

- Accounts Receivable (AR) documents—Edits include changes made to the Inter-creditor Agreement form to address an ongoing issue of how operators should disclose any cross-defaults between the AR loan and the HUD loan.

- Master Lease documents—Changes include adding two new forms: Termination and Release of Cross-Default Guaranty of Subtenants—Proposed and Amendment to HUD Master Lease (Partial Termination and Release)—Proposed to reflect the 232 Handbook policy related to a release of a project from a master lease.

- Closing documents—Edits were made to the Surplus Cash Note and Subordination Agreement—(Financing) to restrict distributions when there is secondary financing. Security Instrument/Mortgage Deed Instrument/Mortgage Deed of Trust to reflect Multifamily's form and reduces the need to amend the document when the Regulatory Agreement—Borrower paragraph 38 is changed. New residential care facilities versions of Certificate of Actual Cost as well as a Rider to Security Instrument—LIHTC—were incorporated into the collection to replace Multifamily versions still in use which did not reflect ORCF policy.

- Regulatory Agreement for Fire Safety—A new Regulatory Agreement for Fire Safety projects and a Management Agreement Addendum, as well as formalization of a Lender Certification for Insurance Coverage, to incorporate current samples already in place was added to the documentation collection.

- Escrow documents—New proposed escrow forms for long-term debt service reserves and Off-Site Facilities were also added.

- Asset Management documents—Change of participant application documents were revised to streamline the documents needed for a change in title of mortgaged property, change of operator or management agent, or complete change of all the parties. Documents still being used in the Multifamily format were incorporated into this collection, to specifically address ORCF policy. New Lender Narratives were also added for the addition of Accounts Receivable, for Requests to Release or Modify Original Loan Collateral and Loan Modifications (along with a corresponding Certification). New forms were also added to incorporate existing samples in use for Section 232 HUD Healthcare Portal Access, and notification to ORCF, by the Servicer and Operator of developing concerns within a project.

- Supplemental Loan Documents—Section 241(a) Mortgage Insurance for Supplemental Loans for Multifamily Projects. All Section 241(a) loan documents that have been in use as samples are now made a part of the documentation collection for OMB approval. **Note:** HUD makes no changes to the Legal Opinion and Certification Documents.

*Respondents (i.e. affected public):* Business or other for profit.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 5,451.00.

*Estimated Number of Responses:* 26,001.27.

*Frequency of Response:* 4.77.

*Average Hours per Response:* 1.87.

*Total Estimated Burdens:* 48,622.37.

### Solicitation of Public Comment

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

(1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;

(3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond: Including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses. HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.

**Authority:** Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35.

Dated: June 22, 2018.

**Colette Pollard,**

*Department Reports Management Officer,  
Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2018-14081 Filed 6-28-18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[LLAZG02200.L16100000.DO0000.LXSS206A0000]

### Notice of Availability of the Draft San Pedro Riparian National Conservation Area Resource Management Plan and Associated Environmental Impact Statement, Arizona

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, and the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, as amended, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Tucson Field Office (TFO) has prepared a Draft Resource Management Plan (RMP) and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the San Pedro Riparian National Conservation Area (SPRNCA) and by this notice is announcing the opening of the comment period.

**DATES:** To ensure that comments will be considered, the BLM must receive written comments on the Draft RMP/Draft EIS within 90 days following the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes its Notice of Availability of the Draft RMP/Draft EIS in the **Federal Register**. The BLM will announce future meetings or hearings and any other public participation activities at least 15 days in advance through public notices, media releases, and/or mailings.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments related to the SPRNCA Draft RMP/Draft EIS by any of the following methods:

- *Website:* <https://go.usa.gov/xQKFU>.
- *Email:* [blm\\_az\\_tfo\\_sprnca\\_rmp@blm.gov](mailto:blm_az_tfo_sprnca_rmp@blm.gov).

- *Fax:* 520-258-7238.

- *Mail:* Tucson Field Office Attn: Amy Markstein, 3201 East Universal Way, Tucson, AZ 85756.

Copies of the SPRNCA Draft RMP/Draft EIS are available in the Tucson Field Office at the above address and at the San Pedro Project Office, 4070 S Avenida Saracino, Hereford, AZ 85615.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amy Markstein, Planning & Environmental Specialist, telephone 520-258-7231; address 3201 East Universal Way, Tucson, AZ 85756; email [blm\\_az\\_tfo\\_sprnca\\_rmp@blm.gov](mailto:blm_az_tfo_sprnca_rmp@blm.gov).

Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Relay Service (FRS) at 1-800-877-8339 to contact the above individual during

normal business hours. FRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The SPRNCA was established by Public Law 100–696 on November 18, 1988. The planning area is located in Cochise County in southeastern Arizona, and encompasses approximately 55,990 acres of public land administered by the BLM TFO. The SPRNCA is located adjacent to the City of Sierra Vista and is near Fort Huachuca, Arizona.

The SPRNCA is currently managed under the Safford District RMP (1992 and 1994), which incorporated RMP level decisions from the San Pedro River Riparian Management Plan (1989). This planning effort would update management guidance from the previous plans and create a new RMP for the SPRNCA. The planning effort is needed to identify goals, objectives, and management actions for the SPRNCA's resources and uses identified in the enabling legislation, including aquatic; wildlife; archaeological; paleontological; scientific; cultural; educational; and recreational resources and values.

The BLM used public scoping comments to help identify planning issues that directed the formulation of alternatives and framed the scope of analysis in the Draft RMP/Draft EIS. Issues identified included management

of water, vegetation, and soil resources, fire management, Threatened and Endangered species management, livestock grazing, access, recreation, socio-economics, and lands and realty. The planning effort also considers lands with wilderness characteristics, wild and scenic rivers, and Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACECs).

The Draft RMP/Draft EIS evaluates four alternatives in detail. Alternative A is the No Action Alternative, which is a continuation of current management in the existing Safford District RMP and San Pedro River Riparian Management Plan. It is a continuation of current public use, resource protection, and conservation prescriptions without change. It neither sets desired outcomes for resource management or most uses, nor addresses new issues unforeseen or nonexistent when the Safford District RMP was prepared. Alternative B provides opportunities for increased public access, includes livestock grazing in sensitive riparian and cultural areas, allows recreation uses, and focuses on active resource management using the broadest array of management tools. This would include use of heavy equipment, herbicide, hand tools, and prescribed fire to achieve goals and objectives, to mitigate any effects from increased use, and for ecosystem restoration. Alternative B places an emphasis on opportunities for motorized access. Alternative C is the

BLM's preferred alternative. Alternative C represents a balance between resource protection and public access, authorizes livestock grazing in areas compatible with the established conservation values, and provides for a diverse mix of recreation opportunities. As in Alternative B, Alternative C focuses on active resource management and would allow for use of the broadest array of management tools for ecosystem restoration and to meet goals and objectives. Alternative D emphasizes resource protection and conservation. It emphasizes primitive recreational experiences with limited motorized access, protection of wilderness characteristics, ACECs, and management of the San Pedro and Babocomari Wild and Scenic Rivers. It focuses on natural processes and use of "light on the land" management methods, such as the use of hand tools and prescribed fire, to help meet goals and objectives.

Pursuant to 43 CFR 1610.7–2(b), this notice announces a concurrent public comment period for potential ACECs. There are three existing ACECs under Alternative A, and three expanded and two new potential ACECs under Alternative D. ACECs are not proposed under Alternatives B and C. Pertinent information regarding these ACECs, including proposed designation acreage and resource use limitations are listed below.

#### PROPOSED ACECS

	Alternative A (acres)	Alternative D (acres)	ACEC resource values	Resource use limitations
Saint David Cienega ACEC .....	380	2,710	Cienega habitat, Cultural and historical values.	Visual Resource Management (VRM) class II.
San Pedro ACEC .....	1,420	7,230	Upland and riparian areas, Rare plants, Cultural and historical values.	VRM class II.
San Rafael ACEC .....	370	560	Rare plants, Giant sacaton grasslands, Mesquite bosques.	VRM class II.
Curry-Horsethief ACEC .....	.....	2,540	Cultural, historical, and paleontological values.	VRM class II, land use authorizations would be excluded.
Lehner Mammoth ACEC .....	.....	30	Cultural, historical, and paleontological values.	VRM class II, land use authorizations would be excluded.

Please note that public comments and information submitted including names, street addresses, and email addresses of persons who submit comments will be available for public review and disclosure at the above address during regular business hours (8 a.m. to 4 p.m.), Monday through Friday, except holidays.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your

personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**Authority:** 40 CFR 1506.6, 40 CFR 1506.10, 43 CFR 1610.2.

**Raymond Suazo,**  
State Director.

[FR Doc. 2018–13813 Filed 6–28–18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–32–P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

##### National Park Service

[NPS–WASO–D–COS–POL–25829:  
PPWODIREPO][PPMPSPD1Y.YM0000]

#### "Made in America" Outdoor Recreation Advisory Committee Notice of Public Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Meeting notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory