

in all of EPA's Partnership Programs, including businesses, governments, and members of the community.

*Title:* Assessment of EPA Partnership Programs.

*ICR numbers:* EPA ICR No. 2225.01, OMB Control No: New.

*ICR status:* This ICR is for a new information collection activity. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in title 40 of the CFR, after appearing in the **Federal Register** when approved, are listed in 40 CFR part 9, are displayed either by publication in the **Federal Register** or by other appropriate means, such as on the related collection instrument or form, if applicable. The display of OMB control numbers in certain EPA regulations is consolidated in 40 CFR Part 9.

*Abstract:* The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is seeking approval for a three-year generic clearance from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to develop a generic ICR to collect data to be used for performance measurement of EPA Partnership Programs. This will be a voluntary collection of information to assess the activities of EPA Partnership Programs. The proposed generic measurement ICR will involve voluntary collections of information via surveys to assess the results of EPA Partnership Programs. Performance measurement data collected will detail awareness of associated environmental activities, behavior change, and associated environmental results. EPA proposes to use surveys and questionnaires to assess activities for program purpose and design, strategic planning, program management, and program results to determine if the goals of the program are being met.

All assessments undertaken under this ICR will follow stringent procedures to ensure that data are collected and used properly and efficiently. This ICR will provide anecdotal data for the purpose of informing EPA of the perceived effectiveness of partnership programs and will also allow partnership programs to collect data on the environmental results of partner activities due to participation. The information collection is voluntary, and will be limited to non-sensitive data concerning participation in partnership programs.

To help fulfill the broad mandate of protecting human health and the environment, EPA works with

businesses, communities, State and local governments, and other organizations to achieve environmental goals through partnership programs. Partnership programs provide organizations with the information and assistance necessary to achieve and maintain various environmental goals.

EPA believes that measuring the performance of partnership programs is important to ensure that partnership programs are reaching the intended audience, providing valuable resources, and achieving the desired environmental results. Understanding this will allow EPA to better design and manage these partnership programs to meet the needs of the participants and to meet EPA's environmental goals. A generic measurement ICR will significantly increase the ability of EPA Partnership Programs to: Determine and evaluate the effectiveness of these partnership programs as well as help the programs obtain data to successfully complete PART reviews; increase the consistency of program performance data as an alternative/adjunct to traditional regulatory approaches for achieving environmental results; minimize approval burden on OMB as submissions will be shorter and of higher quality via the generic measurement ICR process; and reduce burden on potential respondents by limiting the number of requests for information.

*Burden Statement:* The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 2.2 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

The ICR provides a detailed explanation of the Agency's estimate, which is only briefly summarized here:

*Estimated total number of potential respondents:* 16,000.

*Frequency of response:* On occasion.

*Estimated total average number of responses for each respondent:* 1–2.

*Estimated total annual burden hours:* 11,556 hours.

*Estimated total annual costs:* \$769,702.

There are no costs for capital investment or maintenance and operation associated with this ICR.

### What Is the Next Step in the Process for This ICR?

EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.12. At that time, EPA will issue another **Federal Register** notice pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the technical person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Dated: June 22, 2006.

**David Widawsky,**

*Acting Director, Office of Environmental Policy Innovation, Office of Policy, Economics and Innovation, Office of the Administrator.*

[FR Doc. E6–10737 Filed 7–7–06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Regional Docket No. II–2005–06; FRL–8192–7]

### Clean Air Act Operating Permit Program; Petition for Objection to State Operating Permit for the Camden Cogeneration Plant (CCP)

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of final order on petition to object to a State operating permit.

**SUMMARY:** This document announces that the EPA Administrator has responded to a petition asking EPA to object to an operating permit issued by the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP). Specifically, the Administrator has partially granted and partially denied a joint petition submitted by the South Jersey Environmental Alliance (SJEJA), and the New Jersey Public Interest Research Group (NJPIRG), to object to the state operating permit issued to the Camden Cogeneration Plant.

Pursuant to section 505(b)(2) of the Clean Air Act (Act), Petitioner (SJEJA) may seek judicial review of those portions of the CCP petition which EPA

denied in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit. Any petition for review shall be filed within 60 days from the date this notice appears in the **Federal Register**, pursuant to section 307 of the Act.

