ensure that the full range of issues related to the proposed action and all reasonable alternatives are addressed and all significant issues are identified. These comments, questions, and suggestions should be addressed to the MDOT or the FRA at the addresses provided above. The public is invited to participate in the scoping process, to review the Draft EIS when published, and to provide input at all public meetings. Letters describing the proposed scope of the EIS and soliciting comments will be sent to appropriate Federal, State, and local agencies, elected officials, community organizations, and to private organizations and citizens who express interest in this proposal. Several public meetings to be advertised in the local media will be held in the project area regarding this proposal. Release of the Draft EIS for public comment and public meetings and hearings related to that document will be announced as those dates are established. A scoping meeting will be conducted in the Tupelo area at a date and place, which will be widely publicized well in advance of the meeting.

Persons interested in providing comments on the scope of the EIS should do so within 30 days of the publication of this Notice of Intent. Comments can be sent in writing to the points of contact listed above.

Issued in Washington, DC, on June 23, 2006

## Mark E. Yachmetz,

Associate Administrator for Railroad Development, Federal Railroad Administration.

[FR Doc. 06-5822 Filed 6-28-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-06-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2006-24964]

## Highway Safety Programs; Model Specifications for Devices To Measure Breath Alcohol

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice amends the Conforming Products List published in 2004 (69 FR 42237) for instruments that conform to the Model Specifications for Evidential Breath Testing Devices (58 FR 48705).

DATES: Effective Date: June 29, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Maria E. Vegega, Office of Behavioral Safety Research, Behavioral Research Division (NTI–131), National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590; Telephone: (202) 366–4892.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 5, 1973, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) published the Standards for Devices to Measure Breath Alcohol (38 FR 30459). A Qualified Products List of Evidential Breath Measurement Devices comprised of instruments that met this standard was first issued on November 21, 1974 (39 FR 41399).

On December 14, 1984 (49 FR 48854), NHTSA converted this standard to Model Specifications for Evidential Breath Testing Devices (Model Specifications), and published a Conforming Products List (CPL) of instruments that were found to conform to the Model Specifications as Appendix D to that notice (49 FR 48864).

On September 17, 1993, NHTSA published a notice (58 FR 48705) to amend the Model Specifications. The notice changed the alcohol concentration levels at which instruments are evaluated, from 0.000, 0.050, 0.101, and 0.151 BAC, to 0.000, 0.020, 0.040, 0.080, and 0.160 BAC;

added a test for the presence of acetone; and expanded the definition of alcohol to include other low molecular weight alcohols including methyl or isopropyl. On July 14, 2004, the most recent amendment to the Conforming Products List (CPL) was published (69 FR 42237), identifying those instruments found to conform with the Model Specifications.

Since the last publication of the CPL, five (5) instruments have been evaluated and found to meet the Model Specifications, as amended on September 17, 1993, for mobile and non-mobile use. In alphabetical order by company, they are:

(1) The "Alcotest 6810" manufactured by Draeger Safety, Inc., Durango, Colorado. This is a hand held device intended for use in stationary or roadside operation and is powered by an internal battery. It uses a fuel cell sensor.

(2) & (3) The "Alcotector BAC–100" and the "Alcotector C2H5OH", both sold by Guth Laboratories, Inc. of Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. These devices are hand held devices intended for use in stationary or roadside operations. Both devices use fuel cell sensors and are powered by 4 "AA" batteries. The two devices are identical except for their printers. The BAC–100 has an internal printer. The C2H5OH does not have an internal printer, but can use an optional wireless printer.

'(4) The "EV 30" manufactured by Lifeloc Technologies, Inc. of Wheat Ridge, Colorado. This device is a hand held device that uses a fuel cell sensor and is powered by an internal battery. It is intended for stationary or roadside operations.

(5) The "DataMaster DMT", manufactured by National Patent Analytical Systems, Inc. of Mansfield, Ohio. This is a bench-top, AC powered, infrared type breath tester with an analytical filter at 3.44 microns, and interference filters at 3.37 and 3.50 microns.

The CPL has been amended to add the five instruments identified above.

In accordance with the foregoing, the CPL is therefore amended, as set forth below.

## CONFORMING PRODUCTS LIST OF EVIDENTIAL BREATH MEASUREMENT DEVICES

Manufacturer and Model	Mobile	Nonmobile
Alcohol Countermeasure Systems Corp.		
Mississauga, Ontario, Canada:		
Alert J3AD*	X	X
Alert J4X.ec	X	X
PBA3000C	X	X
BAC Systems, Inc., Ontario, Canada:		
Breath Analysis Computer*	X	X
CAMEC Ltd., North Shields, Tyne and Ware, England:		

# CONFORMING PRODUCTS LIST OF EVIDENTIAL BREATH MEASUREMENT DEVICES—Continued

Manufacturer and Model	Mobile	Nonmobil
IR Breath Analyzer*	Χ	X
CMI, Inc., Owensboro, Kentucky:		
ntoxilyzer Model: 200	Χ	X
200D	X	X
300	X	X
400	X	X
400PA	X	X
1400 4011*	X X	X
4011A*	X	x x
4011AS*	X	X
4011AS-A*	X	X
4011AS-AQ*	Х	X
4011 AW*	X	X
4011A27—10100*	X	X
4011A27–10100 with filter*	X X	X X
5000 5000 (w/Cal. Vapor Re-Circ.)	X	x
5000 (w/3%" ID Hose option)	X	X
5000CD	X	X
5000CD/FG5	X	X
5000EN	X	X
5000 (CAL DOJ)	X	X
5000VA	X	X
8000 PAC 1200*	X X	X
S-D2	X	X
S-D5	x	l x
raeger Safety, Inc., Durango, Colorado:	^	
cotest Model:		
6510	X	X
6810	Х	X
7010*	X	X
7110*	X X	X X
7110 MKIII	X	X
7110 MKIII-0	X	x
7410 Plus	X	l $\hat{x}$
reathalyzer Model:		
900*	X	X
900A*	X	X
900BG*	X	X
7410	X X	X
7410–IIall's Inc., Lexington, Kentucky:	^	^
Alcohol Detection System—A.D.S. 500	X	X
uth Laboratories, Inc., Harrisburg, Pennsylvania:	^	
Alcotector BAC-100	Χ	X
Alcotector C2H5OH	X	X
toXimeters, Inc., St. Louis, Missouri:		
Photo Electric Intoximeter*		X
GC IntoXimeter MK II*	X	X
GC IntoXimeter MK IV*	X X	X
Auto IntoXimeter*toXimeter Model:	^	^
3000*	X	X
3000 (rev B1)*	X	X
3000 (rev B2)*	X	X
3000 (rev B2A)*	X	X
3000 (rev B2A) w/FM option*	Х	X
3000 (Fuel Cell)*	X	X
3000 D*	X	X
3000 DFC*	Х	X
comonitor	······································	X
consor III	X X	X
lco-Sensor III (Enhanced with Serial Numbers above 1,200,000)	X	X
co-Sensor IV	X	X
ico-Sensor IV–XL	x	X
co-Sensor AZ	X	X
lco-Sensor FST	Χ	X

