Compliance, Office IV, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 10, 2018, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) initiated a countervailing duty (CVD) investigation of imports of steel racks from the People's Republic of China.¹ Currently, the preliminary determination is due no later than September 13, 2018.

Postponement of Preliminary Determination

Section 703(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires Commerce to issue the preliminary determination in a CVD investigation within 65 days after the date on which Commerce initiated the investigation. However, section 703(c)(1) of the Act permits Commerce to postpone the preliminary determination until no later than 130 days after the date on which Commerce initiated the investigation if: (A) the petitioner 2 makes a timely request for a postponement; or (B) Commerce concludes that the parties concerned are cooperating, and determines that the investigation is extraordinarily complicated, and that additional time is necessary to make a preliminary determination. Under 19 CFR 351.205(e), the petitioner must submit a request for postponement 25 days or more before the scheduled date of the preliminary determination and must state the reasons for the request. Commerce will grant the request unless it finds compelling reasons to deny the request.

On August 9, 2018, the petitioner submitted a timely request that Commerce postpone the preliminary determination.³ The petitioner states that it requests postponement of the preliminary determination because the scope of the investigation does not coincide exactly with any particular HTS category, it has been timeconsuming for Commerce to identify the largest producers of subject imports,

and, at the time of the petitioner's request, Commerce had not yet been able to designate mandatory respondents.⁴ The petitioner states that the postponement would allow sufficient time for Commerce to conduct a full investigation regarding the subsidy benefits received by Chinese producers and exporters of subject racks.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.205(e), the petitioner has stated the reasons for requesting a postponement of the preliminary determination, and Commerce finds no compelling reason to deny the request. Therefore, in accordance with section 703(c)(1)(A) of the Act, Commerce is postponing the deadline for the preliminary determination to no later than 130 days after the date on which the investigation was initiated, i.e., November 19, 2018.5 Pursuant to section 705(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(1), the deadline for the final determination of this investigation will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determination, unless postponed at a later date.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 703(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: August 22, 2018.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2018–18611 Filed 8–27–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Institute of Standards and Technology

Change of Publication Manner for Invention Licenses

AGENCY: National Institute of Standards and Technology, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Currently, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) publishes notices of prospective

exclusive, co-exclusive or partially exclusive domestic or foreign licenses of Government owned inventions in the **Federal Register**. NIST is announcing that it will begin publishing such notices at *FEDBIZOPPS.GOV* (https://www.fbo.gov/), providing opportunity for filing written objections within at least a 15-day period.

ADDRESSES: Questions related to this notice may be submitted to NIST, Technology Partnerships Office, 100 Bureau Drive, Stop 2200, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, or emailed to donald.archer@nist.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Zielinski, NIST Technology Partnerships Office, 100 Bureau Drive, Stop 2200, Gaithersburg, MD 20899; by email at *paul.zielinski@nist.gov*, or by phone at 301–975–2573.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to 37 CFR 404.7(a)(1)(i), an exclusive, co-exclusive or partially exclusive domestic license, and, pursuant to 37 CFR 404.7(b)(1)(i), an exclusive, co-exclusive or partially exclusive foreign license, may be granted on Government owned inventions only if notice of a prospective license has been published in the Federal Register or other appropriate manner, providing opportunity for filing written objections within at least a 15-day period.

NIST provides notice that it will publish future notices of prospective exclusive, co-exclusive or partially exclusive domestic or foreign licenses in FEDBIZOPPS.GOV (https://www.fbo.gov/), providing opportunity for filing written objections within at least a 15-day period.

Authority: 35 U.S.C. 200 et seq.

Phillip Singerman,

Associate Director for Innovation and Industry Services.

[FR Doc. 2018–18551 Filed 8–27–18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XG205

Takes of Marine Mammals Incidental to Specified Activities; Taking Marine Mammals Incidental to the Mukilteo Multimodal Project—Season 3

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice; issuance of incidental harassment authorization.

¹ See Certain Steel Racks from the People's Republic: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation, 83 FR 33201 (July 17, 2018) (Initiation Notice).

² The petitioner is the Coalition of Fair Rack Imports and its individual members are Bulldog Rack Company, Hannibal Industries, Inc., Husky Rack and Wire, Ridg-U-Rak, Inc., SpaceRAK, a Division of Heartland Steel Products, Inc., Speedrack Products Group, Ltd., Steel King Industries, Inc., Tri-Boro Shelving & Partition Corp., and UNARCO Material Handling, Inc.

³ Letter from the petitioner, "Re: Certain Steel Racks from the People's Republic of China: Request to Postpone Preliminary Determination," dated August 9, 2018.

⁴ Id.

⁵ Postponing the preliminary determination to 130 days after initiation would place the deadline on Saturday, November 17, 2018. Commerce's practice dictates that where a deadline falls on a weekend or federal holiday, the appropriate deadline is the next business day. See Notice of Clarification: Application of "Next Business Day" Rule for Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, As Amended, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005).

SUMMARY: In accordance with the regulations implementing the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) as amended, notification is hereby given that NMFS has issued an incidental harassment authorization (IHA) to the Washington Department of Transportation (WSDOT) Ferries Division (WSF) to incidentally take, by Level A and B harassment, marine mammals during construction activities associated with the Mukilteo Multimodal Project, Puget Sound, Washington.

DATES: This Authorization is effective from October 1, 2018, through September 30, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jaclyn Daly, Office of Protected Resources, NMFS, (301) 427–8401. Electronic copies of the application, IHA, and supporting documents, as well as a list of the references cited in this document, may be obtained online at: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/node/23111. In case of problems accessing these documents, please call the contact listed above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Sections 101(a)(5)(A) and (D) of the MMPA (16 U.S.C. 1361 et seq.) direct the Secretary of Commerce (as delegated to NMFS) to allow, upon request, the incidental, but not intentional, taking of small numbers of marine mammals by U.S. citizens who engage in a specified activity (other than commercial fishing) within a specified geographical region if certain findings are made and either regulations are issued or, if the taking is limited to harassment, a notice of a proposed authorization is provided to the public for review.

An authorization for incidental takings shall be granted if NMFS finds that the taking will have a negligible impact on the species or stock(s), will not have an unmitigable adverse impact on the availability of the species or stock(s) for subsistence uses (where relevant), and if the permissible methods of taking and requirements pertaining to the mitigation, monitoring and reporting of such takings are set forth.

NMFS has defined "negligible impact" in 50 CFR 216.103 as an impact resulting from the specified activity that cannot be reasonably expected to, and is not reasonably likely to, adversely affect the species or stock through effects on annual rates of recruitment or survival.

The MMPA states that the term "take" means to harass, hunt, capture, kill or attempt to harass, hunt, capture, or kill any marine mammal.

Éxcept with respect to certain activities not pertinent here, the MMPA defines "harassment" as any act of pursuit, torment, or annoyance which (i) has the potential to injure a marine mammal or marine mammal stock in the wild (Level A harassment); or (ii) has the potential to disturb a marine mammal or marine mammal stock in the wild by causing disruption of behavioral patterns, including, but not limited to, migration, breathing, nursing, breeding, feeding, or sheltering (Level B harassment).

Summary of Request

On April 7, 2016, WSDOT submitted a request to NMFS requesting an IHA for the possible harassment of small numbers of marine mammals incidental to construction associated with Phase 2 of the Mukilteo Multimodal Project in Mukilteo, Washington, between August 1, 2017, and July 31, 2018. NMFS issued the requested IHA on August 3, 2017, which covered Phase 2 of the project in its entirety; the IHA expired on July 31, 2018 (82 FR 44164; September 21, 2017). On January 9, 2018, we received a request from WSDOT for a subsequent authorization to take marine mammals incidental to the project because all of the Phase 2 work would not be able to be completed under the existing IHA. A final version of the application, which we deemed adequate and complete, was submitted on March 1, 2018.

On June 28, 2018, NMFS published its proposed IHA in the **Federal Register** for public comment (83 FR 30421). NMFS has issued an IHA to WSDOT for the take, by Level A and B harassment, of 12 species of marine mammals incidental to pile driving and removal associated with the Mukilteo Multimodal Project.

Description of the Specified Activity and Anticipated Impacts

WSDOT operates and maintains 19 ferry terminals and one maintenance facility, all of which are located in Puget Sound or the San Juan Islands (Georgia Basin) (Figure 1–1 in WSDOT's application). The Mukilteo Multimodal Project is a multi-year construction project designed to improve the operations and facilities serving the mainland terminus of the Mukilteo-Clinton ferry route in Washington State. The 2017 IHA covered the installation

of 661 piles of various sizes over an estimated 175 days of pile driving and removal (Table 1). WSDOT did not complete all the work; therefore the issued IHA covers take incidental to the installation of the remaining piles (Table 1). The 2017 IHA authorized Level A and B harassment of two species of marine mammals and Level B harassment of seven species of marine mammals. NMFS has issued an IHA to harass these same species and an additional three species based on recent marine mammal monitoring near the project area (Table 2).

