who send electronic files or mail printouts.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 17,263.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$0. (This is not the cost of respondents' time, but the indirect costs respondents may incur for such things as purchases of specialized software or hardware needed to report, or expenditures for accounting or records maintenance services required specifically by the collection.)

*Respondent's Obligation:* Voluntary. *Legal Authority:* Title 13, United States Code, Sections 131 and 182.

#### **IV. Request for Comments**

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

#### Sheleen Dumas,

Departmental Lead PRA Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2018–15761 Filed 7–23–18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–07–P

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act.

*Agency:* U.S. Census Bureau. *Title:* Manufacturers' Unfilled Orders

Survey. OMB Control Number: 0607–0561.

- Form Number(s): MA–3000. Type of Request: Extension of a
- currently approved collection. Number of Respondents: 6,000. Average Hours per Response: 30 minutes.

Burden Hours: 3,000.

Needs and Uses: The data collected in the Manufacturers' Unfilled Orders (M3UFO) Survey will be used to benchmark the new and unfilled orders information published in the monthly Manufacturers' Shipments, Inventories, and Orders (M3) Survey. The M3 Survey collects monthly data on the value of shipments, inventories, and new and unfilled orders from manufacturing companies. The data are used by the Bureau of Economic Analysis, the Council of Economic Advisers, the Federal Reserve Board, the Conference Board, and members of the business community such as trade associations and the media to analyze business conditions in the manufacturing sector.

The associated monthly M3 Survey estimates are based on a panel of approximately 5,000 reporting units that represent approximately 3,100 companies and provide an indication of month-to-month change for the Manufacturing Sector. These reporting units may be divisions of diversified large companies, large homogenous companies, or single-unit manufacturers. The M3 estimates are periodically benchmarked to comprehensive data on the manufacturing sector from the Annual Survey of Manufactures (ASM), the Economic Census (shipments and inventories) and the M3UFO Survey, which is the subject of this notice. Unfilled orders data are not collected in the ASM or the Economic Census. To obtain more accurate M3 estimates of unfilled orders, which are also used in deriving M3 estimates of new orders, we conduct the M3UFO Survey annually to be used as the source for benchmarking M3 unfilled orders data. Industries that maintain unfilled orders cannot fulfill the order in the same month in which the order is received. This is not true for each industry, and occurs mainly in industries where production takes longer than one month. In order to reduce burden from our respondents, the M3UFO data are used to determine which North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) industries continue to maintain unfilled orders. We then utilize that information to only request unfilled orders on the monthly M3 survey from the NAICS industries that actually have unfilled orders which cannot be completed within the same month that the order was placed.

There are no changes to the MA–3000 form, which is used to conduct the M3UFO survey.

The Census Bureau will use mail out or mail back survey forms to collect the data with online reporting encouraged. Online response for the survey is typically 70 percent. Companies are asked to respond to the survey within 30 days of receipt. The Census Bureau mails letters encouraging participation to companies that have not responded within 30 days and later uses telephone follow-up to seek response from delinquent companies.

*Affected Public:* Businesses or other for-profit.

Frequency: Annually. Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory.

*Legal Authority:* Title 13 U.S.C., Sections 131 and 182.

This information collection request may be viewed at *www.reginfo.gov*. Follow the instructions to view Department of Commerce collections currently under review by OMB.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to OIRA\_Submission@ omb.eop.gov or fax to (202) 395–5806.

#### Sheleen Dumas,

Departmental Lead PRA Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer. [FR Doc. 2018–15816 Filed 7–23–18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–07–P

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

[Application No. 92–14A001]

#### Export Trade Certificate of Review

**ACTION:** Notice of issuance of an amended Export Trade Certificate of Review to Aerospace Industries Association of America, Inc., Application no. 92–14A001.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce issued an amended Export Trade Certificate of Review to Aerospace Industries Association of America, Inc. ("AIA") on July 9, 2018.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joseph Flynn, Director, Office of Trade and Economic Analysis, International Trade Administration, by telephone at (202) 482–5131 (this is not a toll-free number) or email at *etca@trade.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. 4001–21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. The regulations implementing Title III are found at 15 CFR part 325 (2014). The U.S. Department of Commerce, International Trade Administration, Office of Trade and Economic Analysis ("OTEA") is issuing this notice pursuant to 15 CFR 325.6(b), which requires the Secretary of Commerce to publish a summary of the issuance in the Federal Register. Under Section 305(a) of the Export Trading Company Act (15 U.S.C. 4012(b)(1)) and 15 CFR 325.11(a), any person aggrieved by the Secretary's determination may, within 30 days of the date of this notice, bring an action in any appropriate district court of the United States to set aside the determination on the ground that the determination is erroneous.

