

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Occupational Safety and Health Administration****Maritime Advisory Committee for Occupational Safety and Health (MACOSH); Notice of Re-Establishment**

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Notice of re-establishment of MACOSH.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), as amended (5 U.S.C., App. 2), and after consultation with the General Services Administration (GSA), the Secretary of Labor has determined that the re-establishment of the Maritime Advisory Committee for Occupational Safety and Health (MACOSH) is in the public interest. The Committee will better enable OSHA to perform the duties imposed by the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (OSH Act), 84 Stat. 1590, 29 U.S.C. 651 *et seq.* Authority to establish this Committee is found in sections 6(b) and 7(b) of the OSH Act; Section 41 of the Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act (33 U.S.C. 941), and other general agency authority in Title 5 of the United States Code, and 29 CFR part 1912.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jim Maddux, Director, Office of Maritime within the Directorate of Standards and Guidance, U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Room N-3609, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; Telephone: (202) 693-2086.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**I. Background**

The Committee will advise OSHA on matters relevant to the safety and health of workers in the maritime industry. This includes advice on maritime issues that will result in more effective enforcement, training, and outreach programs, and streamlined regulatory efforts. The maritime industry includes employers in the shipbuilding, ship repair, shipbreaking, longshoring, and marine terminal industries.

The committee will function solely as an advisory body and in compliance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act and OSHA's regulations covering advisory committees (29 CFR part 1912). The Committee charter will be filed 15 days from the date of this publication.

II. Authority

Sections 6(b) and 7(b) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (29 U.S.C. 655, 656), section 41 of the Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act (33 U.S.C. 941), other general agency authority in Title 5 of the United States Code, and 29 CFR part 1912.

Elaine L. Chao,
Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc. E6-8656 Filed 6-2-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-P

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES**National Endowment for the Arts; Arts Advisory Panel—Notice of Change**

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), as amended, notice is hereby given that a teleconference application review meeting of the Arts Advisory Panel (AccessAbility section) to the National Council on the Arts, previously announced for June 7, 2006, will instead be held on June 19, 2006, from 2 p.m.–3 p.m. (EDT) from the Nancy Hanks Center, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506 (ending time is approximate).

Closed meetings are for the purpose of Panel review, discussion, evaluation, and recommendations on financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including information given in confidence to the agency. In accordance with the determination of the Chairman of February 27, 2006, these sessions will be closed to the public pursuant to subsection (c)(6) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code.

Further information with reference to these meetings can be obtained from Ms. Kathy Plowitz-Worden, Office of Guidelines & Panel Operations, National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, DC 20506, or call 202/682-5691.

Dated: May 29, 2006.

Kathy Plowitz-Worden,
Panel Coordinator, Panel Operations,
National Endowment for the Arts.

[FR Doc. E6-8662 Filed 6-2-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7537-01-P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION**Agency Information Collection Activities: Comment Request**

AGENCY: National Science Foundation.

ACTION: Submission for OMB review; comment request.

SUMMARY: The National Science Foundation (NSF) has submitted the following information collection requirement to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. This is the second notice for public comment; the first was published in the **Federal Register** at 71 FR 4382, and no comments were received. NSF is forwarding the proposed renewal submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance simultaneously with the publication of this second notice. Comments regarding (a) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; or (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology should be addressed to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for National Science Foundation, 725-17th Street, NW., Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, and to Catherine Hines, Acting Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 295, Arlington, Virginia 22230 or send e-mail to chines@nsf.gov. Comments regarding these information collections are best assured of having their full effect if received within 30 days of this notification. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling 703-292-4414.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Catherine Hines at (703) 292-4414 or send email to chines@nsf.gov. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

NSF may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it

displays a currently valid OMB control number.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title of Collection: New Project Data Form.

OMB Control No.: 3145–New.

Abstract: The New Project Data Form is a component of all grant proposals submitted to NSF's Division of Undergraduate Education. This form collects information needed to direct proposals to appropriate reviewers and to report the estimated collective impact of proposed projects on institutions, students, and faculty members. Requested information includes the discipline of the proposed project, collaborating organizations involved in the project, the academic level on which the project focuses (e.g., lower-level undergraduate courses, upper-level undergraduate courses), characteristics of the organization submitting the proposal, special audiences (if any) that the project would target (e.g., women, minorities, persons with disabilities), strategic foci (if any) of the project (e.g., research on teaching and learning, international activities, integration of research and education), and the number of students and faculty at different educational levels who would benefit from the project.

