

and RTD do the following: (1) Extend an invitation to other Federal and non-Federal agencies and Indian tribes that may have an interest in the proposed project to become "participating agencies;" (2) provide an opportunity for involvement by participating agencies and the public in helping to define the purpose and need for a proposed project, as well as the range of alternatives for consideration in the impact statement, and (3) establish a plan for coordinating public and agency participation in and comment on the environmental review process. An invitation to become a participating agency, with the scoping information packet appended, will be extended to other Federal and non-Federal agencies and Indian tribes that may have an interest in the proposed project. It is possible that we may not be able to identify all Federal and non-Federal agencies and Indian tribes that may have such an interest. Any Federal or non-Federal agency or Indian tribe interested in the proposed project that does not receive an invitation to become a participating agency should notify at the earliest opportunity the Project Manager identified above under

#### **ADDRESSES.**

A comprehensive public involvement program will be developed and a public and agency involvement Coordination Plan will be created. The program will include outreach to local and county officials and community and civic groups; a public scoping process to define the issues of concern among all parties interested in the project; organizing periodic meetings with various local agencies, organizations and committees; a public hearing on release of the draft environmental impact statement (DEIS); and development and distribution of project newsletters.

The purposes of and need for the proposed project have been preliminarily identified in this notice. We invite the public and participating agencies to consider the preliminary statement of purposes of and need for the proposed project, as well as the alternatives proposed for consideration. Suggestions for modifications to the statement of purposes of and need for the proposed project and any other alternatives that meet the purposes of and need for the proposed project are welcomed and will be given serious consideration. Comments on potentially significant environmental impacts that may be associated with the proposed project and alternatives are also welcomed. There will be additional opportunities to participate in the

scoping process at the public meetings announced in this notice.

In accordance with 23 CFR 771.105(a) and 771.133, FTA will comply with all Federal environmental laws, regulations, and executive orders applicable to the proposed project during the environmental review process to the maximum extent practicable. These requirements include, but are not limited to, the regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality and FTA implementing NEPA (40 CFR parts 1500–1508, and 23 CFR part 771), the project-level air quality conformity regulation of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (40 CFR part 93), the section 404(b)(1) guidelines of EPA (40 CFR part 230), the regulation implementing section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR part 800), the regulation implementing section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (50 CFR part 402), section 4(f) of the DOT Act (23 CFR 771.135), and Executive Orders 12898 on environmental justice, 11988 on floodplain management, and 11990 on wetlands.

In accordance with 36 CFR 800.8(c), RTD will utilize the NEPA/Section 106 merger process for documentation to comply with section 106. RTD will utilize the Memorandum of Agreement between the FTA, Region VIII and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), dated January, 2006 for documentation to comply with section 404 mandates.

In addition, RTD may seek Section 5309 New Starts funding for the project. As provided in the FTA New Starts regulation (49 CFR part 611), New Starts funding requires the submission of certain specific information to FTA to support a request to initiate preliminary engineering, which is normally done in conjunction with the NEPA process.

Issued on: July 13, 2006.

**Lee O. Waddleton,**

*Regional Administrator, Region VIII, Federal Transit Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6–11629 Filed 7–20–06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–57–P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **National Highway Traffic Safety Administration**

[Docket Nos. NHTSA–2003–15428 and NHTSA–2003–16401]

### **Decision That Nonconforming 2002 Through 2004 Smart Car Fortwo Coupe and Cabriolet (Including Trim Levels Passion, Pulse and Pure) Passenger Cars Are Eligible for Importation**

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of decision by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration that nonconforming 2002 through 2004 Smart Car Fortwo Coupe and Cabriolet (including trim levels Passion, Pulse and Pure) passenger cars are eligible for importation.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the decision by NHTSA that 2002 through 2004 Smart Car Fortwo Coupe and Cabriolet (including trim levels Passion, Pulse and Pure) passenger cars not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS) are eligible for importation into the United States because they have safety features that comply with, or are capable of being altered to comply with, all applicable FMVSS.

**DATES:** This decision was effective January 1, 2004. The agency notified the petitioners at that time that the subject vehicles are eligible for importation. This document provides public notice of the eligibility decision.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Coleman Sachs, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202–366–3151).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### **Background**

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable FMVSS shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified as required under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable FMVSS.