We refer to the notice of proposed IHA (83 FR 30421, June 28, 2018) and documents related to the previously issued 2017 IHA and discuss any new or changed information here. Previous documents include the Federal Register notice of the proposed 2017 IHA (82 FR 29713; May 10, 2017), Federal Register notice of issuance of the 2017 IHA (82 FR 44164, September 21, 2017), and all associated references and documents. We also refer the reader to WSDOT's previous and current applications and monitoring reports. All of these documents may be found at https:// www.fisheries.noaa.gov/node/23111.

Detailed Description of the Action—A detailed description of the vibratory and impact pile driving and removal activities at the Mukilteo Terminal is found in the aforementioned documents. The location, timing, and nature of the pile driving operations, including the type and size of piles and the methods of pile driving, are identical to those described in the previous notices, except that only a subset of the type and number of piles are to be driven because some of the work was completed under the 2017 IHA. Under the issued IHA (2018–2019), 116 piles would be installed with a vibratory hammer. Of those, sixty-five 24-inch (in) piles would also be proofed with an impact hammer and then removed.

WSDOT anticipates piles equal to or less than 36-in would be installed at a rate of 3 per day for a total of 38 days. Removing the 65 24-in temporary piles may also occur at a rate of 3 pile per day for a total of 22 days. An additional two days is needed to install the 78-in piles and 120-in pile. In total, up to 62 days of pile driving and removal may occur. WSDOT anticipates pile driving and removal could occur over a seven month in-water work window (July 15–February 15).

TABLE 1—DESCRIPTION OF WORK PLANNED, ANALYZED, AND COMPLETED UNDER THE 2017 IHA AND REMAINING WORK PLANNED FOR 2018-2019

Method	Pile size (in)	Season 2 planned (2017 IHA)	Season 2 completed	Season 3 planned (2018 IHA)	Number of days	Comment	
Vibratory Driving	12	139	134	0	0	Fewer needed, complete.	
	24	69	4	¹ 65	22	Up to 69 temporary.	
	24	48	0	26	9	Fewer needed, permanent.	
	30	40	25	16	5	Permanent.	
	36	6	0	6	2	Permanent.	
	78	2	0	2	1	Permanent.	
	120	1	0	1	1	Permanent.	
	sheet	90	0	0	0	Design change, not needed.	
Vibratory Removal	24	69	4	¹ 65	22	Temporary.	
	30	9	0	0	0	Delayed.	
	sheet	90	0	0	0	Design change, not needed.	
Impact Driving	24	69	4	¹ 65	² 22	Proofed for load-bearing.	
	30	30	25	0	0	Fewer needed, complete.	

¹These 65 piles represent the same 65 temporary 24" piles driven with a vibratory hammer. The temporary piles would be installed, proofed, and removed.

Description of Marine Mammals—A description of the marine mammals in the area of the activities is found in the

notice of proposed IHA (83 FR 30421, June 28, 2018). This information remains valid so we do not repeat it

here but provide a summary table with marine mammal species and stock details.

TABLE 2—SPECIES AND STOCKS EXPECTED TO OCCUR IN PROJECT AREA

Common name	Scientific name	Stock	ESA/ MMPA status; strategic (Y/N) 1	Stock abundance (CV, N _{min} , most recent abundance survey) ²	PBR	Annual M/SI ³
	Order Cetartiodact	yla—Cetacea—Superfamily My	sticeti (bale	en whales)		
Family Eschrichtiidae: Gray whale Family Balaenopteridae (rorquals):	Eschrichtius robustus	Eastern North Pacific	N	20,990 (0.05, 20,125, 2014)	624	132
Humpback whale Minke whale *	Megaptera novaeangliae Balaenoptera acutorostrata	California/Oregon/Washington California/Oregon/Washington	Y N	1,918 (0.03, 1,876, 2017) 636 (0.72, 369, 2016)	11.0 3.5	9.2 1.3
	Superfamily Odd	ontoceti (toothed whales, dolph	nins, and po	orpoises)		
Family Delphinidae: Killer whale	Orcinus orca	Eastern North Pacific South- ern Resident.	Y	76 (n/a, 76, 2017) ⁴	0	0.14
Bottlenose dolphin* Long-beaked common dolphin*.	Tursiops truncatus Delphinus delphis bairdii	West coast transient	N	unk (unk, 243 2013) 453 (0.06, 346, 2016) 101,305 (0.49, 68,432, 2016)	2.4 2.7 657	0 ≥2 35.4
Family Phocoenidae (porpoises): Harbor porpoise Dall's porpoise	PhocoenaPhocoenoides dalli	Washington inland waters California/Oregon/Washington		11,233 (0.37, 8,308, 2016) 25,750 (0.45, 17,954, 2016)	66 172	7.2 0.3
	Ord	er Carnivora—Superfamily Pin	nipedia			
Family Otariidae (eared seals and sea lions): California sea lion	Zalophus californianus Eumetopias jubatus	U.SEastern U.S		296,750 (n/a, 153,337, 2014) 52,139 (n/a, 41,638, 2015)	9,200 2,498	389 108
seals): Harbor seal	Phoca vitulina	Washington northern inland waters.	N	11,036 (0.15, 1999)	1,641	43
Elephant seal	Mirounga angustirostris		N	179,000 (n/a, 81,368, 2014)	2,882	8.8