### **Description of Certified Conduct**

AIA's Export Trade Certificate of Review has been amended to:

1. Add the following companies as new Members of the Certificate within the meaning of section 325.2(l) of the Regulations (15 CFR 325.2(l)):

- ACUTRONIC USA, Inc.; Pittsburgh, PA (controlling entity ACUTRONIC Holding AG; Bubikon, Switzerland)
- ADI American Distributors LLC; Randolph, NJ
- Advanced Logistics for Aerospace (ALA); New York, NY (controlling entity ALA SpA; Naples, Italy)
- Aernnova Aerospace; Ann Arbor, MI (controlling entity Aernnova Aerospace: Miñano, Spain)
- Aero Metals Alliance Inc.; Northbrook, IL (controlling entity Aero Metals Alliance; Surrey, UK)
- AeroVironment, Inc.; Monrovia, CA
- AlixPartners, LLP; New York, NY
- Alta Devices, Inc.; Sunnyvale, CA (controlling entity Hanergy Holding Group Ltd.; Beijing, China)
- Altitude Industries, LLC; Overland Park, KS
- Amazon.com, Inc.; Seattle, WA
- American Metal Bearing Company; Garden Grove, CA (controlling entity Marisco, Ltd.; Kapolei, HI)
- Athena Manufacturing, LP; Austin, TX
- Boom Technology, Inc.; Denver, CO BRPH Architects Engineers, Inc.;
- Melbourne, FL Burns & McDonnell Engineering
- Corporation, Inc.; Kansas City, MO BWX Technologies, Inc.; Lynchburg, VA
- Cytec Engineered Materials, Inc.; Tempe, AZ (controlling entity Solvay Group; Brussels, Belgium)
- Delta Flight Products; Atlanta, GA (controlling entity Delta Air Lines, Inc.; Atlanta, GA)
- EPTAM Plastics; Northfield, NH
- Garmin International, Inc.; Olathe, KS (controlling entity Garmin Ltd.; Schaffhausen, Switzerland)
- General Atomics Aeronautical Systems, Inc.; Poway, CA (controlling entity General Atomics; San Diego, CA)

- Google LLC; Mountain View, CA (controlling entity Alphabet Inc.; Mountain View, CA)
- GSE Dynamics, Inc.; Hauppauge, NY Information Services Group, Inc.; Stamford, CT
- Integral Aerospace, LLC; Santa Ana, CA
- ITT Inc.; White Plains, NY
- Job Performance Associates, LLC; acksonville, FL
- JR Industries, Inc.; Westlake Village, CA
- ManTech International Corporation; Fairfax, VA
- Mercury Systems, Inc.; Andover, MA
- Net-Inspect, LLC; Kirkland, WA
- New England Airfoil Products, Inc.; Farmington, CT
- Nokia US; Murray Hill, NJ (controlling entity Nokia Corporation; Espoo, Finland)
- Norsk Titanium US Inc.: Plattsburgh. NY (controlling entity Norsk Titanium AS; Hønefoss, Norway)
- Omega Aerial Refueling Services, Inc.; Alexandria, VA
- Orbital ATK, Inc.; Dulles, VA
- Pegasus Steel, LLC; Goose Creek, SC •
- PrecisionHawk Inc.; Raleigh, NC
- Primus Aerospace; Lakewood, CO
- PTC Inc.; Needham, MA
- Range Generation Next LLC; Sterling, VA (controlling entities Raytheon Company; Waltham, MA and General Dynamics IT; Fairfax, VA)
- Special Aerospace Services, LLC; Boulder, CO
- SupplyOn North America, Inc.; San Diego, CA (controlling entity SupplyOn AG; Hallbergmoos, Germany)
- The Aerospace Corporation, Civil Systems Group; El Segundo, CA (controlling entity The Aerospace Corporation; El Segundo, CA)
- The Lundquist Group LLC; New York, NY
- Tribus Aerospace Corporation; Powav, CA
- TT Electronics; Perry, OH (controlling entity TT Electronics plc; Woking, UK)
- Unitech Aerospace; Hayden, ID 2. Delete the following companies as Members of AIA's Certificate:
- Accurus Aerospace Corporation, LLC
- Aerospace Exports Incorporated
- AirMap
- Ascent Manufacturing, Inc.
- Aurora Flight Sciences Corporation
- Barnes Group Inc.
- C4 Associates, Inc.
- Camcode Division of Horizons, Inc.
- Castle Metals
- CDI Corporation
- Curtiss-Wright Corporation
- Cytec Industries, Inc.

