Estimated Annual Reporting Burden: 4224 hours.

Estimated Average Burden Hours Per Response: 176 Hours.

Estimated Average Number of Respondents: 24 annually.

Estimated Frequency of Response: 24 annually.

Dated: June 8, 2006.

#### Leonard E. Stowe,

NPS, Information and Collection Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 06–5341 Filed 6–12–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

#### **National Park Service**

Denali National Park and Preserve, Alaska; Final South Denali Implementation Plan and Environmental Impact Statement

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Notice of Availability of the Final South Denali Implementation Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

**SUMMARY:** The National Park Service (NPS) announces the availability of the Final South Denali Implementation Plan and Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Denali National Park & Preserve. The document describes and analyzes the environmental impacts of a preferred alternative and one action alternative for providing increased access and recreational opportunities in the South Denali region. A no action alternative is also evaluated.

**DATES:** A Record of Decision will be made no sooner than 30 days after the date the Environmental Protection Agency's Notice of Availability for the final EIS appears in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: The final plan and EIS may be viewed online at http://www.southdenaliplanning.com or http://parkplanning.nps.gov. Hard copies or CDs of the Final South Denali Implementation Plan and EIS are available on request from the address below.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mike Tranel, Chief of Planning, Denali National park and Preserve, 240 West 5th Avenue, Anchorage, Alaska 99501. Telephone: (907) 644–3611.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub. L. 91–190, as amended), the NPS, in cooperation with the State of Alaska and Matanuska-Susitna Borough, has prepared a final EIS that considers three

alternatives for providing increased access and recreational opportunities in the South Denali region. The purpose of the plan and EIS is to address the needs of a growing visitor population in the south Denali region for the next two decades. The south Denali region is defined to include the southern portions of Denali National Park and Preserve, Denali State Park in its entirety, and adjoining lands owned and managed by the State of Alaska and the Matanuska-Sustina Borough. The implementation plan and EIS was initiated to address the rapidly growing level of visitation, resource management concerns, and anticipated demand for future uses of public lands in the south Denali region.

The final plan and EIS includes a range of alternatives based on planning objective's environmental resources, and public input. Each alternative represents a development concept that addresses the needs and concerns of the land managers, local communities, and visitors. The three alternatives evaluated in this EIS include two action alternatives and a no-action alternative.

Alternative A (No Action): Under Alternative A, no new actions would be implemented to support the 1997 Record of Decision for the South Side Denali Development Concept Plan except for those projects already approved and initiated. This alternative represents no change from current management direction and therefore represents the existing condition in the South Denali region. However, it does not ensure a similar future condition, which could be affected by factors unrelated to this planning effort.

Alternative B (Þeters Hills Alternative): Under this alternative a new nature center would be constructed on approximately 2.5 acres in the Peters Hills inside the southern boundary of Denali State Park. The total building requirement would be approximately 7,500 square feet. A paved parking area would be constructed near the junction of Petersville Road and the proposed access road (MP 28 of Petersville Road) to accommodate private vehicles. An access road approximately 7 miles in length would be constructed from MP 28 of Petersville Road to the nature center. Upgrading and widening Petersville Road between MP 9.3 and 28 is a connected action that would be necessary to implement this alternative. Approximately 31 miles of trails would be constructed in the vicinity of the new nature center.

Alternative C (Parks Highway, Preferred Alternative): Under this alternative a new visitor complex would be constructed on approximately 4.1 acres near Curry Ridge in Denali State Park. The total building requirement would be approximately 16,000 square feet. A paved parking area would be constructed on the natural bench across from the Denlia View South Wayside near Parks Highway MP 134.6. An access road approximately 3.5 miles in length would be constructed from the parking area to the visitor center. Approximately 13 miles of trails would be constructed in the vicinity of the new visitor center.

The Notice of Availability of the Draft South Denali Implementation Plan and EIS was published in the Federal Register on September 9, 2005. The 60day public comment period ended on November 15, 2005. Five public hearings (Anchorage, Wasilla, upper Susitna Valley, Denali Park, and Fairbanks) were held in the fall of 2005. Comments were received from 72 agencies, organizations, and individuals. In response to public comment, the preferred alternative (Alternative C, Parks Highway) was modified to include additional land use controls along the Parks Highway and Petersville Road corridors, mitigation measures for alleviating conflicts between motorized and non-motorized use, and the addition of wildlife monitoring in Denali State Park.

The responsible official for a Record of Decision on the proposed action is the NPS Regional Director in Alaska.

Dated: April 20, 2006.

### Marcia Blaszak,

Regional Director, Alaska. [FR Doc. 06–5344 Filed 6–12–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-BF-M

# DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

# **National Park Service**

Notice of Intent To Prepare a General Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement (GMP/EIS)

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub. L. 91–109 section 102(2)(C)), the National Park Service (NPS) is preparing a General Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Fire Island National Seashore, located in the towns of Islip and Brookhaven, Suffolk County, New York. Established by Act of Congress in 1964, much of Fire Island National Seashore is composed of a barrier island encompassing approximately 19,500 acres of both upland and tidal land. Seventeen villages and hamlets are

located within Fire Island National Seashore—primarily on the western end of the island. The park also manages the William Floyd Estate, a 611 acre historic property located on the mainland in Mastic, NY.