¹ Endangered Species Act (ESA) status: Endangered (E), Threatened (T)/MMPA status: Depleted (D). A dash (-) indicates that the species is not listed under the ESA or designated as depleted under the MMPA. Under the MMPA, a strategic stock is one for which the level of direct human-caused mortality exceeds PBR or which is determined to be declining and likely to be listed under the ESA within the foreseeable future. Any species or stock listed under the ESA is automatically designated under the MMPA as depleted and as a strategic stock.

² NMFS marine mammal stock assessment reports online at: www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/sars/. CV is coefficient of variation; Nmin is the minimum estimate of stock

² Impact hammering would be conducted on same day as vibratory pile driving so these are not additional days.

abundance.

³These values, found in NMFS's SARs, represent annual levels of human-caused mortality plus serious injury from all sources combined (*e.g.*, commercial fisheries, ship strike). Annual M/SI often cannot be determined precisely and is in some cases presented as a minimum value or range. A CV associated with estimated mortality due to commercial fisheries is presented in some cases.

⁴SRWK population abundance as of December 31, 2017 according to the Center for Whale Research.

⁵ Harbor seal estimate is based on data that are greater than 8 years old, but this is the best available information for use here. * Indicates species added.

 ${\it Harassment\ Zones} {\it \bf --The\ harassment}$ threshold distances and areas provided in the Federal Register notice of

proposed IHA (83 FR 30421, June 28, 2018) remain unchanged. Please refer to that document documents for details;

we provide a summary tables here (Table 3 and 4).

Table 3—Level A Harassment Distances Considering Pile Driving Duration per 24 Hours

Method	Pile size Source level			Level B				
wethou	File Size	(dB)	LF1	MF ¹	HF1	PH1	OT1	(m)
Vibratory	24	166 rms ²	30.6	2.7	45.3	18.6	1.3	68,000
,	30	174 rms ³	104.5	9.3	154.5	63.5	4.5	68,000
	36	177 rms ³	165.6	14.7	244.9	100.7	7.1	⁷ 8,700
	78	180 rms 4	200.3	17.8	296.2	121.8	8.5	8 20,000
	120	180 rms 4	126.2	11.2	186.6	76.7	5.4	
Impact	24	178 SEL (single strike)/193 rms 5	432.1	15.4	514.7	231.2	16.8	1,585

¹The abbreviatation mean: LF = low frequency cetacean, MF = mid-frequency cetacean, HF = high-frequency cetacean, PH = phocid, OT = otariid.

²We assume vibratory removal and vibratory driving the same size pile would result in equal sound levels. Source level for 24" piles is based on direct measurements during the Manette Bridge project (Loughlin, 2010a).

³Source levels for 30-in and 36-in piles is based on direct measurements during the Port Townsend Project (Loughlin, 2010b).

⁴ WSDOT does not have noise data for 78 and 120-in piles; therefore, we used data from Caltrans (2015).

⁵ Single strike SEL and rms values for impact driving 24-in piles is based on direct measurements during pile driving using a bubble curtain (*i.e.*, source levels are attenuated) at the Coupeville Terminal (WSDOT, 2017).

⁶Measurements during 30" vibratory pile driving at Mukilteo in 2017 indicate pile driving was not detected at range of 7.9 km (Laughlin, 2017a). This equates to 66

km².

At the Coleman Terminal, vibratory installation of two 36" piles driven simultaneously was not detectable at 8.69 km (5.4 miles) (Laughlin 2017b). This equates to 69 km².