- FLIR Systems, Inc.
- Fluor Corporation, Inc.
- HP Enterprise Services—Aerospace
- J Anthony Group, LLC
- Lavi Systems, Inc.
- LMI Aerospace, Inc.
- Micro-Coax, Inc. •
- NYLOK, LLC
- **Oxford Performance Materials**
- Park-Ohio Holdings Corp
- SCB Training, Inc.
- Seal Science, Inc.
- SIFCO Industries, Inc.
- SITA
- Spacecraft Components Corporation
- Sunflower Systems
- United Parcel Services of America, Inc.
- Verizon Enterprise Solutions, Inc.
- Vogelhood
- 3. Change in name or address for the following Members:
- Acutec Precision Machining, Inc. of Saegertown, PA is now named Acutec Precision Aerospace, Inc. of Meadville, PA
- Alcoa Defense of Crystal City, VA is now named Arconic Inc. of New York, NY
- Computer Sciences Corporation of Falls Church, VA is now named DXC Technology Company of Tysons Corner, VA

AIA's amendment of its Export Trade Certificate of Review results in the following membership list:

- 3M Company, St. Paul, MN
- AAR Corp., Wood Dale, IL Accenture, Chicago, IL
- Acutec Precision Aerospace, Inc., Meadville, PA
- ACUTRONIC USA, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA
- ADI American Distributors LLC, Randolph, NJ
- Advanced Logistics for Aerospace (ALA), New York, NY
- Aerion Corporation, Reno, NV
- Aernnova Āerospace, Ann Arbor, MI
- Aerojet Rocketdyne, Rancho Cordova, CA

The Aerospace Corporation, Civil

AeroVironment, Inc., Monrovia, CA

AlixPartners, LLP, New York, NY

Allied Telesis, Inc., Bothell, WA

Amazon.com, Inc., Seattle, WA

Alta Devices, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA

Altitude Industries, LLC, Overland

American Metal Bearing Company,

AGC Aerospace & Defense, Oklahoma

Systems Group, El Segundo, CA

- Aero-Mark, LLC, Ontario, CA
- Aero Metals Alliance Inc., Northbrook, IL

Aireon LLC, McLean, VA

City, OK

Park, KS

Garden Grove, CA

- American Pacific Corporation, Las Vegas, NV
- Analytical Graphics, Inc., Exton, PA
- Apex International Management Company, Daytona Beach, FL
- Arconic Inc., New York, NY
- Astronautics Corporation of America, Milwaukee, WI
- Astronics Corporation, East Aurora, NY
- Athena Manufacturing, LP, Austin, TX
- AUSCO, Inc., Port Washington, NY
- Avascent, Washington, DC
- B&E Group, LLC, Southwick, MA
- BAE Systems, Inc., Rockville, MD
- Ball Aerospace & Technologies Corp., Boulder, CO
- Belcan Corporation, Cincinnati, OH
- Benchmark Electronics, Inc., Angleton, TX
- The Boeing Company, Chicago, IL
- Bombardier, Montreal, Canada
- Boom Technology, Inc., Denver, CO
- Boston Consulting Group, Boston, MABRPH Architects Engineers, Inc.,
- Melbourne, FLBurns & McDonnell Engineering
- Corporation, Inc., Kansas City, MO • BWX Technologies, Inc., Lynchburg,
- VA
  CADENAS PARTsolutions, LLC, Cincinnati, OH
- CAE USA Inc., Tampa, FL
- Capgemini, New York, NY
- Celestica Inc., Toronto, Canada
- Click Bond, Inc., Carson City, NV
- Cobham, Arlington, VA
- CPI Aerostructures, Inc., Edgewood, NY
- Crane Aerospace & Electronics, Lynnwood, WA
- Cubic Corporation, Inc., San Diego, CA
- Cytec Engineered Materials, Inc., Tempe, AZ
- Cyient Ltd., Hyderabad, India
- Deloitte Consulting LLP, New York, NY
- Delta Flight Products, Atlanta, GA
- Denison Industries, Inc., Denison, TX
- Ducommun Incorporated, Carson, CA
- Dupont Company, New Castle, DE
  DXC Technology Company, Tysons
- DXC Technology Company, Tysons Corner, VA
- Eaton Corporation, Cleveland, OH
- Elbit Systems of America, LLC, Fort Worth, TX,
- Embraer Aircraft Holding, Inc., Fort Lauderdale, FL
- EPS Corporation, Tinton Falls, NJ
- EPTAM Plastics, Northfield, NH
- Ernst Young LLP, New York, NY
- Esterline Technologies, Bellevue, WA
- Exostar, LLC, Herndon, VA
  Facebook, Inc., Menlo Park, CA
- Flextronics International USA, Inc.,
- Flexibility International USA, Inc., San Jose, CA
   Elight Safety International Inc.
- Flight Safety International, Inc., Flushing, NY

