

Assessment Rates

Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP, 15 days after publication of these final results of review, to liquidate shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016, at the *ad valorem* rates listed above.

Cash Deposit Requirements

Commerce also intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the amounts indicated above for each company listed on shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review. For all non-reviewed firms, we will instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the most recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. Accordingly, the cash deposit requirements that will be applied to companies covered by this order, but not examined in this administrative review, are those established in the most recently completed segment of the proceeding for each company. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 13, 2018.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Analysis of Comments

Comment 1: Continuation of Application of AFA for Mandatory Respondents V. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2018–15798 Filed 7–24–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Swiss-U.S. Privacy Shield; Invitation for Applications for Inclusion on the Supplemental List of Arbitrators

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on a continuing information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before September 24, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230 (or via the internet at PRAComments@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to David Ritchie, International Trade Administration, 202–482–4936 or david.ritchie@trade.gov. More information on the arbitration mechanism may be found at <https://www.trade.gov/td/services/odsi/swiss-us-privacyshield-framework.pdf>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The Swiss-U.S. Privacy Shield Framework was designed by the U.S. Department of Commerce (DOC) and the Swiss Administration (Swiss) to provide companies in both Switzerland and the United States with a mechanism to comply with data protection requirements when transferring personal data from Switzerland to the United States in support of transatlantic commerce. On January 12, 2017, the Swiss deemed the Swiss-U.S. Privacy Shield Framework (Swiss Privacy Shield) adequate to enable data transfers

under Swiss law, and on April 12, 2017, the DOC began accepting self-certifications from U.S. companies to join the program (82 FR 16375; April 12, 2017). For more information on the Privacy Shield, visit www.privacyshield.gov.

As described in Annex I of the Swiss Privacy Shield, the DOC and the Swiss committed to implement an arbitration mechanism to provide Swiss individuals with the ability to invoke binding arbitration to determine, for residual claims, whether an organization has violated its obligations under the Privacy Shield. Organizations voluntarily self-certify to the Swiss Privacy Shield and, upon certification, the commitments the organization has made to comply with the Swiss Privacy Shield become legally enforceable under U.S. law. Organizations that self-certify to the Swiss Privacy Shield commit to binding arbitration of residual claims if the individual chooses to exercise that option. Under the arbitration option, a Privacy Shield Panel^a (consisting of one or three arbitrators, as agreed by the parties) has the authority to impose individual-specific, non-monetary equitable relief (such as access, correction, deletion, or return of the individual's data in question) necessary to remedy the violation of the Swiss Privacy Shield only with respect to the individual. The parties will select the arbitrators from the list of arbitrators described below.

The DOC and the Swiss Administration are developing a list of up to five arbitrators to supplement the list of arbitrators developed under the EU-U.S. Privacy Shield Framework. To be eligible for inclusion on the supplemental list, applicants must be admitted to practice law in the United States and have expertise in both U.S. privacy law and European or Swiss data protection law. Applicants shall not be subject to any instructions from, or be affiliated with, any Privacy Shield organization, or the U.S., Switzerland, EU, or any EU Member State or any other governmental authority, public authority or enforcement authority.

The DOC received emergency approval for this information collection on March 26, 2018 under Control Number 0625–0278. Upon receiving that approval, the DOC accepted applications submitted by April 30, 2018 for inclusion on the Supplemental List of Arbitrators. The DOC is currently evaluating applicants who submitted by April 30, 2018 and is thus not currently

^a The Privacy Shield Panel would govern arbitration proceedings brought under either the Swiss-U.S. or EU-U.S. Privacy Shield Frameworks.

seeking additional applications. However, the DOC is now submitting a request for a 3-year approval through OMB's general PRA clearance process, because it may seek additional applications in the future as appropriate.

To be considered for inclusion on the Supplemental List of Arbitrators, eligible individuals are evaluated on the basis of independence, integrity, and expertise:

Independence: Freedom from bias and prejudice.