8 The calculated Level B zone using a practical spreading loss model is 100,000 m; however, land is reached at a maximum of 20,000 m (Lowell Point on Camano

Island). This equates to 107 km

TABLE 4—CORRESPONDING HARASSMENT THRESHOLD ENSONIFIED AREAS

Method	Pile size		Level B			
wetriou	File Size	HF	PH	ОТ	(km²) ²	
Vibratory	24 30	<0.01 <0.01	<0.01 <0.01	<0.01	66 66	
	36	0.06	0.06		69	
	78	0.01	0.01		107	
	120	0.01	0.01			
Impact	24	0.4	0.4		4	

¹ Level A harassment areas are provided for species hearing groups for which Level A take is authorized.

Estimated Take—A description of the methods used to estimate take anticipated to occur from the project is found in the project's aforementioned documents. The methods (i.e., equations) and rational for estimating take in the proposed IHA (83 FR 30421, June 28, 2018) for all species remains unchanged; however, we adjusted the number of days of pile driving factored into the takes estimates in the issued IHA. For harbor porpoise and harbor seals, as described below, we also made additional small adjustments to the final take estimates based on other factors, as recommended in comments made by the Commission (see Comments and Responses). Densities presented in the proposed IHA (83 FR 30421, June 28, 2018) remain unchanged (Table 5). For density based estimates, the equation used is $density \times area ensonified above$ the threshold × number of pile driving days summed across all piles types. For harbor porpoise, we calculated take using the density identified in Table 5.

For 24-in and 30-in piles: $0.75 \times 66 \text{ km}^2$ ×58 days (vibratory installation and removal) equals 2,871 animals. For 36in piles: $0.75 \times 69 \text{ km}^2 \times 2 \text{ days equals}$ 104 animals. For 78-in and 120-in piles: $0.75 \times 107 \text{ km}^2 \times 2 \text{ days} = 161 \text{ animals}.$ In total, we calculated 3,136 harbor porpoise could be taken. However, marine mammal monitoring conducted under the 2017 IHA yielded only 85 harbor porpoise sightings of which 28 were taken by harassment. In the notice of proposed IHA (83 FR 30421, June 28, 2018), we proposed authorizing 10 percent of the calculated take (which incorrectly considered an additional two days of pile driving) as the raw calculated take greatly exceeded expected take based on previous marine mammal monitoring efforts around the terminal (e.g., WSDOT, 2018). However, the Commission was concerned this approach may yield an underestimate of potential take. Therefore, we increased the number of takes to 25 percent of the total calculated take for a total of 784

Level B harassment takes. The Commission was also concerned the calculated number of Level A harassment takes using the full density provided in Smultea et al. (2017) (n=7) would also be an underestimate. Based on the Commission's recommendation to assume one group of three harbor porpoise could be within the Level A harassment area on half of the pile driving days where the potential for Level A harassment exists, (13 of the 26 days) we issued 39 Level A harassment takes for harbor porpoise.

We repeated these calculations using the approach above for Dall's porpoise, minke whales, humpback whales, gray whales, and Steller sea lions; however, we are not authorizing Level A harassment take for the latter three species as the potential for Level A harassment of these species is discountable due to high visibility of these species, small Level A harassment zones, and implementation of mitigation measures (e.g., shut downs). We

²Level B harassment areas are germane to all species.

considered Dall's porpoise to have the same potential to be taken by Level A harassment as harbor porpoise due to similar size and sightibility; therefore, we issued the same amount of Level A take for both species (n=39).

We also used the same method and rational for estimates utilizing direct counts instead of density estimates as in the proposed IHA (83 FR 30421, June 28, 2018), but again, adjusted the number of days considered. Over 51 days of marine mammal monitoring during the 2017/18 Mukilteo project, 1,525 harbor seals were observed or 30 harbor seals per day. Using the equation # of animals/day * # of days, we authorized 1860 Level B harassment takes (30 animals/day * 62 days). As

described in the notice of proposed IHA (83 FR 30421, June 28, 2018), we consider five percent of that amount could be animals taken by Level A harassment (n=93). Based on previous marine mammal monitoring data (WSDOT, 2018), we estimated 14 California sea lions per day could be taken on the 62 days of pile driving for a total of 868 Level B harassment takes. As described in the notice of proposed IHA (83 FR 30421, June 28, 2018), we did not authorize Level A harassment because the Level A harassment zones are very small based on one to three hours of pile driving and no California sea lions were taken by Level A harassment under the 2017 IHA. The method used to estimate take for

transient killer whales also remained unchanged from the proposed IHA (83 FR 30421, June 28, 2018); however, we adjusted the number of days in the equation and authorized 19 takes of transient killer whales (0.3 whales/km² × 62 days). No change was necessary to the methods, rational, and amount of take identified in the proposed IHA (83 FR 30421, June 28, 2018) for humpback whales, gray whales, Northern elephant seals, bottlenose dolphins, and longbeaked common dolphins because number of days was not a component of the take estimation process. See Table 6 for all authorized take numbers, by species, and the respective amount of the population that take represents.

