

[200]°F while imposing MODE 4 requirements in addition to the secondary containment requirements required to be met. No new operational conditions beyond those currently allowed by LCO 3.10.1 are introduced. The changes do not involve a physical alteration of the plant (*i.e.*, no new or different type of equipment will be installed) or a change in the methods governing normal plant operation. In addition, the changes do not impose any new or different requirements or eliminate any existing requirements. The changes do not alter assumptions made in the safety analysis. The proposed changes are consistent with the safety analysis assumptions and current plant operating practice. Therefore, the proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

Criterion 3: The proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

Technical Specifications currently allow for operation at greater than [200]°F while imposing MODE 4 requirements in addition to the secondary containment requirements required to be met. Extending the activities that can apply this allowance will not adversely impact any margin of safety. Allowing completion of inspections and testing and supporting completion of scram time testing initiated in conjunction with an inservice leak or hydrostatic test prior to power operation results in enhanced safe operations by eliminating unnecessary maneuvers to control reactor temperature and pressure. Therefore, the proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

Based on the above, the NRC concludes that the proposed change presents no significant hazards consideration under the standards set forth in 10 CFR 50.92(c), and, accordingly, a finding of no significant hazards consideration is justified.

Principal Contributor: Aron Lewin.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 15th day of August 2006.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Timothy Kobetz,

Branch Chief, Technical Specifications Branch, Division of Inspections and Regional Support, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. E6-13715 Filed 8-18-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

Notice of a Meeting; Yucca Mountain, NV

Workshop: September 25–26, 2006—Las Vegas, Nevada; The U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review board will host a workshop on the potential for localized corrosion of Alloy-22, the material that has been proposed for waste packages in which spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste will be disposed of inside the proposed Yucca Mountain repository.

Pursuant to its authority under section 5051 of Public Law 100–203, Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1987, the U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board will host a workshop on localized corrosion in Las Vegas, Nevada. The focus of the workshop will be the potential for localized corrosion of Alloy-22 under aqueous conditions that might exist in a proposed Yucca Mountain repository. Alloy-22 is a material that has been proposed for waste packages in which spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste will be disposed of inside the proposed repository. Among the workshop topics will be results of recent and ongoing testing related to evolution of aqueous environments in the repository and the potential initiation, propagation, cessation, and consequences of localized corrosion of Alloy-22. The Board was charged in the Nuclear Waste Amendments Act of 1987 with conducting an independent review of the technical and scientific validity of U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) activities related to disposing, packaging, and transporting of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste.

The workshop agenda will be available on the Board's Web site <http://www.nwtrb.gov>) approximately one week before the date of the workshop. The agenda also may be obtained by telephone request at that time. The workshop will be open to the public, and opportunities for public comment will be provided. Transcripts of the workshop proceedings and overheads from workshop presentations will be available on the Board's Web site approximately three weeks after the workshop date.

The workshop will be held at the Las Vegas Marriott Suites; 325 Convention Center Drive; Las Vegas, Nevada 89109; telephone 702–650–2000; fax 702–650–9466.

The workshop will begin Monday afternoon with introductions of the participants; presentations of the ground

rules; and a discussion of possible waste package environments, including data obtained from current and ongoing tests, interpretation of the data, and modeling used to project possible waste package environments.

On Tuesday morning, the workshop will reconvene, and discussions will focus on testing related to the potential for localized corrosion of the Alloy-22 waste packages. The discussions will continue until late afternoon, when the workshop will adjourn.

Time will be set aside during the workshop for public comments. Those wanting to speak are encouraged to sign the "Public Comment Register" at the check-in-table. A time limit may have to be set on individual remarks, but written comments of any length may be submitted for the record.

Transcripts of the workshop will be available on the Board's Web site, by e-mail, on computer disk, and on a library-loan basis in paper format from Davonya Barnes of the Board's staff no later than October 19, 2006.

A block of rooms has been reserved for workshop attendees and participants at the Las Vegas Marriott Suites. When making a reservation, please state that you will be attending the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board workshop. Reservations should be made by September 1, 2006, to ensure receiving the workshop rate.

For more information, contact Karyn Severson, NWTRB External Affairs; 2300 Clarendon Boulevard, Suite 1300; Arlington, VA 22201–3367; 703–235–4473; fax 703–235–4495.

Dated: August 16, 2006.

William D. Barnard,

Executive Director, Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board.

[FR Doc. 06–7049 Filed 8–18–06; 8:45am]

BILLING CODE 6820-AM-M

NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

Notice of a Board Meeting; Amargosa Valley, NV

Board meeting: September 27, 2006—Amargosa Valley, Nevada; The U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board will meet to discuss U.S. Department of Energy efforts to develop and articulate a safety case for the proposed Yucca Mountain repository.

