

displays a currently valid OMB control number.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title of Collection:* New Project Data Form.

*OMB Control No.:* 3145–New.

*Abstract:* The New Project Data Form is a component of all grant proposals submitted to NSF's Division of Undergraduate Education. This form collects information needed to direct proposals to appropriate reviewers and to report the estimated collective impact of proposed projects on institutions, students, and faculty members. Requested information includes the discipline of the proposed project, collaborating organizations involved in the project, the academic level on which the project focuses (e.g., lower-level undergraduate courses, upper-level undergraduate courses), characteristics of the organization submitting the proposal, special audiences (if any) that the project would target (e.g., women, minorities, persons with disabilities), strategic foci (if any) of the project (e.g., research on teaching and learning, international activities, integration of research and education), and the number of students and faculty at different educational levels who would benefit from the project.

*Respondents:* Investigators who submit proposals to NSF's Division of Undergraduate Education.

*Estimated Number of Annual Respondents:* 2,500.

*Burden on the Public:* 20 minutes (per response) for an annual total of 833 hours.

Dated: May 31, 2006.

**Catherine J. Hines,**

*Acting Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.*

[FR Doc. 06–5103 Filed 6–2–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50–277 and 50–278, License Nos. DPR–44 and DPR–56; Docket No. 50–354, License No. NPF–57; Docket Nos. 50–272 and 50–311, License Nos. DPR–70 and DPR–75]

### In the Matter of PSEG Nuclear LLC; Exelon Generation Company, LLC; (Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Unit Nos. 2 and 3); (Hope Creek Generating Station); (Salem Nuclear Generating Station Unit Nos. 1 and 2); Order Approving Transfers of Licenses and Conforming Amendments

#### I

PSEG Nuclear LLC (PSEG Nuclear) owns Hope Creek Generating Station (Hope Creek), a 57.41-percent interest in Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2 (Salem), and a 50-percent interest in Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Unit Nos. 2 and 3 (Peach Bottom). Exelon Generation Company, LLC (EGC) owns the remaining interests in Salem and Peach Bottom. PSEG Nuclear holds the Facility Operating License, No. NPF–57, for Hope Creek, co-holds the Facility Operating Licenses, Nos. DPR–70 and DPR–75, for Salem, and co-holds the Renewed Facility Operating Licenses, Nos. DPR–44 and DPR–56, for Peach Bottom, and is authorized to possess, use, and, except for Peach Bottom, operate the facilities in accordance with the terms and conditions of the licenses. EGC is the other co-holder of the Renewed Facility Operating Licenses for Peach Bottom, and is authorized to possess, use and operate Peach Bottom, and is the other co-holder of the Facility Operating Licenses for Salem, and is authorized to possess Salem. Hope Creek and Salem are located in Salem County, New Jersey, and Peach Bottom is located in York and Lancaster Counties, Pennsylvania.

#### II

By letter dated March 3, 2005, as supplemented by letters dated May 24 and October 5, 2005, EGC submitted an application requesting approval of direct license transfers that would be necessary in connection with the transfer of the ownership interests held by PSEG Nuclear in Peach Bottom to EGC. By letter dated March 4, 2005, as supplemented by letters dated May 24 and October 6, 2005, PSEG Nuclear submitted an application requesting approval of direct license transfers that would be necessary in connection with the transfer to EGC of the ownership interests held by PSEG Nuclear in Hope Creek and Salem, and the transfer of

operating authority from PSEG Nuclear to EGC.

All of the foregoing requests for approval are associated with the proposed merger of Public Service Enterprise Group (the ultimate parent company of PSEG Nuclear) into Exelon Corporation (the ultimate parent company of EGC). Upon completion of the merger, Exelon Corporation will change its name to Exelon Electric & Gas Corporation (EEG). EEG will then restructure its organization.

EGC and PSEG Nuclear also requested NRC's approval of conforming administrative license amendments that, in general, would reflect the transfers of the licenses, to the extent held by PSEG Nuclear, to EGC. No physical changes to the facilities or operational changes were proposed in the applications. After completion of the proposed license transfers, EGC would be the sole owner and operator of the facilities.

EGC and PSEG Nuclear requested approval of the transfers of the facility operating licenses and conforming license amendments pursuant to Sections 50.80 and 50.90 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR). Notices of the requests for approval and an opportunity for a hearing were published in the **Federal Register** on August 2, 2005 (70 FR 44389, 70 FR 44397, and 70 FR 44398).