TABLE 6—AUTHORIZED TAKE AMOUNT, PER SPECIES, RELATIVE TO POPULATION SIZE

	Level A	Level B	Total take	% Population
Harbor seal	93	1,860	1953	18
California sea lion	0	868	868	0.3
N. elephant seal	0	7	7	>0.1
Killer whale-transient	0	19	19	8
SSL	0	154	154	0.2
Gray whale	0	2	2	0.02
Humpback whale	0	6	6	0.3
Dall's porpoise	39	163	202	0.8
Harbor porpoise	39	784	823	7.3
Minke whale	0	7	7	1.3
Bottlenose dolphin	0	49	49	10.8
Long-beaked common dolphin	0	49	49	0.04

Description of Mitigation, Monitoring and Reporting Measures—A description of mitigation, monitoring, and reporting measures is found in the previous documents, and we have included additional details based on the Commission's comments (see Comments and Responses section). In summary, mitigation includes use of an unconfined bubble curtain (with operational standards set by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service), soft start techniques during impact pile driving in greater than 2 ft of water, a minimum 10

m shut down zone, and speciesdependent shut down zones as described in Table 7. Some of these shut down zones fully encompass the Level A harassment zone; however, for species where we propose Level A take, this might not always be the case.

TABLE 7—SHUT-DOWN ZONES

Method	Pile size		Level B ¹				
Wethou		LF	MF	HF	PH	ОТ	(m)
Vibratory	24 30 36 78 120	35 105 170 205 130	10 10 20	50 150 200	20 60	10	8,000 8,000 8,690 20,000
Impact	24	435				20	1,585

¹ The Level B harassment shutdown zone applies to only those species for which take is not authorized (e.g., southern resident killer whales) or when take for a given species is exceeded.

Monitoring requirements would be similar to the 2017 IHA requirements (see an updated Marine Mammal Monitoring Plan available at https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/node/23111); however, we have added additional reporting requirements (see Comments and Responses section). The number and location of Protected Species

Observers (PSOs) is dependent upon activity and weather conditions and are as follows:

- (i) Three land-based PSOs during impact driving of 24-in piles;
- (ii) four land-based and one ferry-based PSOs during 24-, 30-, 36-in steel vibratory driving/removal;
- (iii) five land-based and one ferrybased PSOs during 78- and 120 in steel vibratory driving/removal; and
- (iv) two ferry-based PSOs in addition to land-based PSOs when weather conditions are poor.

In April, 2018, WSDOT submitted a monitoring report for construction that had been completed under the 2017 IHA. WSDOT complied with all mitigation, monitoring, and reporting protocols. Recorded takes were below the number authorized for the corresponding amount of work. The monitoring report can be viewed on NMFS's website at https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/node/23111.

WSDOT will conduct acoustic monitoring during impact pile driving of 24-in piles per the acoustic monitoring plan submitted for the previous IHA. WSDOT will also conduct acoustic monitoring during vibratory driving 78-in and 120-in piles. Both the impact and vibratory acoustic monitoring plans are available at https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/node/23111.

Comments and Responses

A notice of NMFS' proposal to issue an IHA was published in the **Federal Register** on June 28, 2018 (83 FR 30421). During the 30-day public comment period, the Marine Mammal Commission (Commission) submitted a letter, providing comments as described below.

Comment 1: The Commission recommends that NMFS issue the IHA, subject to inclusion of modified mitigation, monitoring and reporting measures. Specifically, the Commission recommended WSDOT submit more detailed marine mammal monitoring reports that include observer location, the extent of zones for each activity, the distances/bearing from the PSO to the animal and from the animal to the source for each sighting, whether mitigation was implemented. The Commission also suggested the acoustic monitoring report should include both medians and means for peak and rootmean-square sound pressure levels and single-strike and cumulative sound exposure levels.

NMFS Response: NMFS has included the Commission's recommended marine mammal monitoring and acoustic monitoring data in the IHA.

Comment 2: The Commission recommends increasing the amount of take authorized for harbor porpoises to 39 Level A takes and 3,135 Level B takes. The premise for this comment is that the estimated density of harbor porpoise of 0.75 (Smultea et al., 2017) should be used to calculate Level B harassment takes in absence of considering the amount of harbor porpoise takes identified during marine mammal monitoring the previous work year. During informal discussion prior to submitting their letter, the Commission indicated that previous monitoring should not be considered because the Level B harassment area is

large and some harbor porpoise could have been missed during monitoring. In contrast, the Commission recommended the estimated harbor porpoise density (Smultea *et al.*, 2017) not be used to estimate Level A harassment take but should be increased to consider a group of three harbor porpoise entering the Level A harassment zone on half of the days pile driving would occur (*i.e.*, 31 out of 62 days).

NMFS Response: As described in the notice of proposed IHA (83 FR 30421, June 28, 2018), marine mammal monitoring conducted under the 2017 IHA vielded 85 harbor porpoise sightings of which 28 were taken by harassment (*i.e.*, observed within the harassment zones during pile work). Further, during informal correspondence with the Commission on this matter, NMFS indicated WSDOT employed no fewer than five PSOs during pile driving with additional PSOs placed on vessels under various circumstances (e.g., inclement weather, impact pile driving). The PSOs were stationed, per the IHA, in various locations at and around the harassment zones. Therefore, there was good observer coverage of the harassment area and the likelihood of harbor porpoise being undetected was low. Considering the number of piles driven under this IHA is less than last year's IHA, to use the density of harbor porpoise reported in Smultea et al. (2017) without consideration of these monitoring data would be a gross overestimate of take.

In the proposed IHA (83 FR 30421, June 28, 2018), NMFS calculated the number of harbor porpoise potentially taken by Level B harassment using the Smultea *et al.* (2017) density (*i.e.*, 0.75 harbor porpoise) but then reduced the resulting take to 10 percent of that number in consideration of the previous marine mammal monitoring results. While NMFS continues to believe a reduction factor is appropriate, we have modified it to 25 percent of the original calculation given the concerns of the Commission. As a result, and in consideration of the corrected number of pile driving days (reduced from 65 days to 62 days for Level B harassment), NMFS has issued 784 Level B harassment takes (see Estimated Take section for more details on these calculations). In the proposed IHA (83 FR 30421, June 28, 2018), we also used density to estimate the number of harbor porpoise potentially taken by Level A harassment but did not apply a correction factor due to the low results (n=7). Although the potential for Level A harassment of harbor porpoise is low, we accepted the Commission's

recommendation and adjusted take numbers to reflect group size in lieu of using density, authorizing 39 Level A harassment takes (see *Estimated Take* section).

Comment 3: The Commission recommended NMFS modify the number of takes of marine mammals based on agreements made during informal correspondence. Specially, the Commission reiterated NMFS commitment to not use a reduction factor for harbor seals and correct the number of pile driving days used in the take estimates.

NMFS Response: As indicated during informal correspondence with the Commission, NMFS has revised the number of takes in a manner consistent with the methods identified in the Commission's letter.

Comment 4: The Commission requested clarification regarding certain issues associated with NMFS' notice that one-vear renewals could be issued in certain limited circumstances and expressed concern that the process would bypass the public notice and comment requirements. The Commission also suggested that NMFS should discuss the possibility of renewals through a more general route, such as a rulemaking, instead of notice in a specific authorization. The Commission further recommended that if NMFS did not pursue a more general route, that the agency provide the Commission and the public with a legal analysis supporting our conclusion that this process is consistent with the requirements of section 101(a)(5)(D) of the MMPA. The Commission also noted that NMFS had recently begun utilizing abbreviated notices, referencing relevant documents, to solicit public input and suggested that NMFS use these notices and solicit review in lieu of the renewal process

NMFS Response: The process of issuing a renewal IHA does not bypass the public notice and comment requirements of the MMPA. The notice of the proposed IHA (83 FR 30421, June 28, 2018) expressly notifies the public that under certain, limited conditions an applicant could seek a renewal IHA for an additional year. The notice describes the conditions under which such a renewal request could be considered and expressly seeks public comment in the event such a renewal is sought. Additional reference to this solicitation of public comment has recently been added at the beginning of the FR notices that consider renewals, requesting input specifically on the possible renewal itself. NMFS appreciates the streamlining achieved by the use of abbreviated FR notices and intends to

continue using them for proposed IHAs that include minor changes from previously issued IHAs, but which do not satisfy the renewal requirements. However, we believe our method for issuing renewals meets statutory requirements and maximizes efficiency.

