

accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(2), for an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ironing tables and parts thereof from the PRC, which has an August annual anniversary month. On September 20, 2005, the Department initiated a review with respect to Since Hardware, Shunde Yongjiang, and Forever Holdings. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 70 FR 56631 (September 28, 2005).

On April 19, 2006, in accordance with 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), the Department extended the deadline for the preliminary results of review until August 4, 2006. See *Floor-Standing, Metal-Top Ironing Tables and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of the First Administrative Review*, 71 FR 20076 (April 19, 2006).

#### Additional Extension of Time Limits for the Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1) require the Department to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the order or suspension agreement for which the administrative review was requested, and the final results of the review within 120 days after the date on which the notice of the preliminary results was published in the **Federal Register**. However, if the Department determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2) allow the Department to extend the 245-day period to 365 days and the 120-day period to 180 days.

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h), we determine that it is not practicable to complete this administrative review within the statutory time limit of 245 days. The Department requires additional time to analyze outstanding supplemental questionnaire responses for both Since Hardware and Shunde Yongjian regarding their factors of production and time to issue additional supplemental questionnaires, if necessary. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), the Department is fully extending the time limit for the completion of these preliminary results by an additional 27 days to August 31, 2006. The final results, in turn, will be due 120 days after the date of issuance of the preliminary results, unless extended.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: July 18, 2006.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-11970 Filed 7-26-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

**A-552-802**

#### Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Partial Rescission of the First Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 27, 2006.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cindy Robinson or Matthew Renkey, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3797 and (202) 482-2312, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On April 7, 2006, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation listing 84 firms for which it received timely requests for an administrative review of this antidumping duty order. See *Notice of Initiation of Administrative Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders on Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam and the People's Republic of China*, 71 FR 17813 (April 7, 2006) ("Initiation Notice"). The period of review (POR) is July 16, 2004 through January 31, 2006.

On May 3, 2006, the following Respondents withdrew their review requests: Sao Ta Foods Joint Stock company, Fimex VN, and Sao Ta Seafood Factory (collectively, Fimex); Phuong Nam Co., Ltd.; and Vietnam Fish One Co., Ltd.

On June 2, 2006, Petitioner<sup>1</sup> withdrew its request for an administrative review of 27 companies, some of which were duplicate names:<sup>2</sup> Cai Doi Vam Seafood

Import-Export Company (Cadovimex); Camau Frozen Seafood Processing Import Export Corporation (Camimex); Cantho Animal Fisheries Product Processing Export Enterprise (Cafatex); Coastal Fishery Development; Coastal Fisheries Development Corporation (Cofidec); C P Vietnam Livestock Co. Ltd.; C P Livestock; Cuu Long Seaproducts Limited (Cuulong Seapro); Danang Seaproducts Import Export Corporation (Seaprodex Danang); Frozen Seafoods Fty; Minh Hai Export Frozen Seafood Processing Joint Stock Company; Minh Hai Export Frozen Seafoods Processing Joint Stock Company (Minh Hai Jostoco); Minh Hai Joint Stock Seafoods Processing Company (Seaprodex Minh Hai); Minh Hai Sea Products Import Export Company (Seaprimex Co); Minh Phat Seafood; Minh Phu Seafood Corporation; Minh Qui Seafood; Ngoc Sinh Seafoods; Nha Trang Seaproduct Company (Nhatrang Seafoods); Phu Cuong Seafood Processing and Import Export Company Ltd. (Phu Cuong); Soc Trang Aquatic Products and General Import Export Company (Stapimex); Soc Trang Aquatic Products and General Import Export Company (Stapimex)- 2<sup>nd</sup> address; Tho Quang Seafood Processing & Export Company (Tho Quang); Thuan Phuoc Seafoods and Trading Corporation (Thuan Phuoc); UTXI Aquatic Products Processing Company; Viet Foods Co. Ltd.; and Vinh Loi Import Export Company (Vimexco).

On June 9, 2006, Petitioner withdrew its request for an administrative review on seven additional companies:<sup>3</sup> Agrimex; Hacota; Hoa Nam Marine Agricultural; Pataya Food Industry (Vietnam) Ltd. (Pataya); Seafood Processing Imports Exports Vietnam; Thien Ma Seafood; and Vita.

On June 20, 2006, Petitioner withdrew its request for an administrative review on one additional company, Amanda Foods (Vietnam) Ltd. (AVF), and on June 29, 2006, Petitioner withdrew its request for review for three companies appearing in its review request letter of February 28, 2006: Phuong Nam Co.

