

APPENDIX—Continued

[TAA Petitions Instituted Between 8/14/06 and 8/18/06]

TA-W	Subject Firm (Petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
59916	Federal Mogul (UAW)	St. Johns, MI	08/15/06	08/14/06
59917	Meridian Automotive Systems (UAW)	Canton, MI	08/15/06	08/14/06
59918	NYPRO El Paso (Comp)	El Paso, TX	08/16/06	08/15/06
59919	Jockey International, Inc. (Comp)	Millen, GA	08/16/06	08/16/06
59920	d-Scan, Inc. (Wkrs)	South Boston, VA	08/16/06	08/15/06
59921	Weyerhaeuser (USW)	Valley View, OH	08/17/06	08/10/06
59922	Hiatt Metal Products Co., Inc. (Comp)	Muncie, IN	08/17/06	08/17/06
59923	Dow Jones and Company, Inc. (Comp)	Chicopee, MA	08/17/06	08/15/06
59924	Mountain Surf, Inc. (Comp)	Friendsville, MD	08/18/06	08/04/06
59925	American Racing (State)	Rancho Dominguez, CA	08/18/06	08/09/06
59926	TRW Automotive (Comp)	Fowlerville, MI	08/18/06	08/17/06

[FR Doc. E6-14581 Filed 8-31-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training
Administration

[TA-W-59,743]

**Tai Ping Carpets Americas, Formerly
Known as Edward Fields,
Incorporated, a Division of Tai Ping
Carpets Americas, Inc., College Point,
NY; Notice of Termination of
Investigation**

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on July 18, 2006 in response to a worker petition filed on behalf of workers of Tai Ping Carpets Americas, formerly known as Edward Fields, Inc., a division of Tai Ping Carpets Americas, Inc., College Point, New York.

The petitioning group of workers is covered by an active certification (TA-W-57,805) which expires on September 23, 2007. Further investigation in this case would duplicate efforts and serve no purpose; therefore the investigation under this petition has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 18th day of August 2006.

Richard Church,

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade
Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E6-14572 Filed 8-31-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training
Administration

[TA-W-59,653]

**Utility Craft, Inc., dba Wood-Armfield
Furniture, Retail Store, High Point, NC;
Dismissal of Application for
Reconsideration**

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Utility Craft, Inc., DBA Wood-Armfield Furniture, Retail Store, High Point, North Carolina. The application did not contain new information supporting a conclusion that the determination was erroneous, and also did not provide a justification for reconsideration of the determination that was based on either mistaken facts or a misinterpretation of facts or of the law. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-59,653; Utility Craft, Inc., DBA Wood-Armfield Furniture, Retail Store, High Point, North Carolina (August 22, 2006).

Signed at Washington, DC this 24th day of August 2006.

Erica R. Cantor,

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment
Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E6-14573 Filed 8-31-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

**MILLENNIUM CHALLENGE
CORPORATION**

[MCC FR 06-14]

**Notice of the September 12, 2006
Millennium Challenge Corporation
Board of Directors Meeting; Sunshine
Act Meeting**

AGENCY: Millennium Challenge
Corporation.

TIME AND DATE: 4:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.,
Tuesday, September 12, 2006.

PLACE: Department of State, 2201 C
Street, NW., Washington, DC 20520.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Information on the meeting may be obtained from Suzi M. Morris via e-mail at Board@mcc.gov or by telephone at (202) 521-3600.

STATUS: Meeting will be closed to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: The Board of Directors (the "Board") of the Millennium Challenge Corporation ("MCC") will hold a meeting to initiate the FY 2007 country selection process by identifying countries that will be candidates for Millennium Challenge Account ("MCA") assistance in FY 2007 based on the per capita income and other requirements of Section 606(a) of the Millennium Challenge Act of 2003 (Pub. L. 108-199 (Division D)) (the "Act") and to discuss other Compact development efforts with MCA-eligible countries, the MCC Threshold Program, and certain administrative matters, all which are expected to involve the consideration of classified information and will be closed to the public.

Dated: August 30, 2006.

William G. Anderson, Jr.,

*Vice President and General Counsel (Acting),
Millennium Challenge Corporation.*

[FR Doc. 06-7426 Filed 8-30-06; 2:30 pm]

BILLING CODE 9210-01-P

**NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND
SPACE ADMINISTRATION**

[Notice (06-062)]

**National Environmental Policy Act;
Development of the Crew Exploration
Vehicle**

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and
Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Finding of No Significant Impact.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321, *et seq.*), the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508), and NASA policy and procedures (14 CFR Part 1216 subpart 1216.3), NASA has made a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) with respect to the proposed development of the Crew Exploration Vehicle (CEV). The CEV, a reusable Apollo-like capsule, would enable our Nation's renewed commitment to human space exploration of the Moon and beyond and provide human and cargo access to the International Space Station no later than 2014. Development of the CEV would entail the design, fabrication and assembly of different variants of the spacecraft that meet mission requirements for journeys to Low-Earth Orbit, Moon, Mars, and destinations beyond. Development activities would occur at multiple NASA and commercial facilities throughout the United States. Under the Proposed Action a limited number of CEV spacecraft would be assembled and made available for future testing and flight qualification.