One petition for leave to intervene pursuant to 10 CFR 2.309 was received on August 21, 2005, from Mr. Eric Joseph Epstein. By Memorandum and Order CLI–05–26, dated October 26, 2005, the Commission rejected Mr. Epstein's claim of standing and consequently dismissed the proceeding. The Commission directed the NRC staff to consider Mr. Epstein's contentions and supplemental filing dated October 7, 2005, as if they were "written comments" under 10 CFR 2.1305. The written comments have been considered by the NRC staff in connection with the issuance of this Order.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.80, no license, or any right thereunder, shall be transferred, directly or indirectly, through transfer of control of the license, unless the Commission shall give its consent in writing. Upon review of the information in the applications and other information before the Commission, and relying upon the representations and agreements contained in the applications, the NRC staff has determined that EGC is qualified to hold the licenses for Hope Creek, Salem, and Peach Bottom as proposed in the applications, and that the transfers of the licenses as proposed in the applications are otherwise consistent with applicable provisions of

law, regulations, and orders issued by the Commission, subject to the conditions set forth below. The NRC staff has further found that the applications for the proposed license amendments comply with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR chapter I; the facilities will operate in conformity with the applications, the provisions of the Act and the rules and regulations of the Commission; there is reasonable assurance that the activities authorized by the proposed license amendments can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public and that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations; the issuance of the proposed license amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and the issuance of the proposed amendments will be in accordance with 10 CFR part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

The findings set forth above are supported by an NRC safety evaluation dated May 30, 2006.

### III

Accordingly, pursuant to Sections 161b, 161i, and 184 of the Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2201(b), 2201(i), and 2234; and 10 CFR 50.80, *it is hereby ordered* that the direct transfers of the licenses as described herein are approved, subject to the following conditions:

1. At the time of the closing of the transfers of the licenses from PSEG Nuclear to EGC, PSEG Nuclear shall transfer to EGC all of PSEG Nuclear's respective decommissioning funds accumulated as of such time, and EGC shall deposit such funds in external decommissioning trust(s) established by EGC for the respective units.

2. Before completion of the transfers of the interests in the subject facilities to it, EGC shall provide to the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation satisfactory documentary evidence that EGC has obtained the appropriate amount of insurance required of licensees under 10 CFR part 140, "Financial Protection Requirements and Indemnity Agreements," of the Commission's regulations.

*It is further ordered* that, consistent with 10 CFR 2.1315(b), license amendments that make changes, as indicated in Enclosures 2 through 6 to the cover letter forwarding this Order, to conform the licenses to reflect the

subject direct license transfers are approved. The amendments shall be issued and made effective at the time the proposed direct license transfers are completed.

*It is further ordered* that EGC shall inform the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation in writing of the date(s) of closing of the direct transfers no later than 5 business days prior to closing. Should the transfers of the licenses not be completed by May 30, 2007, this Order shall become null and void, provided, however, that upon written application and for good cause shown, such date may be extended by Order.

This Order is effective upon issuance.

For further details with respect to this Order, see the initial applications dated March 3 and March 4, 2005, and supplemental letters dated May 24 (two), October 5, and October 6, 2005, and the non-proprietary safety evaluation dated May 30, 2006, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area 01 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland and accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to [pdr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr@nrc.gov).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 30th day of May 2006.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**J.E. Dyer,**

*Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. E6-8649 Filed 6-2-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-263]

#### **Nuclear Management Company, LLC; Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-22, issued to the Nuclear Management Company (the licensee) for operation of the

Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant (MNGP), located in Wright County, Minnesota. Pursuant to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Sections 51.21 and 51.32, the NRC is issuing this environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact.

### **Environmental Assessment**

#### *Identification of the Proposed Action*

The proposed action would be a conversion from the current Technical Specifications (CTSs) to the Improved Technical Specifications (ITSs) format based on NUREG-1433, "Standard Technical Specifications General Electric Plants BWR/4," Revision 3, dated June 2004. The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated June 29, 2005, as supplemented by letters dated April 25 (two letters), May 4, and May 12, 2006.

#### *The Need for the Proposed Action*

The Commission's "Proposed Policy Statement on Technical Specifications Improvements for Nuclear Power Reactors" (52 FR 3788), dated February 6, 1987, contained an Interim Policy Statement that set forth objective criteria for determining which regulatory requirements and operating restrictions should be included in the technical specifications (TSs) for nuclear power plants. When it issued the Interim Policy Statement, the Commission also requested comments on it. Subsequently, to implement the Interim Policy Statement, each reactor vendor owners group and the NRC staff began developing standard TSs (STSS) for reactors supplied by each vendor. The Commission then published its "Final Policy Statement on Technical Specifications Improvements for Nuclear Power Reactors" (58 FR 39132), dated July 22, 1993, in which it addressed comments received on the Interim Policy Statement, and incorporated experience in developing the STSS. The Final Policy Statement formed the basis for a revision to 10 CFR 50.36 (60 FR 36953), dated July 19, 1995, that codified the criteria for determining the content of TSs. The NRC Committee to Review Generic Requirements reviewed the STSS, made note of their safety merits, and indicated its support of conversion by operating plants to the STSS. For MNGP, NUREG-1433 documents the STSS and forms the basis for the MNGP conversion to the ITSs.

The proposed changes to the CTSs are based on NUREG-1433 and the guidance provided in the Final Policy Statement. The objective of this action is to rewrite, reformat, and streamline