

submitted in support of a petition. NRC was not able to do this with the information submitted.

The petitioner also stated that there would be label warnings on the device that instruct any person who handles, uses or comes in contact with the product to dispose of it only by returning it to the distributor for safe disposal. Products that are distributed under an exemption must meet health and safety requirements without any regulatory requirements on the end user. Therefore, the petition must address the environmental aspects of disposal of the catalytic device presuming that none of the devices would be returned to the distributor for disposal.

In summary, the petitioner did not submit information of sufficient scope and depth for NRC to determine the adequacy of this product to be distributed to persons exempt from licensing and regulatory requirements. NRC could not ensure that the public health and safety, and the environment, would be protected based on the information submitted in support of the petition.

For the reasons cited in this document, the NRC denies this petition.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 18th day of August, 2006.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Luis A. Reyes,

Executive Director for Operations.

[FR Doc. 06-7284 Filed 8-30-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[COTP San Diego 06-055]

RIN 1625-AA00

Safety Zone; Blue Water Resort and Casino 60th Thanksgiving Regatta, Colorado River, Parker, AZ

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard proposes to establish a temporary safety zone within the Lake Moovalya Region on the navigable waters of the Colorado River in Parker, Arizona for the Blue Water Resort and Casino 60th Thanksgiving Regatta. This temporary safety zone is necessary to provide for the safety of the participants, crew, spectators, sponsor vessels of the race, and general users of the waterway. Persons and vessels are

prohibited from entering into, transiting through, or anchoring within this safety zone unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, or his designated on-scene representative.

DATES: Comments and related material must reach the Coast Guard on or before October 2, 2006.

ADDRESSES: You may mail comments and related material to U.S. Coast Guard Sector San Diego, Waterways Management, 2710 N. Harbor Drive, San Diego, CA 92101-1064. Waterways Management maintains the public docket for this rulemaking. Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection or copying at Waterways Management between 8 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lieutenant Junior Grade José Caballero, USCG, c/o U.S. Coast Guard Captain of the Port, at (619) 278-7277.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Request for Comments

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments and related material. If you do so, please include your name and address, identify the docket number for this rulemaking COTP San Diego 06-055, indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. Please submit all comments and related material in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying. If you would like to know they reached us, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. We may change this proposed rule in view of them.

Public Meeting

We do not now plan to hold a public meeting. But you may submit a request for a meeting by writing to Waterways Management at the address under **ADDRESSES** explaining why one would be beneficial. If we determine that one would aid this rulemaking, we will hold one at a time and place announced by a later notice in the **Federal Register**.

Background and Purpose

The Southern California Speedboat Club is sponsoring the Blue Water Casino and Resort 60th Thanksgiving Regatta, which is held on the Lake Moovalya region on the Colorado River in Parker, AZ. This temporary safety

zone is necessary to provide for the safety of the participants, crew, spectators, sponsor vessels, and other users of the waterway.

This event involves powerboats racing along a circular track in the Lake Moovalya region of the Colorado River. The size of the boats varies from 11 to 21 feet. Approximately sixty to eighty boats will participate in this event. The sponsor has provided two (2) water rescue and three (3) patrol vessels to patrol this event.

Discussion of Proposed Rule

The proposed temporary safety zone would be comprised of the following area: that portion of the navigable waterway of Lake Moovalya from Headgate Dam to 0.5 nautical miles north of Blue Water Marina, Parker, Arizona.

The Coast Guard proposes to establish one (1) safety zone that will be enforced from 6 a.m. to 6 p.m. from November 24, 2006 through November 26, 2006. This safety zone is necessary to provide for the safety of the crews, spectators, and participants of the Blue Water Casino and Resort Thanksgiving Regatta and to protect other vessels and users of the waterway. Persons and vessels will be prohibited from entering into, transiting through, or anchoring within this safety zone unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, or his designated on-scene representative.

U.S. Coast Guard personnel will enforce this safety zone. The Coast Guard may be assisted by other Federal, State, or local agencies, including the Coast Guard Auxiliary. Section 165.23 of Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, prohibits any unauthorized person or vessel from entering or remaining in a safety zone. Vessels or persons violating this section will be subject to both criminal and civil penalties.

Regulatory Evaluation

This proposed rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order.

We expect the economic impact of this proposed rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation is unnecessary.

This determination is based on the size and location of the safety zone. Commercial vessels will not be hindered by the safety zone. Recreational vessels will not be allowed

to transit through the designated safety zone during the specified times.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The safety zone will affect the following entities some of which may be small entities: the owners and operators of pleasure craft engaged in recreational activities and sightseeing. This safety zone will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because this safety zone is limited in scope and duration (it would be in effect for only twelve (12) hours per day for a period of three (3) days, from November 24, 2006 through November 26, 2006). Furthermore, the Coast Guard will publish local notice to mariners (LNM) before the safety zone is enforced.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see **ADDRESSES**) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact Lieutenant Junior Grade José Caballero, Waterways Management U.S. Coast Guard Sector San Diego at (619) 278–7277. The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

Collection of Information

This proposed rule would call for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

Federalism

A rule has implications for Federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule would not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

Taking of Private Property

This proposed rule would not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Civil Justice Reform

This proposed rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Protection of Children

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and would not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that might disproportionately affect children.

Indian Tribal Governments

This proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship

between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

Energy Effects

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a “significant energy action” under that order because it is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

Technical Standards

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This proposed rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Commandant Instruction M16475.ID and Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 5100.1, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that there are no factors in this case that would limit the use of a categorical exclusion under section 2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore, we believe that this rule should be categorically excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation. Paragraph (34)(g) of the Instruction would apply because this rule would establish a safety zone.

A preliminary "Environmental Analysis Check List" is available in the docket where indicated under

ADDRESSES. Comments on this section will be considered before we make the final decision on whether the rule should be categorically excluded from further environmental review.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, and Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1226, 1231; 46 U.S.C. Chapter 701; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Pub. L. 107–295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.2.

2. A new temporary § 165.T11–113 is added to read as follows:

§ 165.T11–113 Safety Zone; Lake Moovalya, Colorado River, Parker, AZ.

(a) *Location.* The Coast Guard proposes to establish a temporary safety zone for the Bluewater Resort and Casino 60th Thanksgiving Regatta. The limits of this proposed temporary safety zone would include that portion of the Colorado River from Headgate Dam to 0.5 nautical miles north of Bluewater Marina, Parker, Arizona.

(b) *Effective Period.* This section is effective from 6 a.m. to 6 p.m. from November 24, 2006 through November 26, 2006.

(c) *Definitions.* The following definitions apply to this section: *Designated on-scene representative* means Coast Guard Patrol Commanders including Coast Guard coxswains, petty officers, and other officers operating Coast Guard vessels, and Federal, State, and local officers designated by or assisting the Captain of the Port (COTP), San Diego, CA, in the enforcement of regulated navigation areas and safety and security zones.

(d) *Regulations.* Entry into, transit through or anchoring within this safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port San Diego or his designated on-scene representative. Mariners requesting permission to transit through the safety zone may request authorization to do so from the Patrol Commander (PATCOM). The Patrol Commander may be contacted on VHF–FM Channel 16.

Dated: August 15, 2006.

R.E. Walker,

Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Acting.

[FR Doc. E6–14498 Filed 8–30–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–15–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 62

[Docket No. EPA–R02–OAR–2006–0615, FRL–8215–7]

Approval and Promulgation of Plans for Designated Facilities; New Jersey; Delegation of Authority

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposes approval of a request from the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) for delegation of authority to implement and enforce the following three Federal plans: Hospital/Medical/Infectious Waste Incinerators (HMIWI); Municipal Solid Waste Landfills (MSW Landfills); and Small Municipal Waste Combustion Units (Small MWC). On August 15, 2000, November 8, 1999, and January 31, 2003 respectively, EPA promulgated the Federal plans for HMIWI, MSW Landfills and Small MWCs to fulfill the requirements of sections 111(d)/129 of the Clean Air Act. The Federal plans impose emission limits and control requirements for existing affected facilities located in areas not covered by an approved and currently effective State plan.

On May 15, 2006, NJDEP signed Memorandum of Agreements (MOAs) which act as the mechanism for the transfer of EPA authority to NJDEP. The intended effect is to approve MOAs that define the policies, responsibilities, and procedures by which the Federal plans for HMIWI, MSW Landfills and Small MWCs will be administered on behalf of EPA by NJDEP.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before October 2, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R02–OAR–2006–0615, by one of the following methods:

- <http://www.regulations.gov>: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
- *E-mail:* Werner.Raymond@epa.gov.
- *Fax:* 212–637–3901.
- *Mail:* Raymond Werner, Chief, Air Programs Branch, Environmental

Protection Agency, Region 2 Office, 290 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10007–1866.

• *Hand Delivery:* Raymond Werner, Chief, Air Programs Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2 Office, 290 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10007–1866. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office's normal hours of operation. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 to 4:30 excluding Federal holidays.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA–R02–OAR–2006–0615. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through www.regulations.gov or e-mail. The www.regulations.gov Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through www.regulations.gov your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about EPA's public docket visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at <http://www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Anthony (Ted) Gardella, Air Programs Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, 290 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10007–1866, (212) 637–3892.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposes to approve the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection's (NJDEP's) request for