DATES: This Proposed Action may proceed as of the date of signature of this FONSI.

ADDRESSES: The Final Environmental Assessment (EA) that supports this FONSI may be reviewed at the following NASA locations:

(a) NASA Headquarters, Library, Room 1J20, 300 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20546–0001; and

(b) Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Visitor's Lobby, Building 249, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109.

In addition, hard copies of the Final EA may be examined at other NASA Centers (see **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** below).

A limited number of hard copies of the Final EA are available for persons wishing a copy by contacting Mario Busacca at the address, electronic mail address, telephone or fax number indicated herein. The Final EA is also available on-line in Acrobat® format at http://exploration.nasa.gov/documents/cev_finalea.html.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mario Busacca, Lead, Planning and Special Projects, NASA/KSC, Environmental Program Office, Mail Code TA–C3, NASA, Kennedy Space Center, Florida 32899; electronic mail,

mario.busacca-1@nasa.gov; telephone, 321–867–8456; and fax, 321–867–8040.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NASA initiated a 30-day public review and comment period for the Draft Environmental Assessment of the Development of the Crew Exploration Vehicle by publishing a notice in the **Federal Register** on July 20, 2006 (71 FR 41260). The public review period closed on August 25, 2006. NASA received eight comment letters, all from Federal and State agencies and confined to relatively minor factual errors or regulatory requirements in the event that CEV activities were to take place in a specific State. The Final EA has been modified from the Draft EA in response to those comments to the extent applicable. NASA has reviewed the Final EA and has determined that it represents an accurate and adequate analysis of the scope and level of associated environmental impacts. The Final EA is incorporated by reference in this FONSI.

In his January 14, 2004, address to the Nation, President George W. Bush announced a new policy for space exploration with the goal of landing humans on the Moon before the end of the next decade, paving the way for eventual human journeys to other destinations. In pursuing this new policy, NASA has been tasked with developing the spacecraft, launch vehicles and related technologies necessary to travel and explore the Solar System. The CEV represents an important building block in this future exploration architecture.

NASA is proposing to fund the development of the CEV, a new human-rated space vehicle. The CEV would provide human and cargo access to the International Space Station and make possible human return to and exploration of the Moon. Lunar missions would build mission-operations experience necessary for the planning and implementation of human exploration missions to Mars and eventually beyond.

The CEV would consist of a Crew Module, a Service Module and a Launch Escape System. The Crew Module, a conical Apollo-like reusable capsule, would provide habitable volume for up to six crew members, life support, pressurized space for cargo during uncrewed missions, docking with other space vehicles and atmospheric entry and landing capabilities. The Service Module, a cylindrical structure fixed to the rear of the Crew Module, would contain the propulsion and power systems and the thermal control elements for the Crew Module. Electric

power would be generated via two deployable solar arrays attached to the Service Module. The CEV Service Module would be similar in design to the Apollo Service Module. The Launch Escape System would be mounted atop the Crew Module and would be similar in design to the Apollo Launch Escape System. The Launch Escape System would be activated if an emergency occurs during launch or ascent operations separating the Crew Module safely from the remainder of the launch vehicle stack.

The CEV design would utilize a modular approach, with different variants keyed to the needs of missions to Low-Earth Orbit, the Moon and Mars. These needs continue to evolve reflecting the results of ongoing trade studies, discussions of mission goals, and analyses of costs, benefits, and risks. The CEV would also be capable of incorporating technological advances that may develop over its service life.

The CEV development activities addressed under the Proposed Action would include design and fabrication of components and subsystems and assembly of a limited number of spacecraft. Development activities would be performed at a number of existing NASA and commercial facilities throughout the United States. If NASA proceeds with CEV development, the Agency would contract with a commercial firm to serve as the prime contractor, with specific design, fabrication and assembly activities to be clarified as the CEV Program matures. These activities would be expected to be consistent with the mission and normal scope of operations of each facility and subject to applicable Federal environmental regulations and those of the respective States and localities.

It is expected that CEV development activities would not involve construction of major new buildings at any NASA or commercial facility. However, additions or modifications to existing facilities or testing areas may be required in the future. As these requirements become known they would be evaluated for compliance with applicable Federal, State and local environmental regulations. Obligations for revised environmental permits and additional environmental documentation would be determined.