Vietnam Livestock Co. Ltd. is the same as C P Livestock; Minh Hai Export Frozen Seafood Processing Joint Stock Company is the same as Minh Hai Export Frozen Seafoods Processing Joint Stock Company (Minh Hai Jostoco); Minh Phat Seafood, Minh Phu Seafood Corporation, and Minh Qui Seafood are collectively known as Minh Phu Group; Soc Trang Aquatic Products and General Import Export Company (Stapimex) is the same as Soc Trang Aquatic Products and General Import Export Company (Stapimex)- 2<sup>nd</sup> address.

<sup>3</sup> None of these companies are duplicate names. However, two of these companies (i.e., Thien Ma Seafood and Pataya) claimed that they made no shipments of the subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. See the Partial Rescission Section below for further discussion.

<sup>1</sup> Ad Hoc Shrimp Trade Action Committee ("Petitioner").

<sup>2</sup> The companies which have a "\*" attached to their names are duplicate companies. Specifically, Coastal Fishery Development is the same as Coastal Fisheries Development Corporation (Cofidec); C P

Ltd.; Phuong Nam Seafood Co. Ltd.\*; and Sao Ta Foods Joint Stock Company (Fimex VN). On June 30, 2006, Petitioner withdrew its review request for Can Tho Agricultural and Animal Products Import Export Company (Cataco), and Cataco withdrew its own request for review on July 3, 2006. On July 6, 2006, Cataco clarified that during the POR, it also exported under the name Can Tho Seafood Export, and that its withdrawal letter also covers that name.

Also on July 6, 2006, Petitioner withdrew its review requests for 29 additional companies: AAAS Logistics; American Container Line; Angiang Agricultural Technology Service Company; An Giang Fisheries Import and Export Joint Stock Company (Agifish); Bentre Frozen Aquaproduct Exports; Bentre Aquaproduct Imports & Exports; Can Tho Agricultural Products; Can Tho Seafood Exports; Cautre Enterprises; Dong Phuc Huynh; General Imports & Exports; Grobest & I Mei Industry Vietnam (Grobest); Hai Thuan Export Seaproducts Processing Co. Ltd.; Hai Viet; Hatrang Frozen Seaproduct Fty; Khanh Loi Trading; Kim Anh Co. Ltd.; Lamson Import-Export Foodstuffs Corporation; Saigon Orchide; Sea Product; Sonacos; Special Aquatic Products Joint Stock Company (Seaspimex); Tacvan Frozen Seafoods Processing Export Company; Thami Shipping & Airfreight; Thanh Long; Tourism Material and Equipment Company (Matourimex Hochiminh City Branch); Truc An Company; Vietnam Northern Viking Technologie Co. Ltd.; and Vilfood Co.

#### Partial Rescission

Pursuant to section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations, the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party who requested the review withdraws the request within ninety days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review.

Because the Petitioner's and certain Respondent's requests were timely, in accordance with section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations, we are rescinding this review with respect to the following 34 companies: AVF; Cadovimex; Camimex; Cafatex; Cataco; Can Tho Seafood Exports\*; Coastal Fishery Development; Cofidec; C P Vietnam Livestock Co. Ltd.; C P Livestock; Cuulong Seapro; Seaprodex Danang; Fimex VN; Frozen Seafoods Fty\*; Minh Hai Export Frozen Seafood

Processing Joint Stock Company; Minh Hai Jostoco; Seaprodex Minh Hai; Seaprimex Co; Minh Phat; Minh Phu; Minh Qui; Ngoc Sinh Seafoods (aka Ngoc Sinh Private Enterprise); Nhatrang Seafoods; Phuong Nam Co. Ltd.; Phuong Nam Seafood Co. Ltd.\*; Phu Cuong; Stapimex (both addresses); Tho Quang<sup>5</sup>; Thuan Phuoc Seafoods and Trading Corporation; UTXI Aquatic Products Processing Company; Viet Foods Co. Ltd.; Vimexco; and Pataya. We are not rescinding the review with respect to Vietnam Fish One Co., Ltd. since Petitioners still have an active review request for that company.