The GMP/EIS will be prepared by planners in the NPS Northeast Region, with assistance from advisors and consultants and will propose a longterm approach to managing Fire Island National Seashore. Consistent with the park's mission, NPS policy, and other laws and regulations, alternatives will be developed to guide the management of the park over the next 15 to 20 years. A range of alternatives will be formulated for natural and cultural resource protection, visitor use and interpretation, facilities development, and operations. The EIS will assess the impacts of alternative management strategies that will be described in the general management plan for Fire Island National Seashore. The public will be invited to express comments about the management of the park early in the process through public meetings and other media; and will have an opportunity to review and comment on the draft GMP/EIS. Following public review processes outlined under NEPA, the final plan will become official, authorizing implementation of the preferred alternative. The target date for the Record of Decision is April 2010.

Dated: April 14, 2006.

### Mary A. Bomar,

Regional Director.

[FR Doc. 06–5345 Filed 6–12–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-21-M

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

# **National Park Service**

Draft General Management Plan/ Environmental Impact Statement, Montezuma Castle and Tuzigoot National Monuments. Arizona

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Department of the Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Termination of the Environmental Impact Statement for the General Management Plan, Montezuma Castle and Tuzigoot National Monuments.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service (NPS) is terminating preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the General Management Plan, Montezuma Castle and Tuzigoot National Monuments, Arizona. A Notice of Intent to prepare the EIS for the Montezuma Castle and Tuzigoot National Monuments General

Management Plan was published May 29, 2003 (Vol. 68, No. 103). The National Park Service has since determined that an Environmental Assessment (EA) rather than an EIS is the appropriate environmental documentation for the general management plan.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The general management plan will establish the overall direction for the national monuments, setting broad management goals for managing the area over the next 15 to 20 years. The plan was originally scoped as an EIS. Few public comments were received during scoping or in response to the preliminary alternatives. The majority of the concerns expressed by stakeholders focused on continued access to recreational activities. No major concerns were raised regarding the effects on cultural resources resulting from the proposed changes in management of the monuments. Most of the comments received on the preliminary alternatives favored the preferred alternative. Two roundtables attended by representatives from Federal and state agencies, and local governments were conducted. These meetings focused on opportunities to work in partnership and no controversial issues were identified.

In the general management planning process the NPS planning team developed three alternatives for the national monument, none of which would result in substantial changes in the operation and management of the monuments. The preferred alternative primarily focuses on maintaining and protecting resources, expanding interpretation and visitor opportunities where appropriate, addressing maintenance/operations needs, developing new operations facilities within previously disturbed areas. The impact analysis of the alternatives revealed no major (significant) effects on the human environment or impairment of park resources and values. Most of the impacts to the monument's resources and values were negligible to minor in magnitude with the remainder being of moderate level.

For these reasons the NPS determined the appropriate National Environmental Policy Act documentation for the general management plan is an EA.

The draft general management plan/ EA is expected to be distributed for a 30 day public comment period in the fall of 2006 and a decision is expected be made in 2007. The NPS will notify the public by mail, website, and other means, and will include information on where and how to obtain a copy of the EA, how to comment on the EA, and the length of the public comment period.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kathy Davis, Superintendent, Montezuma Castle and Tuzigoot National Monuments; P.O. Box 219, Camp Verde, Arizona, 86322; e-mail: Kathy\_M\_Davis@nps.gov.

Dated: April 28, 2006.

## Michael D. Snyder,

 $Director, Intermountain\ Region.$ 

[FR Doc. E6–9192 Filed 6–12–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-EJ-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

### **National Park Service**

## Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area Citizen Advisory Commission Meetings

**AGENCY:** National Park Service; Interior. **ACTION:** Notice of public meetings.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces a public meeting of the Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area Citizen Advisory Commission. Notice of these meetings is required under the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. 2).

Date: Thursday, August 24, 2006, 7

Address: Lehman Township Municipal Building, Municipal Road, Bushkill, PA 18324.

The agenda will include reports from Citizen Advisory Commission members including committees such as National Resources, Inter-Governmental, Cultural Resources, By-Laws, Special Projects, and Public Visitation and Tourism. Superintendent John J. Donahue will give a report on various park issues, including cultural resources, natural resources, construction projects, and partnership ventures. The agenda is set up to invite the public to bring issues of interest before the Commission.

Date: Saturday, November 4, 2006, 9 a.m.

Address: Frankford Township Municipal Building, 151 State Highway 206, Augusta, NJ 07822.

The agenda will include reports from Citizen Advisory Commission members including committees such as Natural Resources, Inter-Governmental, Cultural Resources, By-Laws, Special Projects, and Public Visitation and Tourism. Superintendent John J. Donahue will give a report on various park issues, including cultural resources, natural resources, construction projects, and partnership ventures. The agenda is set up to invite the public to bring issues of interest before the Commission.