All design, fabrication, and assembly of CEV components and subsystems at NASA and commercial facilities would be expected to result in air emissions and waste streams at levels within existing environmental permit limitations at each facility. As such, the short- and long-term environmental

impacts would be expected to be within the limits of all applicable environmental laws and regulations. Little or no adverse impact on the local infrastructure (e.g., roadways) or traffic near the facilities involved in CEV development would be anticipated. There should be little incremental impact on employment levels at the facilities involved in CEV development. Thus little or no incremental socioeconomic impacts to regional economies would be expected. CEV development activities at NASA facilities would be considered to be within the normal scope of activities at each facility and therefore would have no disproportionately high or adverse human health or environmental impacts on low-income populations or minority populations.

Alternatives considered but not evaluated further included extending Space Shuttle service and weighing different CEV concepts. Refurbishing the Space Shuttle for long-term cargo delivery and human access to the International Space Station was considered impractical. Major modifications to the Shuttle's design to improve crew safety significantly (e.g., a crew escape system) cannot be implemented easily. Moreover, the Shuttle was not designed to withstand the Earth re-entry speeds of a Lunar mission. If flights were to be extended beyond the planned retirement in 2010, the fleet would require recertification, a costly and lengthy process. Moreover, the President has decided to curtail Shuttle operations after 2010.

Other designs and configurations for the CEV were considered initially by NASA. Winged vehicles, lifting bodies, and slender bodies as well as other approaches were addressed and discarded. In the end, it was determined that the present proposed configuration, a legacy of the Apollo Program, was best suited to the long-term safety and success of the human spaceflight systems needed for exploration of the Moon and Mars. Therefore, none of the other configurations was considered further for the purposes of the Final EA.

The alternative evaluated was the No-Action Alternative (i.e., no CEV development). Failure to develop the CEV would disrupt efforts to achieve long-term goals and objectives set forth in NASA's *New Vision for Space Exploration*, the centerpiece of our Nation's civilian space policy. The value of the CEV in realizing the scientific, security, and economic interests underlying the Vision is high. While potential environmental impacts would be avoided by cancellation of the proposed CEV development, the loss of

scientific knowledge and other national interests could be substantial. The United States would not have a spacecraft capable of transporting humans to the International Space Station once the Space Shuttle is retired or to undertake missions to the Lunar surface, Mars or other destinations in the Solar System. Furthermore, people who currently manage the day-to-day operations of the Space Shuttle would not be able to transfer to the CEV program, and United States would risk losing the only skilled-operations workforce with human space-flight experience.

The Final EA that supports this FONSI may be examined by contacting the pertinent Freedom of Information Office:

(a) NASA, Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035 (650-604-3273);

(b) NASA, Dryden Flight Research Center, Edwards, CA 93523 (661-276-2704);

(c) NASA, Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, OH 44135 (866-404-3642);

(d) NASA, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771 (301-286-4721);

(e) NASA, Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX 77058 (281-483-8612);

(f) NASA, Kennedy Space Center, Florida 32899 (321-867-2745);

(g) NASA, Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23681 (757-864-2497);

(h) NASA, Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL 35812 (256-544-1837); and

(i) NASA, Stennis Space Center, MS 39529 (228-688-2118).

Should NASA proceed with CEV development, the assembled spacecraft would undergo testing and flight qualification prior to obtaining operational status. These actions would be the subject of future environmental documentation.

On the basis of the Final EA, I have determined that the environmental impacts associated with the proposed action would not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment.

Dated: August 29, 2006.

Douglas Cooke,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Exploration Systems Mission Directorate.

[FR Doc. E6-14586 Filed 8-31-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-13-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection: Comment Request

AGENCY: U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to OMB and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. *The title of the information collection:* 10 CFR Part 71, "Packaging and Transportation of Radioactive Material."

2. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150-0008.

3. *How often the collection is required:* On occasion. Applications for package certification may be made at any time. Required reports are collected and evaluated on a continuing basis as events occur.

4. *Who is required or asked to report:* All NRC specific licensees who place byproduct, source, or special nuclear material into transportation, and all persons who wish to apply for NRC approval of package designs for use in such transportation.

5. *The estimated number of annual respondents:* 250 licensees.

6. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 42,896 hours (37,304 hours for reporting requirements and 5,592 for recordkeeping requirements).

7. *Abstract:* NRC regulations in 10 CFR Part 71 establish requirements for packing, preparation for shipment, and transportation of licensed material, and prescribe procedures, standards, and requirements for approval by NRC of packaging and shipping procedures for fissile material and for quantities of licensed material in excess of Type A quantities.

Submit, by October 31, 2006, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?