# CONFORMING PRODUCTS LIST OF EVIDENTIAL BREATH MEASUREMENT DEVICES—Continued

Manufacturer and Model	Mobile	Nonmobile
RBT-AZ	Х	Х
RBT III	X	X
RBT III-A	X	X
RBT IV	X	X
RBT IV with CEM (cell enhancement module)	X X	X X
IntoX EC/IR IntoX EC/IR II	X	X
Portable IntoX EC/IR	x	l $\hat{x}$
Komyo Kitagawa, Kogyo, K.K., Japan:		
Alcolyzer DPA-2*	X	X
Breath Alcohol Meter PAM 101B*	X	X
Lifeloc Technologies, Inc., (formerly Lifeloc, Inc.), Wheat Ridge, Colorado:	Χ	_
PBA 3000B PBA 3000-P*	x	X X
PBA 3000C	X	X
Alcohol Data Sensor	X	X
Phoenix	X	X
EV 30	X	X
FC 10	X	X
FC 20Lion Laboratories, Ltd., Cardiff, Wales, United Kingdom:	Χ	X
Alcolmeter Model:		
300	X	×
400	X	X
SD-2*	X	X
EBA*	X	X
Intoxilyzer Model:		
200	X	X
200D	X X	X X
5000 CD/FG5	x	×
5000 EN	X	l $\hat{x}$
Luckey Laboratories, San Bernadino, California:		
Alco-Analyzer Model:		
1000*		X
2000*		X
National Draeger, Inc., Durango, Colorado: Alcotest Model:		
7010*	X	×
7110*	X	X
7110 MKIII	X	X
7110 MKIII–C	X	X
7410	X	X
7410 Plus	Χ	X
Breathalyzer Model: 900*	Х	X
900A*	x	ı x
900BG*	X	X
7410	X	X
7410–II	X	X
National Patent Analytical Systems, Inc., Mansfield, Ohio:		
BAC DataMaster (with or without the Delta-1 accessory)	X	X
BAC Verifier Datamaster (with or without the Delta-1 accessory)	X	X
DataMaster cdm (with or without the Delta-1 accessory)	X X	X X
Omicron Systems, Palo Alto, California:	,	
Intoxilyzer Model:		
4011*	X	X
4011AW*	X	X
Plus 4 Engineering, Minturn, Colorado:		
5000 Plus4*	Х	X
Seres, Paris, France: Alco Master	Χ	X
Alcopro	x	l â
Siemans-Allis, Cherry Hill, New Jersey:	^	_ ^
Alcomat*	X	X
Alcomat F*	X	X
Smith and Wesson Electronics, Springfield, Massachusetts:		
Breathalyzer Model:		
900*	X	X
900A*	X X	X X
1000*	٨	· X

## CONFORMING PRODUCTS LIST OF EVIDENTIAL BREATH MEASUREMENT DEVICES—Continued

Manufacturer and Model	Mobile	Nonmobile
2000*	Х	Х
2000 (non-Humidity Sensor)*	X	X
Sound-Off, Inc., Hudsonville, Michigan:		
AlcoData	X	X
Seres Alco Master	X	X
Seres Alcopro	X	X
Stephenson Corp.:		
Breathalyzer 900*	X	X
U.S. Alcohol Testing, Inc./Protection Devices, Inc., Rancho Cucamonga, California:		
Alco-Analyzer 1000		X
Alco-Analyzer 2000 Alco-Analyzer 2100		X
Alco-Analyzer 2100	X	X
Verax Systems, Inc., Fairport, New York:		
BAC Verifier*	X	X
BAC Verifier Datamaster	X	X
BAC Verifier Datamaster II*	X	X

<sup>\*</sup>Instruments marked with an asterisk (\*) meet the Model Specifications detailed in 49 FR 48854 (December 14, 1984) (i.e., instruments tested at 0.000, 0.050, 0.101, and 0.151 BAC.) Instruments not marked with an asterisk meet the Model Specifications detailed in 58 FR 48705 (September 17, 1993), and were tested at BACs = 0.000, 0.020, 0.040, 0.080, and 0.160. All instruments that meet the Model Specifications currently in effect (dated September 17, 1993) also meet the Model Specifications for Screening Devices to Measure Alcohol in Bodily Fluids.

(23 U.S.C. 402; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.1)

Issued on: June 22, 2006.

#### Marilena Amoni,

Associate Administrator for Research and Program Development.

[FR Doc. E6–10258 Filed 6–28–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

# **Surface Transportation Board**

[STB Docket Nos. AB-33 (Sub-No. 242X) and STB Docket No. AB-471 (Sub-No. 7X)]

Union Pacific Railroad Company— Abandonment Exemption—in Montgomery County, KS and South Kansas & Oklahoma Railroad, Inc.— Discontinuance of Service Exemption—in Montgomery County,

Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) and South Kansas & Oklahoma Railroad, Inc. (SKO) (collectively, applicants), have jointly filed a notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1152 Subpart F—Exempt Abandonments and Discontinuances of Service for UP to abandon, and for SKO to discontinue service over, approximately 0.8 miles of railroad located: (1) Between milepost 166.0, at the west bank of the Verdigris River, and milepost 166.6, at the west edge of Sunflower Road; and (2) at the portion of UP's railroad easement between milepost 166.6, at the west edge of Sunflower Road, and milepost 166.8, at the west edge of Linden Street, near Coffeyville, in Montgomery County,

KS.<sup>1</sup> The line traverses United States Postal Service Zip Code 67337.

Applicants have certified that: (1) No local traffic has moved over the line for at least 2 years; (2) no overhead traffic has moved over the line for at least 2 years; <sup>2</sup> (3) no formal complaint filed by a user of rail service on the line (or by a state or local government entity acting on behalf of such user) regarding cessation of service over the line either is pending with the Surface Transportation Board (Board) or with any U.S. District Court or has been decided in favor of complainant within the 2-year period; and (4) the requirements at 49 CFR 1105.7 (environmental reports), 49 CFR 1105.8 (historic reports), 49 CFR 1105.11 (transmittal letter), 49 CFR 1105.12 (newspaper publication), and 49 CFR 1152.50(d)(1) (notice to governmental agencies) have been met.

As a condition to this exemption, any employee adversely affected by the abandonment shall be protected under Oregon Short Line R. Co.—
Abandonment—Goshen, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979). To address whether this condition adequately protects affected employees, a petition for partial

revocation under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) must be filed.

Provided no formal expression of intent to file an offer of financial assistance (OFA) has been received, this exemption will be effective on July 29, 2006, unless stayed pending reconsideration. Petitions to stay that do not involve environmental issues,3 formal expressions of intent to file an OFA under 49 CFR 1152.27(c)(2),4 and trail use/rail banking requests under 49 CFR 1152.29 must be filed by July 10, 2006. Petitions to reopen or requests for public use conditions under 49 CFR 1152.28 must be filed by July 19, 2006, with the Surface Transportation Board, 1925 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20423-0001.

A copy of any petition filed with the Board should be sent to applicants' representatives: For UP, Mack H. Shumate, Jr., Senior General Attorney, Union Pacific Railroad Company, 101 North Wacker Drive, Suite 1920, Chicago, IL 60606; for SKO, Thomas F. McFarland, Thomas F. McFarland, P.C., 208 South LaSalle Street, Suite 1890, Chicago, IL 60604.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Applicants state that the portion of the rail line over which UP has a railroad easement is located within the premises of Coffeyville Resources Refinery & Marketing, LLC (Refinery Company), and that Refinery Company owns the right-of-way and track materials within that portion of the rail line. Applicants also state that, by lease effective December 10, 1990, UP leased the line and adjacent trackage to Southeast Kansas Railway Company, which subsequently was merged into SKO. According to applicants, UP intends to make private non-rail use of the land east of the refinery.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It appears that the line is stub-ended and thus that there can be no overhead traffic to be rerouted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The Board will grant a stay if an informed decision on environmental issues (whether raised by a party or by the Board's Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) in its independent investigation) cannot be made before the exemption's effective date. See Exemption of Outof-Service Rail Lines, 5 I.C.C.2d 377 (1989). Any request for a stay should be filed as soon as possible so that the Board may take appropriate action before the exemption's effective date.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Each OFA must be accompanied by the filing fee, which increased to \$1,300, effective April 19, 2006. See Regulations Governing Fees For Services Performed in Connection with Licensing and Related Services—2006 Update, STB Ex Parte No. 542 (Sub–No. 13) (STB served Mar. 20, 2006).