Where there is no substantially similar U.S.-certified motor vehicle, 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(B) permits a nonconforming motor vehicle to be

admitted into the United States if its safety features comply with, or are capable of being altered to comply with, all applicable FMVSS based on destructive test data or such other evidence that NHTSA decides to be adequate.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the **Federal Register** of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the **Federal Register**.

J.K. Technologies, LLC of Baltimore, Maryland ("JK") (Registered Importer 90-006) and G&K Automotive Conversion, Inc. of Santa Ana, California ("G&K") (Registered Importer 90-007) separately petitioned NHTSA to decide whether certain Smart Car Fortwo Coupe and Cabriolet passenger cars are eligible for importation into the United States. NHTSA published notice of the JK petition on June 20, 2003 (68 FR 37040) and of the G&K petition on November 3, 2003 (68 FR 62343), to afford an opportunity for public comment. The reader is referred to those notices for a thorough description of the petitions. After considering the two petitions, NHTSA decided to issue a single eligibility decision covering all vehicle model years and configurations that were the subject of those petitions.

Two substantive comments were received in response to the notice published on the JK petition. No comments were received in response to the notice on the G&K petition.

The comments and NHTSA's analysis are set forth below for each of the issues raised in the comments, as well as issues identified by NHTSA in its review of the two petitions.

Thomas Heidermann of Smart Automobile, Inc., through its counsel, Ginsburg & Hlywa, submitted a comment contending that JK had failed to demonstrate that the subject vehicles comply with, or are capable of being modified to comply with FMVSS Nos. 108 *Lamps, Reflective Devices, and Associated Equipment*, 206 *Door Locks and Door Retention Components*, 214 *Side Impact Protection*, and 301 *Fuel System Integrity*. JK filed with the agency a request for confidentially under 49 CFR part 512, *Confidential Business Information*, seeking to protect

from public disclosure most of the data, views and arguments that it had submitted as part of its petition. Consequently, test data and reports that were part of that submission were not originally posted to the public docket. After NHTSA's Office of Chief Counsel decided to deny confidentially to the test data and reports submitted by JK for FMVSS Nos. 108, 206, 214, and 301, as well as other standards, the materials were posted to the public docket under docket number NHTSA-2003-15428.

An anonymous commenter argued that confidentiality should not be granted to the test procedures and test results submitted by JK. As previously stated, those materials were not accorded confidentiality by the agency.

Each of the two petitions claimed that the subject vehicles were originally manufactured to conform to Standard Nos. 103 *Defrosting and Defogging Systems*, 104 *Windshield Wiping and Washing Systems*, 106 *Brake Hoses*, 109 *New Pneumatic Tires*, 116 *Brake Fluid*, 118 *Power Window Systems*, 124 *Accelerator Control Systems*, 202 *Head Restraints*, 205 *Glazing Materials*, 207 *Seating Systems*, 210 *Seat Belt Assembly Anchorages*, 212 *Windshield Retention*, and 219 *Windshield Zone Intrusion*. NHTSA concluded that sufficient data, views, and arguments were submitted in the aggregate by the two petitioners to establish that the vehicles do conform to these standards as originally manufactured.

The two petitions did initially differ with regard to their claims that the subject vehicles could be modified to conform to the standards specified below. However, sufficient data, views, and arguments were ultimately submitted by the two petitioners to establish in the aggregate that the vehicles could be modified to conform to these standards. The differences between the two petitions, as well as NHTSA's analysis of their contents, are described below with regard to each standard for which alterations were identified as being required.

*(1) FMVSS No. 101 Controls and Displays*

*Alterations identified in JK petition:* (a) Inscription of the word "Brake" on the dash in place of the international ECE warning symbol; (b) replacement of the speedometer with one that reads in miles per hour. The petitioner stated that it has fabricated a new instrument cluster face for the vehicles, available only through J.K. Technologies, which will allow the vehicles to achieve compliance with the standard.

*Alterations identified in G&K petition:* (a) Inscription of the word "Brake" and

a seat belt warning symbol on the dash; (b) modification of the speedometer to read in miles per hour. The petitioner stated that the controls and displays are visible and accessible to the driver while restrained by a lap and shoulder belt, that controls for the headlamps, the windshield defrosting and defogging system, and the windshield wiping system and panel are all identified, and that all required controls are illuminated.

*NHTSA's Analysis*

The modifications that JK and G&K identified as needed to conform the vehicles to the standard would not preclude the vehicles from being deemed eligible for importation. Conformity packages submitted for vehicles imported under the decision must demonstrate that the vehicle is equipped with components that allow it to achieve compliance with the standard. Any modification or replacement of components necessary to meet the requirements of the standard must be shown to bring the vehicle into compliance. Such proof must be submitted by an RI as part of any conformity package submitted for nonconforming 2002 through 2004 Smart Car Fortwo Coupe and Cabriolet passenger cars.

*(2) FMVSS No. 102 Transmission Shift Lever Sequence, Starter Interlock, and Transmission Breaking Effect*

*Alterations identified in JK petition:* Installation of a redesigned starter interlock assembly, available only through J.K. Technologies, which was designed to allow the vehicles to comply with Standard No. 114, will also achieve compliance with Standard No. 102. The petition did not describe how this assembly was redesigned.

*Alterations identified in G&K petition:* Modification of the shift lever markings, the shift pattern, the starter interlock, and the automatic transmission braking effect to achieve compliance with this standard. The petition did not describe these modifications, for which G&K claimed confidentiality.

*NHTSA's Analysis*

The modifications that JK and G&K identified as needed to conform the vehicles to the standard would not preclude the vehicles from being deemed eligible for importation. Conformity packages submitted for vehicles imported under the decision must demonstrate that the vehicle is equipped with components that allow it to achieve compliance with the standard. Any modification or replacement of components necessary to

meet the requirements of the standard must be shown to bring the vehicle into compliance. Such proof must be submitted by an RI as part of any conformity package submitted for nonconforming 2002 through 2004 Smart Car Fortwo Coupe and Cabriolet passenger cars.

*(3) FMVSS No. 108 Lamps, Reflective Devices, and Associated Equipment*

*Alterations identified in JK petition:* Modification of the headlamp and marker light systems to meet this standard. These modifications are not described in the petition.

*Alterations identified in G&K petition:* (a) Modification of the headlamp to meet the standard and (b) installation of side markers. The petition did not describe these modifications, for which G&K claimed confidentiality. In a letter to NHTSA dated March 21, 2005, G&K stated that the headlamps will be replaced with U.S.-model components that have been certified as meeting all applicable requirements of FMVSS No. 108. In a letter dated May 16, 2005, G&K stated that the turn signal lamps will also be replaced with U.S.-model components that have been certified as meeting all applicable requirements of the standard.

*NHTSA's Analysis*

The modifications that JK and G&K identified as needed to conform the vehicles to the standard would not preclude the vehicles from being deemed eligible for importation. Conformity packages submitted for vehicles imported under the decision must demonstrate that the vehicle is equipped with components that allow it to achieve compliance with the standard. Any modification or replacement of components necessary to meet the requirements of the standard must be shown to bring the vehicle into compliance. Such proof must be submitted by an RI as part of any conformity package submitted for nonconforming 2002 through 2004 Smart Car Fortwo Coupe and Cabriolet passenger cars.

*(4) FMVSS No. 110 Tire Selection and Rims*

*Alterations identified in JK petition:* Installation of a tire information placard as part of the certification label to be affixed to the vehicles upon the completion of required modifications to achieve conformity with applicable standards.

*Alterations identified in G&K petition:* Installation of a tire information placard.

NHTSA's Analysis NHTSA has determined that the installation of a tire information placard to meet the requirements of the standard would not preclude the vehicles from being deemed eligible for importation.

*(5) FMVSS No. 111 Rearview Mirrors*

*Alterations identified in JK petition:* Replacement of the passenger side rearview mirror with a mirror fabricated by, and available only through, J.K. Technologies, which will have the required warning statement on the mirror's face.

*Alterations identified in G&K petition:* Inscription of the required warning statement on the face of the passenger side rearview mirror.

*NHTSA's Analysis*

NHTSA has determined that the installation of a replacement passenger side mirror or the modification of the existing mirror to meet the requirements of the standard would not preclude the vehicles from being deemed eligible for importation.

*(6) FMVSS No. 114 Theft Protection*

*Alterations identified in JK petition:* Installation of a redesigned starter interlock assembly to meet this standard. The petition did not describe how the assembly was redesigned.

*Alterations identified in G&K petition:* Modification of the key locking system to meet this standard. The petition did not describe these modifications, for which G&K claimed confidentiality.

*NHTSA's Analysis*

The modifications that JK and G&K identified as needed to conform the vehicles to the standard would not preclude the vehicles from being deemed eligible for importation. Conformity packages submitted for vehicles imported under the decision must demonstrate that the vehicle is equipped with components that allow it to achieve compliance with the standard. Any modification or replacement of components necessary to meet the requirements of the standard must be shown to bring the vehicle into compliance. Such proof must be submitted by an RI as part of any conformity package submitted for nonconforming 2002 through 2004 Smart Car Fortwo Coupe and Cabriolet passenger cars.

*(7) FMVSS No. 135 Passenger Car Brake Systems*

*JK petition:* The vehicles conform to the standard as manufactured.

*Alterations identified in G&K petition:* Modification of the hydraulic brake

system and the parking brake system through the installation of components available only from G&K. The petition did not describe these modifications, for which G&K claimed confidentiality. In a letter dated March 21, 2005, G&K stated that no modifications were made to the vehicle prior to its FMVSS No. 135 testing.

*NHTSA's Analysis*

NHTSA has concluded that the subject vehicles were shown to meet the requirements of the standard as originally manufactured.

*(8) FMVSS No. 201 Occupant Protection in Interior Impact*

*Alterations identified in JK petition:* Replacement of interior components with components fabricated by, and available only through, J.K. Technologies. JK claimed confidentiality with respect to these modifications.

*Alterations identified in G&K petition:* Replacement of interior components with components fabricated by, and available only through, G&K. The petition did not describe these components or their manner of installation. G&K claimed confidentiality with respect to these modifications.

*NHTSA's Analysis*

The modifications that JK and G&K identified as needed to conform the vehicles to the standard would not preclude the vehicles from being deemed eligible for importation. Conformity packages submitted for vehicles imported under the decision must demonstrate that the vehicle is equipped with components that allow it to achieve compliance with the standard. Any modification or replacement of components necessary to meet the requirements of the standard must be shown to bring the vehicle into compliance. Such proof must be submitted by an RI as part of any conformity package submitted for nonconforming 2002 through 2004 Smart Car Fortwo Coupe and Cabriolet passenger cars.

*(9) FMVSS No. 204 Steering Control Rearward Displacement*

*Alterations identified in JK petition:* Modification of the steering shaft to meet the standard. This modification is not described in the petition.

*G&K petition:* The vehicles must be modified to meet the standard. The petition did not describe these modifications, for which G&K claimed confidentiality. In a letter dated March 21, 2005, G&K stated that no

modifications were made to the vehicle prior to its FMVSS No. 204 testing.

#### NHTSA's Analysis

NHTSA concluded that the subject vehicles were shown to meet the requirements of the standard as originally manufactured.

#### (10) FMVSS No. 206 Door Locks and Door Retention Components

*JK petition:* The vehicle conforms to the standard as originally manufactured.

*Alterations identified in G&K petition:* Modification of the door locks and door retention components to meet the standard. The petition did not describe these modifications, for which G&K claimed confidentiality. In a letter dated March 21, 2005, G&K stated that no modifications were made to the vehicle prior to its FMVSS No. 206 testing.

#### NHTSA's Analysis

NHTSA concluded that the subject vehicles were shown to meet the requirements of the standard as originally manufactured.

#### (11) FMVSS No. 208 Occupant Crash Protection

*Alterations identified in JK petition:* The vehicles must be modified to meet this standard. These modifications were not described in the petition.

*Alterations identified in G&K petition:* The vehicles must be modified to meet this standard. The petition did not describe these modifications, for which G&K claimed confidentiality. In a letter dated March 21, 2005, G&K stated that the air bags were not removed or replaced prior to its FMVSS No. 208 testing.

#### NHTSA's Analysis

The modifications that JK and G&K identified as needed to conform the vehicles to the standard would not preclude the vehicles from being deemed eligible for importation. Conformity packages submitted for vehicles imported under the decision must demonstrate that the vehicle is equipped with components that allow it to achieve compliance with the standard. Any modification or replacement of components necessary to meet the requirements of the standard must be shown to bring the vehicle into compliance. Such proof must be submitted by an RI as part of any conformity package submitted for nonconforming 2002 through 2004 Smart Car Fortwo Coupe and Cabriolet passenger cars.

#### (12) FMVSS No. 209 Seat Belt Assemblies

*Alterations identified in JK petition:* Modification of the seat belt systems to accommodate a seat belt switch. This modification was not described in the petition.

*Alterations identified in G&K petition:* Modification of the seat belt systems to meet this standard. The petition did not describe the modification, for which G&K claimed confidentiality.

#### NHTSA's Analysis

The modifications that JK and G&K identified as needed to conform the vehicles to the standard would not preclude the vehicles from being deemed eligible for importation. Conformity packages submitted for vehicles imported under the decision must demonstrate that the vehicle is equipped with components that allow it to achieve compliance with the standard. Any modification or replacement of components necessary to meet the requirements of the standard must be shown to bring the vehicle into compliance. Such proof must be submitted by an RI as part of any conformity package submitted for nonconforming 2002 through 2004 Smart Car Fortwo Coupe and Cabriolet passenger cars.

#### (13) FMVSS No. 214 Side Impact Protection

*Alterations identified in JK petition:* Modification of the vehicles' A-pillars, B-pillars, and doors. These modifications are not described in the petition.

*Alterations identified in G&K petition:* Modification of the vehicles through the installation of components available only from G&K. The petition did not describe the modifications, for which G&K claimed confidentiality.

#### NHTSA's Analysis

The modifications that JK and G&K identified as needed to conform the vehicles to the standard would not preclude the vehicles from being deemed eligible for importation. Conformity packages submitted for vehicles imported under the decision must demonstrate that the vehicle is equipped with components that allow it to achieve compliance with the standard. Any modification or replacement of components necessary to meet the requirements of the standard must be shown to bring the vehicle into compliance. Such proof must be submitted by an RI as part of any conformity package submitted for nonconforming 2002 through 2004

Smart Car Fortwo Coupe and Cabriolet passenger cars.

#### (14) FMVSS No. 216 Roof Crush Resistance

*JK petition:* The vehicles conform to this standard as originally manufactured.

*Alterations identified in G&K petition:* The vehicles must be modified to meet this standard. The petition did not describe these modifications, for which G&K claimed confidentiality. In a letter dated March 21, 2005, G&K stated that no modifications were made to the vehicle prior to FMVSS No. 216 testing.

#### NHTSA's Analysis

NHTSA has concluded that the subject vehicles were shown to meet the requirements of the standard as originally manufactured.

#### (15) FMVSS No. 225 Child Restraint Anchorage Systems

*JK petition:* The petition did not identify any modifications required to conform the vehicles to the standard.

*Alterations identified in G&K petition:* Installation of a U.S.-model tether anchorage behind the passenger seat on coupe models is needed to achieve conformity.

#### NHTSA's Analysis

The modifications that G&K identified as needed to conform the vehicles to the standard would not preclude the vehicles from being deemed eligible for importation. Conformity packages submitted for vehicles imported under the decision must demonstrate that the vehicle is equipped with components that allow it to achieve compliance with the standard. Any modification or replacement of components necessary to meet the requirements of the standard must be shown to bring the vehicle into compliance. Such proof must be submitted by an RI as part of any conformity package submitted for nonconforming 2002 through 2004 Smart Car Fortwo Coupe and Cabriolet passenger cars.

#### (16) FMVSS No. 301 Fuel System Integrity

*Alterations identified in JK petition:* Modification of the vehicles' fuel system to meet this standard. JK stated that fuel spillage problems are controlled by the evaporative and ORVR systems, which have a rollover and check valve incorporated into their design and have been proven in testing.

*Alterations identified in G&K petition:* Modification of the vehicles' fuel system through the installation of components available only from G&K. The petition

did not describe these modifications, for which G&K claimed confidentiality.

#### NHTSA's Analysis

The modifications identified as needed to conform the vehicles to the standard would not preclude the vehicle from being deemed eligible for importation. Conformity packages submitted for vehicles imported under the decision must demonstrate that the vehicle is equipped with components that allow it to achieve compliance with the standard. Any modification or replacement of components necessary to meet the requirements of the standard must be shown to bring the vehicle into compliance. Such proof must be submitted by an RI as part of any conformity package submitted for nonconforming 2002 through 2004 Smart Car Fortwo Coupe and Cabriolet passenger cars.

#### (17) FMVSS No. 302 Flammability of Interior Materials

*JK petition:* The vehicles conform to the standard as originally manufactured.

*Alterations identified in G&K petition:* Interior materials and components covered by the standard must be treated with a product available only from G&K. G&K claimed confidentiality with respect to these modifications.

#### NHTSA's Analysis

The modifications that G&K identified as needed to conform the vehicles to the standard would not preclude the vehicles from being deemed eligible for importation. Conformity packages submitted for vehicles imported under the decision must demonstrate that the vehicle is equipped with components that allow it to achieve compliance with the standard. Any modification or replacement of components necessary to meet the requirements of the standard must be shown to bring the vehicle into compliance. Such proof must be submitted by an RI as part of any conformity package submitted for nonconforming 2002 through 2004 Smart Car Fortwo Coupe and Cabriolet passenger cars.

#### (10) 49 CFR Part 581 Bumper Standard

*Alterations identified in JK petition:* Modification of the bumper system to comply with the Bumper Standard found in 49 CFR part 581. The petition did not describe the modifications.

*Alterations identified in G&K petition:* Modification of the bumper system through installation of components available only from G&K. The petition did not describe the modifications.

#### NHTSA's Analysis

The modifications that G&K identified as needed to conform the vehicles to the standard would not preclude the vehicles from being deemed eligible for importation. The agency notes that Bumper Standard compliance issues are not directly relevant to an import eligibility decision, as such a decision is to be based on the capability of a non-U.S. certified vehicle to be altered to conform to the FMVSS, and the Bumper Standard is not an FMVSS. However, because a vehicle that is not originally manufactured to comply with the Bumper Standard must be modified to comply with the standard before it can be admitted permanently into the United States, conformance with the Bumper Standard must be shown in the conformity package submitted to NHTSA to allow release of the DOT conformance bond furnished at the time of vehicle importation.

#### Conclusion

In view of the above considerations, NHTSA decided to grant the petitions.

#### Vehicle Eligibility Number for Subject Vehicles

The importer of a vehicle admissible under any final decision must indicate on the form HS-7 accompanying entry the appropriate vehicle eligibility number indicating that the vehicle is eligible for entry. VCP-27 is the vehicle eligibility number assigned to nonconforming 2002 through 2004 Smart Car Fortwo Coupe and Cabriolet (including trim levels Passion, Pulse and Pure) passenger cars admissible under this notice of final decision.

#### Final Decision

Accordingly, on the basis of the foregoing, NHTSA decided that 2002 through 2004 Smart Car Fortwo Coupe and Cabriolet (including trim levels Passion, Pulse and Pure) passenger cars that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards are eligible for importation into the United States because they have safety features that comply with, or are capable of being altered to comply with, all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A) and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.8; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

**Claude H. Harris,**

*Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.*

[FR Doc. E6-11634 Filed 7-20-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-59-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Surface Transportation Board

#### Notice and Request for Comments

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Surface Transportation Board (Board), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.* (PRA), gives notice that the Board will seek from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) an extension of approval for the currently approved collection of rail system diagram maps. The Board is seeking comments from rail carriers that have recently filed amended or new system diagram maps (or, in the case of small carriers, the alternative narrative description of rail system) concerning (1) whether the particular collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Board, including whether the collection has practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the Board's burden estimates; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology when appropriate. Submitted comments will be summarized and included in the Board's request for OMB approval.

#### Description of Collection

*Title:* System Diagram Maps.

*OMB Control Number:* 2140-0003.

*Form Number:* None.

*Type of Review:* Extension without change.

*Respondents:* Common carrier freight railroads that are either new or reporting changes in the status of one or more of their rail lines.

*Number of Respondents:* 4.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 4.5 hours, based on average time reported in informal survey of respondents conducted in 2003.

*Frequency of Response:* 1.

*Total Annual Burden Hours:* 18 hours.

*Total Annual "Non-Hour Burden"*

*Cost:* None have been identified.

*Needs and Uses:* Under 49 CFR 1152.10-1152.13, all railroads subject to the Board's jurisdiction are required to keep current system diagram maps on file, or alternatively in the case of a Class III carrier (a carrier with assets of not more than \$20 million in 1991 dollars), to submit the same information in narrative form. The information