- FS Precision Tech, Co. LLC, Compton, CA
- FTG Circuits, Inc., Chatsworth, CA
- Garmin International, Inc., Olathe, KS
   General Atomics Aeronautical
- Systems, Inc., Poway, CA • General Dynamics Corporation, Falls
- General Dynamics Corporation, Fails Church, VA
- General Electric Aviation, Cincinnati, OH
- GKN Aerospace North America, Irving, TX
- Google LLC, Mountain View, CA
- GSE Dynamics, Inc., Hauppauge, NY
- Harris Corporation, Melbourne, FL
- HCL America Inc., Sunnyvale, CA
- HEICO Corporation, Hollywood, FL
- Hexcel Corporation, Stamford, CT
- Honeywell Aerospace, Phoenix, AZHuntington Ingalls Industries, Inc.,
- Newport News, VA
- IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY
- Information Services Group, Inc., Stamford, CT
- Integral Aerospace, LLC, Santa Ana, CA
- Iron Mountain, Inc., Boston, MA
- ITT Inc., White Plains, NY
- Jabil Defense & Aerospace Services LLC, St. Petersburg, FL
- Job Performance Associates, LLC, Jacksonville, FL
- JR Industries, Inc., Westlake Village, CA
- Kaman Aerospace Corporation, Bloomfield, CT
- KPMG LLP, New York, NY
- Kratos Defense & Security Solutions, Inc., San Diego, CA
- L–3 Communications Corporation, New York, NY
- LAI International, Inc., Scottsdale, AZ
- Leidos, Inc., Reston, VA
- Lockheed Martin Corporation, Bethesda, MD
- Lord Corporation, Cary, NC
- LS Technologies, LLC, Fairfax, VA
  The Lundquist Group LLC, New York, NY
- ManTech International Corporation, Fairfax, VA
- Marotta Controls, Inc., Montville, NJ
- Meggitt-USA, Inc., Simi, CA
- Mercury Systems, Inc., Andover, MA
- Microsemi Corporation, Aliso Viejo, CA
- Momentum Aviation Group, Woodbridge, VA
- MOOG Inc., East Aurora, NY
- MTorres America, Bothell, WA
- National Technical Systems, Inc., Calabasas, CA
- NEO Tech, Chatsworth, CA
- Net-Inspect, LLC, Kirkland, WA
   New England Airfail Products I
- New England Airfoil Products, Inc., Farmington, CT
- Nokia ŪS, Murray Hill, NJ
- The NORDAM Group, Inc., Tulsa, OK
- Norsk Titanium US Inc., Plattsburgh, NY

• Northrop Grumman Corporation, Los Angeles, CA

34985

- Omega Aerial Refueling Services, Inc., Alexandria, VA
- O'Neil & Associates Inc., Miamisburg, OH

The Padina Group, Inc., Lancaster, PA

PPG Aerospace-Sierracin Corporation,

Pegasus Steel, LLC, Goose Creek, SC

Plexus Corporation, Neenah, WI

PrecisionHawk Inc., Raleigh, NC

Primus Aerospace, Lakewood, CO

• Primus Technologies Corporation,

PWC Aerospace & Defense Advisory

Range Generation Next LLC, Sterling,

Raytheon Company, Waltham, MA

Rix Industries, Benicia, CA

Rhinestahl Corporation, Mason, OH

Rockwell Collins, Inc., Cedar Rapids,

Rolls-Royce North America Inc.,

salesforce.com, inc., San Francisco,

• SAP America, Inc., Newtown Square,

Siemens PLM Software, Plano, TX

Sierra Nevada Corporation, Littleton,

Sparton Corporation, Schaumburg, IL

Spirit AeroSystems, Inc., Wichita, KS

SupplyOn North America, Inc., San

Tech Manufacturing, LLC, Wright

Therm, Incorporated, Ithaca, NY

Tip Technologies, Waukesha, WI

TriMas Aerospace, Los Angeles, CA

Triumph Group Inc., Wayne, PA

Unitech Aerospace, Hayden, ID

United Technologies Corporation,

Universal Protection Services, Santa

Virgin Galactic, LLC, Las Cruces, NM

Wesco Aircraft Hardware Corporation,

The effective date of the amendment

Woodward, Inc., Fort Collins, CO

is April 4, 2018, the date on which

Textron Inc., Providence, RI

• Tribus Aerospace Corporation,

TT Electronics, Perry, OH

Verify, Inc., Irvine, CA

Special Aerospace Services, LLC,

Securitas Critical Infrastructure

Services, Inc., Springfield, VA

Orbital ATK, Inc., Dulles, VAPacific Design Technologies, Goleta,

Parker Aerospace, Irvine, CA

CA

VA

IA

CA

PA

CO

Boulder, CO

Diego, CA

City, MO

Poway, CA

Hartford, CT

Valencia, CA

Xerox, Norwalk, CT

Ana, CA

Reston. VA

•

Svlmar, CA

Williamsport, PA

PTC Inc., Needham, MA

Services, McLean, VA

•

•

•

AIA's application to amend was deemed submitted.

Dated: July 19, 2018.

## Joseph Flynn,

Director, Office of Trade and Economic Analysis, International Trade Administration. [FR Doc. 2018-15807 Filed 7-23-18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

### **COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING** COMMISSION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Notice of Intent To Extend Collection 3038–0069, Information Management Requirements for **Derivatives Clearing Organizations**

**AGENCY:** Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

# ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC or Commission") is announcing an opportunity for public comment on the proposed collection of certain information by the agency. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act ("PRA"), Federal agencies are required to publish notice in the Federal Register concerning each proposed collection of information, including each proposed extension or renewal of an existing collection of information, and to allow 60 days for public comment. This notice solicits comments on reporting and recordkeeping requirements relating to information management requirements for derivatives clearing organizations ("DCOs").

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before September 24, 2018. ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by OMB Control No. 3038-

0069, by any of the following methods: • The Agency's website, at http:// comments.cftc.gov/. Follow the instructions for submitting comments through the website.

 Mail: Christopher Kirkpatrick, Secretary of the Commission, Commodity Futures Trading

Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street NW, Washington, DC 20581.

• Hand Delivery/Courier: Same as Mail above.

Please submit your comments using only one method. All comments must be submitted in English, or if not, accompanied by an English translation. Comments will be posted as received to http://www.cftc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eileen Chotiner, Division of Clearing and Risk, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, (202) 418-5467; email: echotiner@cftc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the PRA, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq., Federal agencies must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") for each collection of information they conduct or sponsor. "Collection of Information" is defined in 44 U.S.C. 3502(3) and 5 CFR 1320.3 and includes agency requests or requirements that members of the public submit reports, keep records, or provide information to a third party. Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA, 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A), requires Federal agencies to provide a 60-day notice in the

Federal Register concerning each proposed collection of information, including each proposed extension of an existing collection of information, before submitting the collection to OMB for approval. To comply with this requirement, the Commission is publishing notice of the proposed extension of the collection of information listed below.

Title: Information Management **Requirements for Derivatives Clearing** Organizations (OMB Control No. 3038-0069). This is a request for extension of a currently approved information collection

Abstract: Part 39 of the Commission's regulations establishes information management requirements for registered DCOs. The Commission will use the information in this collection to assess compliance of DCOs with requirements for DCOs prescribed in the Commodity

Exchange Act and Commission regulations.

With respect to the collection of information, the CFTC invites comments on:

• Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information will have a practical use;

• The accuracy of the Commission's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

• Ways to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

• Ways to minimize the burden of collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology; *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. If you wish the Commission to consider information that you believe is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, a petition for confidential treatment of the exempt information may be submitted according to the procedures established in § 145.9 of the Commission's regulations.<sup>1</sup>

The Commission reserves the right, but shall have no obligation, to review, pre-screen, filter, redact, refuse or remove any or all of your submission from *http://www.cftc.gov* that it may deem to be inappropriate for publication, such as obscene language. All submissions that have been redacted or removed that contain comments on the merits of the information collection requirements will be retained in the public comment file and will be considered as required under the Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws, and may be accessible under the Freedom of Information Act.

#### **Burden Statement**

COLLECTION 3038–0069—DAILY REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR DERIVATIVES CLEARING ORGANIZATIONS

[Regulation 39.19]

| Estimated number of respondents per year | Reports<br>annually<br>by each | Total annual<br>responses | Estimated<br>average<br>number of<br>hours per<br>response | Estimated<br>total<br>annual<br>burden hours |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| 16                                       | 250                            | 4,000                     | 0.1  | <sup>2</sup> 400                             |

### <sup>1</sup>17 CFR 145.9.

<sup>2</sup>16 respondents × 250 annual responses per respondent = 4,000 total responses,  $\times 0.1$  hours per response = 400 total annual burden hours.

 $^{3}$  16 respondents  $\times$  1 annual response per

response = 41,696 total annual burden hours.

respondent = 16 total responses,  $\times 2,606$  hours per