İmportantly, such renewals would be limited to circumstances where: The activities are identical or nearly identical to those analyzed in the proposed IHA; monitoring does not indicate impacts that were not previously analyzed and authorized; and, the mitigation and monitoring requirements remain the same, all of which allow the public to comment on the appropriateness and effects of a renewal at the same time the public provides comments on the initial IHA. NMFS has, however, modified the language for future proposed IHAs to clarify that all IHAs, including renewal IHAs, are valid for no more than one year and that the agency would consider only one renewal for a project at this time. In addition, notice of issuance or denial of a renewal IHA would be published in the Federal Register, as they are for all IHAs. The option for issuing renewal IHAs has been in NMFS's incidental take regulations since 1996. We will provide any additional information to the Commission and consider posting a description of the renewal process on our website before any renewal is issued utilizing this process.

Negligible Impact Analysis and Determination

WSDOT proposes to conduct a subset of activities identical to those covered in the previous 2017 IHA. We have included take for three new species noting these are precautionary as these species are not common in the action area and these species were not observed during previous construction. We also believe the potential behavioral reactions and effects on the cetacean species previously analyzed is applicable to these species, if not to some lesser extent due to lower probability of occurrence.

When issuing the 2017 IHA, NMFS found Phase 2 of the Mukilteo Multimodal Project, in its entirety, would have a negligible impact to species or stocks' rates of recruitment and survival and the amount of taking would be small relative to the population size of such species or stock (less than 15 percent). As described above, the number of estimated takes of the same stocks are less than takes authorized in the 2017 IHA and the anticipated impacts from the project are similar to those previously analyzed.

The amount of take for the additional three species is also small (less than 11 percent of each stock). In conclusion, there is no new information suggesting that our analysis or findings should change.

In this year's IHA, we have also included more mitigation with respect to operating the bubble curtains (to ensure effectiveness; thereby, potentially reducing impact pile driving received levels), and required WSDOT to report more details pertaining to monitoring (see Mitigation, Monitoring, and Reporting section). WSDOT will also conduct vibratory pile driving acoustic monitoring which will allow for verification of estimated source levels.

Based on the information contained here and in the referenced documents, NMFS has determined the following: (1) The required mitigation measures will effect the least practicable impact on marine mammal species or stocks and their habitat; (2) the authorized takes will have a negligible impact on the affected marine mammal species or stocks; (3) the authorized takes represent small numbers of marine mammals relative to the affected stock abundances; and (4) WSDOT's activities will not have an unmitigable adverse impact on taking for subsistence purposes as no relevant subsistence uses of marine mammals are implicated by this action.

Endangered Species Act

Section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (ESA: 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) requires that each Federal agency insure that any action it authorizes, funds, or carries out is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any endangered or threatened species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of designated critical habitat. To ensure ESA compliance for the issuance of IHAs, NMFS consults internally, in this case with the West Coast Region Protected Resources Division Office, whenever we propose to authorize take for endangered or threatened species.

The only species listed under the ESA with the potential to be present in the action area is the Mexico Distinct Population Segment (DPS) of humpback whales. The effects of this proposed Federal action were adequately analyzed in NMFS' Biological Opinion for the Mukilteo Multimodal Project, Snohomish, Washington, dated August 1, 2017, which concluded that issuance of an IHA would not jeopardize the continued existence of any endangered or threatened species or destroy or adversely modify any designated critical

habitat. NMFS West Coast Region has confirmed the Incidental Take Statement (ITS) issued in 2017 is applicable for the IHA. That ITS authorizes the take of six humpback whales from the Mexico DPS.

National Environmental Policy Act

To comply with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA; 42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and NOAA Administrative Order (NAO) 216–6A, NMFS must review our proposed action (i.e., the issuance of an incidental harassment authorization) with respect to potential impacts on the human environment.

This action is consistent with categories of activities identified in Categorical Exclusion B4 (incidental harassment authorizations with no anticipated serious injury or mortality) of the Companion Manual for NOAA Administrative Order 216-6A, which do not individually or cumulatively have the potential for significant impacts on the quality of the human environment and for which we have not identified any extraordinary circumstances that would preclude this categorical exclusion. Accordingly, NMFS has determined that issuance of the IHA qualifies to be categorically excluded from further NEPA review. We have reviewed all comments submitted in response to the proposed IHA Federal Register notice (83 FR 30421, June 28, 2018) prior to concluding our NEPA process and making a final decision on the IHA request.

Authorization

As a result of these determinations, NMFS has issued an IHA to WSDOT for the harassment of small numbers of marine mammals incidental to construction activities related to the Mukilteo Multimodal Project, Puget Sound, Washington, provided the previously mentioned mitigation, monitoring, and reporting requirements are incorporated.

Dated: August 23, 2018.

Cathryn E. Tortorici,

Acting Director, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2018–18609 Filed 8–27–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities Under OMB Review

AGENCY: Commodity Futures Trading Commission.