

cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 30, 2006.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E6-14715 Filed 9-5-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-568]

In the Matter of Certain Products and Pharmaceutical Compositions Containing Recombinant Human Erythropoietin; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Respondents' Motion for Summary Determination That There is No Violation of Section 337

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the initial determination ("ID") issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") granting respondents' motion for summary determination that there is no violation of section 337 in the above-captioned investigation. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christal A. Sheppard, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/edis.htm>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 12, 2006, the Commission instituted an investigation under section 337 of the

Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based on a complaint filed by Amgen, Inc. ("Amgen") of Thousand Oaks, California. 71 FR 27742 (May 12, 2006). The complaint asserted a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, sale for importation, or sale within the United States after importation of certain products and pharmaceutical compositions containing recombinant human erythropoietin by reason of infringement of claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 5,441,868, claims 3, 4, 5, and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 5,547,933, claims 4-9 of U.S. Patent No. 5,618,698, claims 4 and 6 of U.S. Patent No. 5,621,080, claim 7 of U.S. Patent No. 5,756,349, and claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,955,422. The notice of investigation named Roche Holding Ltd. of Basel, Switzerland, F. Hoffman-La Roche, Ltd. of Basel, Switzerland, Roche Diagnostics GmbH of Mannheim, Germany, and Hoffman La Roche, Inc. of Nutley, New Jersey (collectively, "Roche") as respondents.

On May 19, 2006, Roche moved for summary determination of no violation of section 337, stating that its activities fell within the safe harbor created by 35 U.S.C. 271(e)(1) which provides that "[i]t shall not be an act of infringement to make, use, offer to sell, or sell within the United States or import into the United States a patented invention . . . solely for uses reasonably related to the development and submission of information under a Federal law which regulates the manufacture, use, or sale of drugs or veterinary biological products." Amgen opposed the motion. The Commission investigative attorney ("IA") supported the motion. On July 7, 2006, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 6) granting Roche's motion. Amgen filed a petition for review of the ID. Respondents and the IA filed oppositions to the petition for review. Amgen also filed a motion for leave to reply to the oppositions to its petition for review.

Having considered the petition for review, the oppositions thereto, and the relevant portions of the record, the Commission has determined not to review the ID and to deny Amgen's motion for leave.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and section 210.42(h) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.42(h).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 31, 2006.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E6-14743 Filed 9-5-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-583]

In the Matter of Certain Wireless Communication Devices, Components Thereof, and Products Containing the Same; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on July 31, 2006, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Ericsson Inc. of Plano, Texas and Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson of Stockholm, Sweden. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States and sale of certain wireless communication devices, components thereof, and products containing the same by reason of infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,758,295, U.S. Patent No. 5,783,926, U.S. Patent No. 5,864,765, U.S. Patent No. 6,009,319, U.S. Patent No. 6,029,052, U.S. Patent No. 6,198,405, U.S. Patent No. 6,387,027, U.S. Patent No. 6,839,549, and U.S. Patent No. 6,975,686. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a permanent exclusion order and a permanent cease and desist order.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the

Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://www.edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bryan F. Moore, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2767.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in § 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in § 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2006).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on August 30, 2006, *Ordered That*:

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain wireless communication devices, components thereof, or products containing the same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1-4, 6-8, 10, and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 5,758,295; claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 5,783,926; claims 1, 2, 7, and 8 of U.S. Patent No. 5,864,765; claims 1, 3, 6, 7, 18, and 19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,009,319; claims 1-3, 5, 8, 11, 13, 14, and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,029,052; claims 1, 5, 11, and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,198,405; claims 10 and 12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,387,027; claims 1, 14, and 20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,839,549; and claim 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,975,686; and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are—
Ericsson Inc., 6300 Legacy Drive, Plano, TX 75024.
Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson,
Torshamsgatan 23, Kista, 164 83
Stockholm Sweden.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:
Samsung Telecommunications America LLP, 1301 East Lookout Drive,
Richardson, TX 75082.
Samsung Electronics America, Inc., 105
Challenger Road, Ridgefield Park, NJ

07660. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.,
Samsung Main Building, 250,
Taepyung-ro 2-ka, Chung-ku, Seoul. 1
00-742 Korea.

(c) The Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation, is Bryan F. Moore, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Charles E. Bullock is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 31, 2006.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E6-14742 Filed 9-5-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

[OMB Notice 1140-0080]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

ACTION: 30-day notice of information collection under review; notification of change of mailing or premise address.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 71, Number 71, page 24864 on April 27, 2006, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until October 6, 2006. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to The Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395-5806.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to