

www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-10/documents/experts_forum_summary.pdf.

POTWs with separate sanitary sewer systems can also lessen the impact of peak flows by implementing a variety of strategies to minimize the introduction of stormwater into the collection system. While virtually every separate sanitary sewer system has some groundwater infiltration and stormwater-derived I/I, it may be considered excessive when it is the cause of overflows or causes disruptions in the treatment system. POTWs with excessive I/I have a number of different methods for identifying the largest sources of I/I in their sewer system. These include system mapping, flow monitoring, conducting smoke or dye tests, sensor technology, and using optical devices to view sections of the system. Developing plans for correcting and rehabilitating the highest priority sources of I/I into the collection system may involve such strategies as repairing manholes, replacing and repairing private building lateral pipes, ensuring building downspouts are not connected to the sewer system, sealing sewer joints, inserting sewer liners, or even replacing sections of the sewer line. Other strategies may focus on maximizing existing collection system capacity through real-time controls to optimize flows within the system, or building additional storage within the collection system or treatment plant.

EPA acknowledges the significant expertise that exists among states, tribes, POTWs and municipal officials, engineering firms, public health agencies, and the public related to these issues. These listening sessions are designed to take advantage of this information from a variety of perspectives to help provide a complete picture of the considerations that should go into any rulemaking to address permitting requirements for the management of peak flows at POTWs with separate sanitary sewer systems.

III. Areas of Feedback Requested for Public Listening Sessions

Interested members of the public who plan to provide oral or written testimony at the listening sessions, or to submit written material to EPA separately as detailed in the instructions provided in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice, are welcome to provide their input on any issue related to the topic of peak flow management at POTW treatment plants with separate sanitary sewer systems. But EPA particularly welcomes feedback from the public on the following specific questions.

- What strategies have you found to be successful in reducing peak flow volumes at the POTW treatment plant?
- What permitting or other regulatory approaches are you aware of that in your opinion provide a good basis for any rulemaking in this area?
- What treatment technologies have POTWs with separate sanitary sewer systems used successfully to manage peak excess flows during wet weather? How effective are these technologies at meeting effluent limitations? What are examples of technologies addressing other pollutants not typically subject to discharge requirements in NPDES permits (e.g., pathogens)? Related to these questions, do you have supporting treatment efficacy data that you would be willing to share with EPA for this rulemaking?
- What are your specific suggestions regarding conditions that could be included in NPDES permits to allow diversions of some peak flows around biological treatment units to protect the treatment plant? Considerations could include:
 - What information might the NPDES permitting authority need in order to determine whether such diversions are necessary to protect the treatment plant?
 - Should the number of times such diversions are permitted to occur be limited or reported?
 - Are there any requirements that should be considered for ensuring that the treatment plant is operated and maintained in an effective manner to minimize the number of peak flow diversions that occur?
 - What requirements would be appropriate for ensuring that maintenance of the collection system to minimize the introduction of stormwater into the sanitary system through inflow and infiltration is occurring?
 - What monitoring and reporting requirements would be important to demonstrate that applicable effluent limits are still being met?
 - How may the permit ensure that public and ecological health is protected?

Authority: Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 *et seq.*

Dated: August 24, 2018.

Martha Shimkin,

Acting Director, Office of Wastewater Management.

[FR Doc. 2018-19016 Filed 8-30-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-9041-1]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

AGENCY: Office of Federal Activities, EPA.

General Information (202) 564-7156 or <https://www2.epa.gov/nepa/>
Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements
Filed 08/20/2018 Through 08/24/2018
Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

Notice

Section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act requires that EPA make public its comments on EISs issued by other Federal agencies. EPA's comment letters on EISs are available at: <https://cdxnodengn.epa.gov/cdx-enepa-public/action/eis/search>.

EIS No. 20180192, Draft, BLM, AZ, Ten West Link 500kV Transmission Line Project Draft Environmental Impact Statement and Draft Resource Management Plan Amendments, Comment Period Ends: 11/29/2018, Contact: Joseph Incardine 801-560-7135.

EIS No. 20180193, Final, DOI, AK, Liberty Development and Production Plan Beaufort Sea, Alaska Final Environmental Impact Statement, Review Period Ends: 10/01/2018, Contact: Lauren Boldrick 907-334-5200.

EIS No. 20180194, Draft, FERC, OR, Swan Lake North Pumped Storage Project, Comment Period Ends: 10/15/2018, Contact: Dianne Rodman 202-502-6077.

EIS No. 20180195, Draft, BLM, WY, Leavitt Reservoir Expansion Project, Comment Period Ends: 10/15/2018, Contact: Holly Elliott 307-347-5100.

EIS No. 20180196, Final, BLM, AK, Alpine Satellite Development Plan for the Proposed Greater Mooses Tooth 2 Development Project, Review Period Ends: 10/01/2018, Contact: Stephanie Rice 907-271-3202.