**ADDRESSES:** You may review copies of the final order, the petition, and all relevant information at the EPA Region 2 Office, 290 Broadway, New York, New York 10007–1866. If you wish to examine these documents, you should make an appointment at least 24 hours before visiting day. Additionally, the final order for CCP is available electronically at: <http://www.epa.gov/region07/programs/artd/air/title5/petitiondb/petitiondb2005.htm>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Steven Riva, Chief, Permitting Section, Air Programs Branch, Division of Environmental Planning and Protection, EPA, Region 2, 290 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10007–1866, telephone (212) 637–4074.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Act affords EPA a 45-day period to review, and object to as appropriate, operating permits proposed by State permitting authorities. Section 505(b)(2) of the Act authorizes any person to petition the EPA Administrator within 60 days after the expiration of this review period to object to State operating permits if EPA has not done so. Petitions must be based only on objections to the permit that were raised with reasonable specificity during the public comment period provided by the State, unless the petitioner demonstrates that it was impracticable to raise these issues during the comment period or the grounds for the issues arose after this period.

On October 26, 2005, the EPA received a petition from Petitioners, requesting that EPA object to the issuance of the title V operating permit for the CCP based on the following allegations: (1) The permit lacks a statement of basis; (2) the permit fails to include a compliance schedule; (3) CCP's past violations are not properly addressed through permit enforcement action and in the permitting process; (4) the permit needs additional monitoring, recordkeeping and reporting provisions; (5) the permit failed to adequately limit emissions of particulate matter; and (6) the permit failed to enforce environmental justice requirements.

On May 25, 2006, the Administrator issued an order partially granting and partially denying the petition on CCP. The order explains the reasons behind EPA's conclusion that the NJDEP must re-issue the permit to include annual tune-up requirements for the turbine.

The order also explains the reasons for denying Petitioners' remaining claims.

Dated: June 15, 2006.

**Alan J. Steinberg,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 2.*

[FR Doc. E6–10735 Filed 7–7–06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–8191–1]

### Notice of Prevention of Significant Deterioration Final Determination for Newmont Nevada Energy Investment

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”).

**ACTION:** Notice of Final Action; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects information published in the **Federal Register** on May 5, 2006 concerning the issuance of a Prevention of Significant Deterioration (“PSD”) permit for Newmont Nevada Energy Investment, LLC (“Newmont”). We are also providing additional information regarding the issuance of the permit, as well as the denial of review of the permit by EPA's Environmental Appeals Board (“EAB”), that was not published in our May 5, 2006 **Federal Register** notice.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Copies of the permit are available for public inspection and can be obtained by contacting: Roger Kohn (AIR–3), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105. (415) 972–3973. e-mail: [kohn.roger@epa.gov](mailto:kohn.roger@epa.gov).

Readers interested in more detail on the appeal issues raised by the petitioner and the reasons for the EAB's denial of review may download EAB's Order Denying Review from the EAB Web site: [http://yosemite.epa.gov/oa/EAB\\_Web\\_Docket.nsf/PSD+Permit+Appeals+\(CAA\)?OpenView](http://yosemite.epa.gov/oa/EAB_Web_Docket.nsf/PSD+Permit+Appeals+(CAA)?OpenView).

### Notification of EAB Final Decision

The Nevada Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, Division of Environmental Protection, acting under authority of a PSD delegation agreement, issued a PSD permit to Newmont on May 5, 2005, granting approval to construct the TS Power Plant, a 200 megawatt pulverized coal-fired boiler plant to be located near Dunphy, NV. The Association for Clean Energy (“ACE”) filed a petition for review with the EAB on June 3, 2005. The EAB denied review of the petition

on December 21, 2005. The permit became effective on December 21, 2005, not on June 4, 2005 as stated in our May 5, 2006 **Federal Register** notice.

Judicial review of the permit decision, to the extent it is available pursuant to section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act (“CAA”), may be sought by filing a petition for review in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit within 60 days of our May 5, 2006 **Federal Register** notice.

Dated: June 23, 2006.

**Deborah Jordan,**

*Director, Air Division, Region 9.*

[FR Doc. E6–10742 Filed 7–7–06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–8192–2]

### Availability of Fiscal Year 2005 Grantee Performance Evaluation Reports for Iowa, Kansas, Nebraska, and the Unified Government of Wyandotte County, KS

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of Clean Air Act, section 105 grantee performance evaluation reports.

**SUMMARY:** EPA's grant regulations (40 CFR 35.115) require the Agency to evaluate the performance of agencies which receive grants. EPA's regulations for regional consistency (40 CFR 56.7) require that the Agency notify the public of the availability of the reports of such evaluation. EPA performed end-of-year evaluations of three state air pollution control programs (Iowa Department of Natural Resources; Kansas Department of Health and Environment; Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality); and one local air pollution control program (Unified Government of Wyandotte County, Kansas). These evaluations were conducted to assess the agencies' performance under the grants awarded by EPA under authority of section 105 of the Clean Air Act.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the evaluation reports are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 7 office, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101, in the Air Planning and Development Branch of the Air, RCRA and Toxics Division.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Evelyn VanGoethem, (913) 551–7659, or by e-mail at [vangoethem.epa@epa.gov](mailto:vangoethem.epa@epa.gov).