In addition, the Department is rescinding this review with respect to the following 34 additional companies which did not receive a separate rate in the prior segment (the less-than-fair-value investigation) of this proceeding: Agrimex; Hacota; Hoa Nam Marine Agricultural; Seafood Processing Imports Exports Vietnam; Vita; AAAS Logistics; American Container Line; Angiang Agricultural Technology Service Company; Agifish; Bentre Frozen Aquaproduct Exports; Bentre Aquaproduct Imports & Exports\*; Can Tho Agricultural Products; Cautre Enterprises; Dong Phuc Huynh; General Imports & Exports; Grobest; Hai Thuan Export Seaproducts Processing Co. Ltd.; Hai Viet; Hatrang Frozen Seaproduct Fty; Kim Anh Co. Ltd.; Lamson Import-Export Foodstuffs Corporation; Saigon Orchide; Sea Product; Sonacos; Seaspimex; Tacvan Frozen Seafoods Processing Export Company; Thami Shipping & Airfreight; Thanh Long; Matourimex Hochiminh City Branch; Truc An Company; Vietnam Northern Viking Technologie Co. Ltd.; Thien Ma Seafood; Khanh Loi Trading; and Vilfood Co. For purposes of initiation of this administrative review, the Department accepted requests for review of these entities based upon the premise that such entities would seek to demonstrate in this review that they were, in law and in fact, separate from the Vietnam-wide entity, and therefore

included Frozen Seafoods Fty as part of the Thuan Phuoc entity when granting that entity separate rate status in the original investigation. *See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam*, 70 FR 5152 (February 1, 2005). In its separate rate certification filed on April 28, 2006, Thuan Phuoc reported that this relationship remained unchanged during the POR.

<sup>5</sup> Tho Quang is a subsidiary of Seaprodex Danang. The Department included Tho Quang as part of the Seaprodex Danang entity when granting that entity separate rate status in the original investigation. *Id.* In its separate rate certification filed on May 5, 2006, Seaprodex Danang reported that this relationship remained unchanged during the POR.

entitled to a rate separate from the rate established for the Vietnam-wide entity. However, as the requests for review of these entities have been withdrawn, these entities may be subject to this review as part of the single Vietnam-wide entity. Therefore, the Department will provide assessment instructions to the U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") for these entities after the final results of this administrative review.

#### Assessment Rates

The Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For those companies for which this review has been rescinded, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of this notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers for whom this review is being rescinded, as of the publication date of this notice, of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

#### Notification Regarding APOs

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

<sup>4</sup> Frozen Seafoods Fty is a subsidiary of Thuan Phuoc. We note that the full name of this company is Frozen Seafoods Factory No. 32. The Department

Dated: July 18, 2006.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc.E6-11969 Filed 7-26-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-485-806]

#### **Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Romania**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from Romania until October 16, 2006. The period of review is November 1, 2004, through October 31, 2005.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 27, 2006.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Dunyako Ahmadu, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0198.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On December 22, 2005, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of initiation of the 2004-2005 antidumping duty administrative review of this order covering Mittal Steel Galati S.A. (formerly Ispat Sidex S.A.). See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 70 FR 76024 (December 22, 2004).

##### **Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results**

The Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), provides at section 751(a)(3)(A) that the Department will issue the preliminary results of an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act provides further that, if the Department determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within this time

period, the Department may extend the 245-day period to 365 days.

The Department has determined that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results by the current deadline of August 2, 2006, because it received a request to conduct a sales-below-cost investigation on July 11, 2006. Additional time is necessary to consider whether to initiate a sales-below-cost investigation, give MS Galati an opportunity to provide relevant information, review MS Galati's response, and, if appropriate, conduct the cost analysis as part of the calculation of the weighted-average margin for MS Galati.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), the Department is extending the time limit for the preliminary results by 75 days to October 16, 2006.

We are issuing this notice in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: July 21, 2006.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-11972 Filed 7-26-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-549-821]

#### **Notice of Extension of Deadline for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from Thailand**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce,

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 27, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lyn Johnson or Richard Rimlinger, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5287 and (202) 482-4477, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Extension of Deadline**

At the request of various parties, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene retail carrier bags from Thailand for the period January 26, 2004, through July 31, 2005. See

*Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 70 FR 56631 (September 28, 2005). Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue preliminary results of review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results were published. If it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary results to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month.

On April 26, 2006, the Department published a notice extending the preliminary results for this review by 90 days until August 1, 2006. See *Notice of Extension of Deadline for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from Thailand*, 71 FR 24641 (April 26, 2006). Since the publication of the extension notice, the Department conducted home-market sales and cost verifications of two of the seven respondents involved in this review and has a number of issues to address as a result of these verifications. In addition, the Department must also address several complex issues raised in recent filings by interested parties involving, among others, costs of production, affiliated-party inputs, direct material expenses, and sales reporting.

Due to the complexity of the issues in this review, the Department needs additional time to conduct its analysis. Therefore, we are extending the deadline for issuing the preliminary results of this review by an additional 30 days until August 31, 2006.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: July 21, 2006.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-11971 Filed 7-26-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE: 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### **Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments**

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural