of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. Issues addressed at the hearing will be limited to those raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the date and time for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230.10 Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of our analysis of the issues raised by the parties in their comments, no later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h), unless this deadline is extended.

These preliminary results and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: August 3, 2018.

### James Maeder,

Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations performing the duties of Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

# Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum:

I. Summary

II. Background

III. Scope of the Order

IV. Partial Rescission of the Administrative Review

V. Subsidy Valuation Information

VI. Analysis of Programs

VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2018–17048 Filed 8–8–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-570-992]

Monosodium Glutamate From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that the 27 companies subject to this administrative review are part of the China-wide entity because none filed a separate rate application (SRA) and/or a separate rate certification (SRC). The period of review (POR) is November 1, 2016, through October 31, 2017. We

invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable August 9, 2018.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Chloee Sagmoe or Kathryn Wallace, AD/
CVD Operations, Office VII,
Enforcement and Compliance,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce, 1401
Constitution Avenue NW, Washington,
DC 20230; telephone at (202) 482–2000

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Background**

or (202) 482-6251.

On November 1, 2017, Commerce published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on monosodium glutamate (MSG) from the People's Republic of China (China).1 In response, on November 30, 2017, Ajinomoto North America, Inc. (the petitioner) requested a review of 27 companies.2 Commerce initiated a review of all 27 companies on January 11, 2018.3 For a list of these companies, see the Appendix to this notice. The deadline for interested parties to submit an SRA or an SRC was February 11, 2018.4 No party submitted an SRA or an SRC. On May 5, 2018, the petitioner requested that Commerce place the Remand Redetermination of the investigation of MSG from China 5 on the record of this proceeding.6 The petitioners asked that Commerce utilize the recalculated dumping margin of mandatory respondent, Meihua Bio-Technology Co., Ltd. (Mehia), as the basis for the China-wide entity rate.7 No other party filed comments.

#### Scope of the Order

The product covered by this order is MSG, whether or not blended or in solution with other products.

Specifically, MSG that has been blended or is in solution with other product(s) is included in this scope when the resulting mix contains 15 percent or more of MSG by dry weight. Products with which MSG may be blended include, but are not limited to, salts, sugars, starches, maltodextrins, and various seasonings. Further, MSG is included in this order regardless of physical form (including, but not limited to, in monohydrate or anhydrous form, or as substrates, solutions, dry powders of any particle size, or unfinished forms such as MSG slurry), end-use application, or packaging. MSG in monohydrate form has a molecular formula of C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>8</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>Na—H<sub>2</sub>O, a Chemical Abstract Service (CAS) registry number of 6106-04-3, and a Unique Ingredient Identifier (UNII) number of W81N5U6R6U. MSG in anhydrous form has a molecular formula of  $C_5H_8NO_4Na$ , a CAS registry number of 142-47-2, and a UNII number of C3C196L9FG. Merchandise covered by the scope of this order is currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at subheading 2922.42.10.00. Merchandise subject to the order may also enter under HTS subheadings 2922.42.50.00, 2103.90.72.00, 2103.90.74.00, 2103.90.78.00, 2103.90.80.00, and 2103.90.90.91. The tariff classifications, CAS registry numbers, and UNII numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes; however, the written description of the scope is dispositive.8

# Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.213.

# Preliminary Results of Review

As noted above, the petitioner asked Commerce to place the Remand Redetermination on the record of this proceeding and to use that information to calculate a dumping margin for the China-wide entity equal to the highest transaction-specific margin calculated for Meihua. We have not done so. Commerce no longer considers the nonmarket economy (NME) entity as an exporter conditionally subject to an antidumping duty administrative

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(d).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review, 82 FR 50620 (November 1, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Ajinomoto's letter, "Monosodium Glutamate from China: Request for Administrative Review," (November 30, 2017), at Attachment 1 (listing 27 companies for which Ajinomoto sought a review).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 83 FR 1329 (January 11, 2018) (Initiation Notice).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Initiation Notice.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Remand Redetermination in the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Monosodium Glutamate from the People's Republic of China: Analysis Memorandum for Langfang Meihua Bio-Technology Co., Ltd. dated August 7, 2017 filed in the Ajinomoto North America, Inc. v. United States, Court No. 14–00351, Slip Op. 17–48 (April 25, 2017) (Remand Redetermination).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Ajinomoto's letter, "Antidumping Duty Review of Monosodium Glutamate from China: Comments on PRC-Wide AFA Rate," (May 7, 2018).