Pursuant to its authority under section 5051 of Public Law 100–203, Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1987, the U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board will meet in Amargosa Valley, Nevada, on Wednesday, September 27, 2006, to

review the Department of Energy's (DOE) efforts to develop and articulate a safety case for a proposed geologic repository for the disposal of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste at Yucca Mountain in Nevada. The Board was charged in the Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1987 with conducting an independent review of the technical and scientific validity of DOE activities related to implementing the Nuclear Waste Policy Act.

A final meeting agenda will be available on the Board's Web site (<http://www.nwtrb.gov>) approximately one week before the meeting date. The agenda also may be obtained by telephone request at that time. The meeting will be open to the public, and opportunities for public comment will be provided.

The meeting will be held at the Longstreet Inn and Casino; Stateline and Highway 373; Amargosa Valley, Nevada 89020; telephone 775-372-1777; fax 775-372-1280.

The meeting will begin at 8 a.m. with an overview of the Yucca Mountain program. Presentations on DOE's safety case will continue throughout the day.

Time will be set aside at the end of the day for public comments. Those wanting to speak are encouraged to sign the "Public Comment Register" at the check-in table. A time limit may have to be set on individual remarks, but written comments of any length may be submitted for the record.

Transcripts of the meeting will be available on the Board's Web site, by e-mail, on computer disk, and on a library-loan basis in paper format from Davonya Barnes of the Board's staff no later than October 23, 2006.

For more information, contact Karyn Severson, NWTRB External Affairs; 2300 Clarendon Boulevard, Suite 1300; Arlington, VA 22201-3367; 703-235-4473; fax 703-235-4495.

Dated: August 16, 2006.

William D. Barnard,

Executive Director, Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board.

[FR Doc. 06-7050 Filed 8-18-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-AM-M

Extensions:

Form T-1, OMB Control No. 3235-0110, SEC File No. 270-121

Form T-2, OMB Control No. 3235-0111, SEC File No. 270-122

Form T-3, OMB Control No. 3235-0105, SEC File No. 270-123

Form T-4, OMB Control No. 3235-0107, SEC File No. 270-124

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collections of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit these existing collections of information to the Office of Management and Budget for approval.

Form T-1 (17 CFR 269.1) is a statement of eligibility and qualification under the Trust Indenture Act of 1939 (15 U.S.C. 77aaa *et seq.*) of a corporation designated to act as a trustee. The information is used to determine whether the trustee is qualified to serve under the indenture. Form T-1 takes approximately 15 hours per response to prepare and is filed by 13 respondents. We estimate that 25% of the 15 burden hours (4 hours per response) is prepared by the company for a total reporting burden of 52 hours (4 hours per response x 13 responses). The remaining 75% of the burden hours is attributed to outside cost.

Form T-2 (17 CFR 269.2) is a statement of eligibility of an individual trustee to serve under an indenture relating to debt securities offered publicly. The information is used to determine whether the trustee is qualified to serve under the indenture. Form T-2 takes approximately 9 hours per response to prepare and is filed by 36 respondents. We estimate that 25% of the 9 burden hours (2 hours per responses) is prepared by the filer for a total reporting burden of 72 hours (2 hours per response x 36 responses). The remaining 75% of the burden hours is attributed to outside cost.

Form T-3 (17 CFR 269.3) is an application for qualification of an indenture under the Trust Indenture Act of 1939 (15 U.S.C. 77aaa *et seq.*). The information provided by Form T-3 is used by the staff to decide whether to qualify an indenture relating to securities offered to the public in an offering registered under the Securities Act of 1933 (15 U.S.C. 77a *et seq.*). Form T-3 takes approximately 43 hours per response to prepare and is filed by 78 respondents. We estimate that 25% of the 43 burden hours (11 hours per response) is prepared by the filer for a total reporting burden of 858 hours (11

hours per response x 78 responses). The remaining 75% of the burden hours is attributed to outside cost.

Form T-4 (17 CFR 269.4) is used to apply for an exemption pursuant to Section 304(c) (15 U.S.C. 77ddd (c)) of the Trust Indenture Act of 1939 (77 U.S.C. 77aaa *et seq.*) and is transmitted to shareholders. Form T-4 takes approximately 5 hours per response to prepare and is filed by 3 respondents. We estimate that 25% of the 5 burden hours (1 hour per response) is prepared by the filer for a total reporting burden of 3 hours (1 hour per response x 3 responses). The remaining 75% of the burden hours is attributed to outside cost.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether these proposed collections of information are necessary for the performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden imposed by the collections of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comment to R. Corey Booth, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, C/O Shirley Martinson 6432 General Greenway, Alexandria, Virginia 22312; or send an e-mail to: PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov.

Dated: August 14, 2006.

Nancy M. Morris,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6-13723 Filed 8-18-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collections; Comment Request

Upon written request; copies available from: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.