Integrity: Held in the highest regard by peers for integrity, fairness and good judgment.

Demonstrates high ethical standards and commitment necessary to be an arbitrator.

Expertise

Required: Admission to practice law in the United States.

Level of demonstrated expertise in U.S. privacy law and European or Swiss data protection law.

Other expertise that may be considered includes any of the following:

Relevant educational degrees and professional licenses.

Relevant professional or academic experience or legal practice.

Relevant training or experience in arbitration or other forms of dispute resolution.

Evaluation of applications for inclusion on the list of arbitrators is undertaken by the DOC and the Swiss Administration. Selected applicants remain on the list for a period of 3 years, absent exceptional circumstances, change in eligibility, or for cause, renewable for one additional period of 3 years.

The DOC selected the International Centre for Dispute Resolution-American Arbitration Association (ICDR-AAA) as administrator for Privacy Shield arbitrations brought under either the Swiss-U.S. or EU-U.S. Privacy Shield Frameworks.^b Among other things, the ICDR-AAA facilitates arbitrator fee arrangements, including the collection and timely payment of arbitrator fees and other expenses. Arbitrators are expected to commit their time and effort when included on the supplemental Swiss-U.S. Privacy Shield List of Arbitrators and to take reasonable steps to minimize the costs or fees of the arbitration.

Arbitrators are subject to a code of conduct consistent with Annex I of the

Swiss-U.S. Privacy Shield Framework and generally accepted ethical standards for arbitrators. The DOC and the Swiss Administration agreed to adopt the arbitral procedures adopted under the EU-U.S. Privacy Shield Framework to govern the arbitral proceedings, subject to considerations identified in Annex I of the Swiss-U.S. Privacy Shield Framework, including that materials submitted to arbitrators will be treated confidentially and will only be used in connection with the arbitration. For more information, please visit <https://www.privacyshield.gov/article?id=G-Arbitration-Procedures> where you can find information on the arbitration procedures. (Please note that the Arbitration procedures apply to both the EU-U.S. Privacy Shield Framework and the Swiss-U.S. Privacy Shield Framework.)

Applications

Applications must be typewritten and should be headed "Application for Inclusion on the Swiss-U.S. Privacy Shield Supplemental List of Arbitrators." Applications should include the following information, and each section of the application should be numbered as indicated:

—Name of applicant.

—Address, telephone number, and email address.

1. Independence

—Description of the applicant's affiliations with any organization that has self-certified under either the Swiss-U.S. or EU-U.S. Privacy Shield Frameworks, or the U.S., Switzerland, any EU Member State or any other governmental authority, public authority, or enforcement authority.

2. Integrity

—On a separate page, the names, addresses, telephone, and fax numbers of three individuals willing to provide information concerning the applicant's qualifications for service, including the applicant's character, reputation, reliability, and judgment.

—Description of the applicant's willingness and ability to make time commitments necessary to be an arbitrator.

3. Expertise

—Demonstration of admittance to practice law in the United States.

—Relevant academic degrees and professional training and licensing.

—Current employment, including title, description of responsibility, name and address of employer, and name and telephone number of supervisor or other reference.

—Employment history, including the dates and addresses of each prior position and a summary of responsibilities.

—Description of expertise in U.S. privacy law and European or Swiss data protection law.

—Description of training or experience in arbitration or other forms of dispute resolution, if applicable.

—A list of publications, testimony, and speeches, if any, concerning U.S. privacy law and European or Swiss data protection law, with copies appended.

II. Method of Collection

As stated above, the DOC is not currently seeking additional applications, but may do so in the future as appropriate. OMB reviewed and approved this information collection on an emergency basis as of March 26, 2018 under Control Number 0625–0278. As the emergency approval is only valid for 180 days, the DOC is now submitting a request for a 3-year approval through OMB's full PRA clearance process. Future applications would be submitted to the U.S. Department of Commerce, either by email or by fax. More information on the arbitration mechanism may be found at <https://www.trade.gov/td/services/odsi/swiss-us-privacyshield-framework.pdf>.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0625–0278.

Form Number(s): None.

Type of Review: Regular submission.

Affected Public: Private Individuals.

Estimated Number of Respondents:

20.

Estimated Time per Response: 240 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 80.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$0.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or

^b For more information about the selection process and the role of the administrator, see <https://www.privacyshield.gov/Arbitration-Fact-Sheet>.

included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Sheleen Dumas,

Departmental Lead PRA Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2018-15927 Filed 7-24-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-570-984]

Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited First Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of this sunset review, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the countervailing duty order would be likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable July 25, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Crespo, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3693.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 11, 2013, Commerce published its countervailing duty order on drawn stainless steel sinks from China.¹ On March 5, 2018, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the first sunset review of the countervailing duty order on drawn stainless steel sinks from China pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act.² On March 16, 2018, Commerce received a notice of intent to participate from Elkay Manufacturing Company (Elkay), a domestic interested party, within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).³ Elkay claimed

interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as a producer of stainless steel sinks in the United States.

On April 2, 2018, Commerce received an adequate substantive response to the notice of initiation from Elkay within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).⁴ We received no substantive responses from respondent interested parties with respect to the order covered by this sunset review.

On April 10, 2018, Commerce notified the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) that it did not receive an adequate substantive response from respondent interested parties.⁵ As a result, pursuant to 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the countervailing duty order on drawn stainless steel sinks from China.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order includes drawn stainless steel sinks with single or multiple drawn bowls, with or without drain boards, whether finished or unfinished, regardless of type of finish, gauge, or grade of stainless steel. Mounting clips, fasteners, seals, and sound-deadening pads are also covered by the scope of this order if they are included within the sales price of the drawn stainless steel sinks.⁶ For purposes of this scope definition, the term "drawn" refers to a manufacturing process using metal forming technology to produce a smooth basin with seamless, smooth, and rounded corners. Drawn stainless steel sinks are available in various shapes and configurations and may be described in a number of ways including flush mount, top mount, or undermount (to indicate the attachment relative to the countertop). Stainless steel sinks with multiple drawn bowls that are joined through a welding operation to form one unit are covered by the scope of the order. Drawn stainless steel sinks are covered by the scope of the order whether or not they are sold in conjunction with non-subject accessories such as faucets (whether attached or unattached), strainers,

strainer sets, rinsing baskets, bottom grids, or other accessories.

Excluded from the scope of the order are stainless steel sinks with fabricated bowls. Fabricated bowls do not have seamless corners, but rather are made by notching and bending the stainless steel, and then welding and finishing the vertical corners to form the bowls. Stainless steel sinks with fabricated bowls may sometimes be referred to as "zero radius" or "near zero radius" sinks. The products covered by this order are currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under statistical reporting number 7324.10.0000 and 7324.10.0010. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum,⁷ which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum are the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy and the net countervailable subsidy likely to prevail if the order were revoked. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>, and to all in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(b) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the countervailing duty order on drawn stainless steel sinks from China would be likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of a

¹ See *Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order*, 78 FR 21596 (April 11, 2013) (*Order*).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 83 FR 9279 (March 5, 2018).

³ See Letter from Elkay "First Five-Year ('Sunset') Review of Countervailing Duty Order on Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from the People's Republic of China/Elkay Manufacturing Company's Notice of Intent to Participate," dated March 16, 2018.

⁴ See Letter from Elkay "First Five-Year ('Sunset') Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from the People's Republic of China/Substantive Response to the Notice of Initiation," dated April 2, 2018.

⁵ See Letter to the ITC re: "Sunset Reviews Initiated on March 5, 2018," dated April 10, 2018.

⁶ Mounting clips, fasteners, seals, and sound-deadening pads are not covered by the scope of this order if they are not included within the sales price of the drawn stainless steel sinks, regardless of whether they are shipped with or entered with drawn stainless steel sinks.

⁷ See Memorandum "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited First Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from the People's Republic of China," dated concurrently with this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).