<sup>7</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Monosodium Glutamate from the People's Republic of China: Second Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Amended Antidumping Order, 80 FR 487 (January

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See Ajinomoto's letter, "Antidumping Duty Review of Monosodium Glutamate from China: Comments on PRC-Wide AFA Rate," (May 7, 2018).

reviews. 10 Accordingly, the NME entity will not be under review unless Commerce specifically receives a request for, or self-initiates, a review of the NME entity. 11 In this administrative review, no party requested a review of the China-wide entity. Moreover, we have not self-initiated a review of the China-wide entity. Because no review of the China-wide entity is being conducted, the China-wide entity's entries are not subject to the review and the rate applicable to the NME entity is not subject to change as a result of this review.

None of the 27 companies subject to this review filed an SRA or an SRC. Commerce preliminarily determines that these companies have not demonstrated their eligibility for separate rate status and are part of the China-wide entity. Commerce also preliminarily determines that the 27 companies subject to review are part of the China-wide entity. The China-wide entity rate is 40.41 percent.<sup>12</sup>

### **Public Comment**

Interested parties are invited to comment on the preliminary results and may submit case briefs and/or written comments, filed electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS), within 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results of review.<sup>13</sup> ACCESS is available to registered users at http:// access.trade.gov and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit in room B8024 of the main Commerce building. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, must be filed within five days after the time limit for filing case briefs. 14 Parties who submit case or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are requested to submit with each argument a statement of the issue, a brief summary of the argument, and a table of authorities. 15

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to Commerce within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice.<sup>16</sup> Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) The number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case and rebuttal briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington DC 20230.17 Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of our analysis of all issues raised in the case briefs, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results in the Federal Register, unless extended, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

#### **Assessment Rates**

Upon issuance of the final results of this review, Commerce will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise covered by this review.<sup>18</sup> We intend to instruct CBP to liquidate entries containing subject merchandise exported by the companies under review that we determine in the final results to be part of the China-wide entity at the China-wide entity rate of 40.41 percent. Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this review in the Federal Register. 19

#### **Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this review for shipments of the subject merchandise from China entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by sections 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For companies that have a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or de minimis, then zero cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed Chinese and non-Chinese exporters not listed above that received

a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporterspecific rate; (3) for all Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the China-wide entity (i.e., 40.41 percent); and (4) for all non-Chinese exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Chinese exporter that supplied that non-Chinese exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### **Notification to Importers**

This notice also serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 315.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h) and 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: August 3, 2018.

# Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

# Appendix—List of Companies Covered by this Review

- 1. Anhui Fresh Taste International Trade Co., Ltd.
- 2. Baoji Fufeng Biotechnologies Co., Ltd.
- 3. Blu Logistics (China) Co., Ltd.
- 4. Bonroy Group Limited
- 5. Forehigh Trade and Industry Co., Ltd.
- Fujian Province Jianyang Wuyi MSG Co., Ltd.
- 7. Golden Banyan Foodstuffs Industry Co., Ltd.
- 8. Henan Lotus Flower Gourmet Powder Co. 9. Hong Kong Sungiven International Food
- Co., Limited 10. Hulunbeier Northeast Fufeng Biotechnologies Co., Ltd.
- 11. K&S Industry Limited
- 12. King Cheong Hong International
- 13. Langfang Meihua Bio-Technology Co., Ltd.
- Liangshan Linghua Biotechnology Co., Ltd.
- 15. Lotus Health Industry Holding Group
- 16. Meihua Group International Trading (Hong Kong) Limited,
- Meihua Holdings Group Co., Ltd., Bazhou Branch
- Neimenggu Fufeng Biotechnologies Co., Ltd.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings, 78 FR 65963, 65970 (November 4, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1), parties should specify that they are requesting a review of entries from exporters comprising the entity, and to the extent possible, include the names of such exporters in their request.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See Monosodium Glutamate from the People's Republic of China: Second Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Amended Antidumping Duty Order, 80 FR 487 (January 6, 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii).

<sup>14</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1) and (2).

