regulations System**

**48 CFR Parts 211 and 252**

[Docket DARS–2018–0048]

**RIN 0750–AJ95**

**Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement: Repeal of DFARS Clause “Acquisition Streamlining” (DFARS Case 2018–D033)**

**AGENCY:** Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** DoD is issuing a final rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to remove a clause that is no longer necessary.

**DATES:** Effective October 31, 2018.  
**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Carrie Moore, telephone 571–372–6093.  
**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background**

DoD is amending the DFARS to remove DFARS clause 252.211–7000, Acquisition Streamlining, and the associated clause prescription at DFARS 211.002–70. This clause is included in all solicitations and contracts for systems acquisition programs and requires contractors to: Prepare acquisition streamlining recommendations in accordance with the performance work statement; format and submit the recommendations in accordance with the contract data requirements list of the contract; and include the clause in all subcontracts valued over \$1.5 million that are awarded in the performance of the contract. DoD may accept, modify, or reject the contractor’s recommendations.

This clause was added to the DFARS to implement a requirement of DoD Directive (DoDD) 5000.43, Acquisition Streamlining. DoDD 5000.43 has been cancelled and replaced by DoD Instruction 5000.02, Operation of the Defense Acquisition System, which requires contractors to submit acquisition streamlining recommendations. Additionally, Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) subpart 7.1, Acquisition Plans, already includes acquisition streamlining and industry engagement as considerations to be made when preparing a written acquisition plan. As the implementing DoDD has been cancelled and FAR subpart 7.1 addresses acquisition streamlining, this DFARS clause is unnecessary and can be removed.

The removal of this DFARS text supports a recommendation from the DoD Regulatory Reform Task Force. On February 24, 2017, the President signed Executive Order (E.O.) 13777, “Enforcing the Regulatory Reform Agenda,” which established a Federal policy “to alleviate unnecessary regulatory burdens” on the American people. In accordance with E.O. 13777, DoD established a Regulatory Reform