EIS No. 20180197, Draft, BLM, WY, Lost Creek Uranium In-Situ Recovery Project Modifications, Comment Period Ends: 10/15/2018, Contact: Annette Treat 307-328-4314.

EIS No. 20180199, Draft, USFWS, IA, Draft Environmental Impact Statement for Proposed Habitat Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Permit, Comment Period Ends: 10/15/2018, Contact: Kraig McPeck 309-757-5800.

EIS No. 20180199, Final Supplement, TVA, KY, Shawnee Fossil Plant Coal

Combustion Residual Management,
Review Period Ends: 10/01/2018,
Contact: Ashley Pilakowski 865-632-
2256.

EIS No. 20180200, Draft, BLM, WY,
Alkali Creek Reservoir Project,
Comment Period Ends: 10/15/2018,
Contact: Holly Elliott 307-347-5100.

EIS No. 20180201, Final, USFS, CA,
Mammoth Base Area Land Exchange,
Review Period Ends: 10/15/2018,
Contact: Janelle Walker 760-924-
5523.

EIS No. 20180202, Final, FAA, AZ,
Tucson International Airport—
Airfield Safety Enhancement Project,
Review Period Ends: 10/01/2018,
Contact: David Kessler 424-405-7315.

Dated: August 28, 2018.

Robert Tomiak,

Director, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 2018-18932 Filed 8-30-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2018-0578; FRL-9982-38]

Pesticide Product Registration; Receipt of Applications for New Active Ingredients

AGENCY: Environmental Protection
Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA has received applications to register pesticide products containing active ingredients not included in any currently registered pesticide products. Pursuant to the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), EPA is hereby providing notice of receipt and opportunity to comment on these applications.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before October 1, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by the Docket Identification (ID) Number and the File Symbol of interest as shown in the body of this document, by one of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.
- *Mail:* OPP Docket, Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), (28221T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001.
- *Hand Delivery:* To make special arrangements for hand delivery or

delivery of boxed information, please follow the instructions at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets/contacts.html>.

Additional instructions on commenting or visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert McNally, Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division (7511P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001; main telephone number: (703) 305-7090; email address: BPPDFRNotices@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. The following list of North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide to help readers determine whether this document applies to them. Potentially affected entities may include:

- Crop production (NAICS code 111).
- Animal production (NAICS code 112).
- Food manufacturing (NAICS code 311).
- Pesticide manufacturing (NAICS code 32532).

B. What should I consider as I prepare my comments for EPA?

1. *Submitting CBI.* Do not submit this information to EPA through [regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or email. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD-ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD-ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD-ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. *Tips for preparing your comments.* When preparing and submitting your comments, see the commenting tips at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets/comments.html>.

II. Registration Applications

EPA has received applications to register pesticide products containing active ingredients not included in any currently registered pesticide products. Pursuant to the provisions of FIFRA section 3(c)(4) (7 U.S.C. 136a(c)(4)), EPA is hereby providing notice of receipt and opportunity to comment on these applications. Notice of receipt of these applications does not imply a decision by the Agency on these applications.

III. New Active Ingredients

1. *File Symbol:* 82074-RA. *Docket ID number:* EPA-HQ-OPP-2016-0079. *Applicant:* LAM International Corp., 117 South Parkmont St., Butte, MT 59701. *Product name:* Biostat 10% WP. *Active ingredient:* Nematocide—*Purpureocillium lilacinum* strain PL11 at 10.0%. *Proposed use:* The proposed product is a wettable powder formulation containing spores of a soil fungus that parasitizes many species of plant-parasitic nematodes and is to be applied in agricultural settings.

2. *File Symbol:* 82074-RL. *Docket ID number:* EPA-HQ-OPP-2016-0079. *Applicant:* LAM International Corp., 117 South Parkmont St., Butte, MT 59701. *Product name:* Biostat 2% WP. *Active ingredient:* Nematocide—*Purpureocillium lilacinum* strain PL11 at 2.0%. *Proposed use:* The proposed product is a wettable powder formulation containing spores of a soil fungus that parasitizes many species of plant-parasitic nematodes and is to be applied in agricultural settings.

3. *File Symbol:* 82074-RU. *Docket ID number:* EPA-HQ-OPP-2016-0079. *Applicant:* LAM International Corp., 117 South Parkmont St., Butte, MT 59701. *Product name:* PL11 Technical. *Active ingredient:* Nematocide—*Purpureocillium lilacinum* strain PL11 at 100.0%. *Proposed use:* For manufacturing of *Purpureocillium lilacinum* strain PL11 pesticide products.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 136 *et seq.*

Dated: August 14, 2018.

Delores Barber,

Director, Information Technology and Resources Management Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 2018-19015 Filed 8-30-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P