Respondents: Investigators who submit proposals to NSF's Division of Undergraduate Education.

Estimated Number of Annual Respondents: 2,500.

Burden on the Public: 20 minutes (per response) for an annual total of 833 hours.

Dated: May 31, 2006.

Catherine J. Hines,

Acting Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. 06–5103 Filed 6–2–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50–277 and 50–278, License Nos. DPR–44 and DPR–56; Docket No. 50–354, License No. NPF–57; Docket Nos. 50–272 and 50–311, License Nos. DPR–70 and DPR–75]

In the Matter of PSEG Nuclear LLC; Exelon Generation Company, LLC; (Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Unit Nos. 2 and 3); (Hope Creek Generating Station); (Salem Nuclear Generating Station Unit Nos. 1 and 2); Order Approving Transfers of Licenses and Conforming Amendments

I

PSEG Nuclear LLC (PSEG Nuclear) owns Hope Creek Generating Station (Hope Creek), a 57.41-percent interest in Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2 (Salem), and a 50-percent interest in Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Unit Nos. 2 and 3 (Peach Bottom). Exelon Generation Company, LLC (EGC) owns the remaining interests in Salem and Peach Bottom. PSEG Nuclear holds the Facility Operating License, No. NPF–57, for Hope Creek, co-holds the Facility Operating Licenses, Nos. DPR–70 and DPR–75, for Salem, and co-holds the Renewed Facility Operating Licenses, Nos. DPR–44 and DPR–56, for Peach Bottom, and is authorized to possess, use, and, except for Peach Bottom, operate the facilities in accordance with the terms and conditions of the licenses. EGC is the other co-holder of the Renewed Facility Operating Licenses for Peach Bottom, and is authorized to possess, use and operate Peach Bottom, and is the other co-holder of the Facility Operating Licenses for Salem, and is authorized to possess Salem. Hope Creek and Salem are located in Salem County, New Jersey, and Peach Bottom is located in York and Lancaster Counties, Pennsylvania.

II

By letter dated March 3, 2005, as supplemented by letters dated May 24 and October 5, 2005, EGC submitted an application requesting approval of direct license transfers that would be necessary in connection with the transfer of the ownership interests held by PSEG Nuclear in Peach Bottom to EGC. By letter dated March 4, 2005, as supplemented by letters dated May 24 and October 6, 2005, PSEG Nuclear submitted an application requesting approval of direct license transfers that would be necessary in connection with the transfer to EGC of the ownership interests held by PSEG Nuclear in Hope Creek and Salem, and the transfer of

operating authority from PSEG Nuclear to EGC.

All of the foregoing requests for approval are associated with the proposed merger of Public Service Enterprise Group (the ultimate parent company of PSEG Nuclear) into Exelon Corporation (the ultimate parent company of EGC). Upon completion of the merger, Exelon Corporation will change its name to Exelon Electric & Gas Corporation (EEG). EEG will then restructure its organization.

EGC and PSEG Nuclear also requested NRC's approval of conforming administrative license amendments that, in general, would reflect the transfers of the licenses, to the extent held by PSEG Nuclear, to EGC. No physical changes to the facilities or operational changes were proposed in the applications. After completion of the proposed license transfers, EGC would be the sole owner and operator of the facilities.

EGC and PSEG Nuclear requested approval of the transfers of the facility operating licenses and conforming license amendments pursuant to Sections 50.80 and 50.90 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR). Notices of the requests for approval and an opportunity for a hearing were published in the **Federal Register** on August 2, 2005 (70 FR 44389, 70 FR 44397, and 70 FR 44398).

One petition for leave to intervene pursuant to 10 CFR 2.309 was received on August 21, 2005, from Mr. Eric Joseph Epstein. By Memorandum and Order CLI–05–26, dated October 26, 2005, the Commission rejected Mr. Epstein's claim of standing and consequently dismissed the proceeding. The Commission directed the NRC staff to consider Mr. Epstein's contentions and supplemental filing dated October 7, 2005, as if they were "written comments" under 10 CFR 2.1305. The written comments have been considered by the NRC staff in connection with the issuance of this Order.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.80, no license, or any right thereunder, shall be transferred, directly or indirectly, through transfer of control of the license, unless the Commission shall give its consent in writing. Upon review of the information in the applications and other information before the Commission, and relying upon the representations and agreements contained in the applications, the NRC staff has determined that EGC is qualified to hold the licenses for Hope Creek, Salem, and Peach Bottom as proposed in the applications, and that the transfers of the licenses as proposed in the applications are otherwise consistent with applicable provisions of