 $<sup>^{15}</sup>$  See 19 CFR 351.309(c) and (d); see also 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

<sup>17</sup> See 19 CFR 310(d).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> For a full discussion of this practice, see Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

- 19. Pudong Prime Int'l Logistics, Inc.
- 20. Qinhuangdao Xingtai Trade Co., Ltd.
- 21. S.D. Linghua M.S.G. Incorporated Co.
- 22. Shandong Linghua Monosodium Glutamate Incorporated Company
- 23. Shanghai Totole Food Ltd.
- 24. Shijiazhuang Standard Imp & Exp Co., Ltd.
- 25. Sunrise (HK) International Enterprise Limited
- 26. Tongliao Meihua Biological Sci-Tech Co., Ltd.
- 27. Zhejiang Medicines & Health

[FR Doc. 2018–17049 Filed 8–8–18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# International Trade Administration [A-557-816]

Certain Steel Nails From Malaysia: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel nails from Malaysia. This review covers the sales of two mandatory respondents, both of which we preliminarily determine to have made sales at less than normal value during the period of review, covering July 1, 2016, through

June 30, 2017. In addition, we are

rescinding the review in part with

request for review was timely

respect to 15 companies for which the

DATES: Applicable August 9, 2018.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Edythe Artman or Madeline Heeren,
AD/CVD Operations, Office VI,
Enforcement and Compliance,
International Trade Administration,
U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401
Constitution Avenue NW, Washington,
DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3931 or
(202) 482–9179, respectively.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# Background

withdrawn.

These preliminary results of review are made in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). On September 13, 2017, Commerce published the notice of initiation for the administrative review. For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of the

review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>2</sup> A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as Appendix II to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at https:// access.trade.gov and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, located in room B8094 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/ *frn/.* The signed and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

# Scope of the Order

The products covered by the scope of the order are certain steel nails from Malaysia. For a complete description of the scope, *see* Appendix I of this notice.

# Partial Rescission of Administrative Review

In the Initiation Notice, we initiated a review of 19 companies. Subsequently, the petitioner, Mid Continent Steel & Wire, Inc., withdrew its request for review of 15 of the companies. No other parties had requested a review of these companies. Thus, in response to the petitioner's timely filed withdrawal request and pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding the administrative review of the following companies: Airlift Trans Oceanic Pvt. Ltd.; Astrotech Steels Private Ltd.; Caribbean International Co. Ltd.: Dahnay Logistics Pvt. Ltd.; Flyjac Logistics Private Ltd.; Full Well Freight (Thailand) Co.; Hecny Transportation; Jinhai Hardware Co. Ltd.; Orient Containers Sdn. Bhd.; Orient Express Container Co., Ltd.; Scanwell Logistics (Malaysia) Sdn Bhd; Shanghai Haoray International Trade Co. Ltd.; Sino Connections Logistics; Tag Fasteners Sdn. Bhd.; and Topocean Consolidation Services.

# Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying the preliminary results, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

### **Preliminary Results of Review**

We preliminarily determine that, for the period July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2017, the following weighted-average dumping margins exist: <sup>3</sup>

Producer/exporter	Weighted- average dumping margin (percent)
Inmax Sdn. Bhd. and Inmax Industries Sdn. Bhd Region International Co. Ltd.	0.00
and Region System Sdn. Bhd	1.33

#### Disclosure and Public Comment

Commerce will disclose to parties to the proceeding any calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results of review within five days after the date of publication of this notice.4 Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than seven days after the date on which the last verification report is issued in this administrative review.<sup>5</sup> Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than five days after the deadline for filing case briefs.<sup>6</sup> Parties who submit case or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.7 Case and rebuttal briefs should be filed using ACCESS.8

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues parties intend to discuss. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case and rebuttal briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at the U.S. Department of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 82 FR 42974 (September 13, 2017) (Initiation Notice).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Steel Nails from Malaysia; 2016–2017", dated concurrently with this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Commerce has preliminarily determined to collapse, and treat as a single entity, affiliates Inmax Sdn. Bhd. and Inmax Industries Sdn. Bhd. (collectively, Inmax) and Region International Co. Ltd. and Region System Sdn. Bhd. (collectively Region). For a full discussion of the collapsing criteria, see the company-specific analysis memorandum, dated concurrently with this notice.

<sup>4</sup> See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii).

<sup>6</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1).

<sup>7</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

<sup>8</sup> See 19 CFR 351.303.

<sup>9</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).