

DNS by maintaining its historic role in authorizing changes or modifications to the authoritative root zone file; governments have legitimate interest in the management of their country code top level domains (ccTLD); ICANN is the appropriate technical manager of the Internet DNS; and dialogue related to Internet governance should continue in relevant multiple fora.<sup>7</sup>

**Request for Comment:** Because the current MOU will expire on September 30, 2006, NTIA seeks comment on the progress to date of the transition of the technical coordination and management of the Internet DNS to the private sector.

The questions below are intended to assist in identifying the issues and should not be construed as a limitation on comments that may be submitted. When referencing, in your comments, any studies, research, and other empirical data that are not widely published, please provide copies of the referenced materials with the submitted comments.

1. The *DNS White Paper* articulated principles (i.e., stability; competition; private, bottom-up coordination; and representation) necessary for guiding the transition to private sector management of the Internet DNS. Are these principles still relevant? Should additional principles be considered in light of: The advance in Internet technology; the expanded global reach of the Internet; the experience gained over the eight years since the Department of Commerce issued the *DNS White Paper*; and the international dialogue, including the discussions related to Internet governance at the United Nations World Summit on the Information Society (WSIS)?

2. The *DNS White Paper* articulated a number of actions that should be taken in order for the U.S. Government to transition its Internet DNS technical coordination and management responsibilities to the private sector. These actions appear in the MOU as a series of core tasks and milestones. Has ICANN achieved sufficient progress in its tasks, as agreed in the MOU, for the transition to take place by September 30, 2006?

3. Are these core tasks and milestones still relevant to facilitate this transition and meet the goals outlined in the *DNS White Paper* and the *U.S. Principles on the Internet's Domain Name and Addressing System*? Should new or revised tasks/methods be considered in order for the transition to occur? And on

what time frame and by what method should a transition occur?

4. The *DNS White Paper* listed several key stakeholder groups whose meaningful participation is necessary for effective technical coordination and management of the Internet DNS. Are all of these groups involved effectively in the ICANN process? If not, how could their involvement be improved? Are there key stakeholder groups not listed in the *DNS White Paper*, such as those with expertise in the area of Internet security or infrastructure technologies, that could provide valuable input into the technical coordination and management of the Internet DNS? If so, how could their involvement be facilitated?

5. The *DNS White Paper* listed principles and mechanisms for technical coordination and management of the Internet DNS to encourage meaningful participation and representation of key stakeholders. ICANN, in conjunction with many of these key stakeholders, has created various supporting organizations and committees to facilitate stakeholder participation in ICANN processes. Is participation in these organizations meeting the needs of key stakeholders and the Internet community? Are there ways to improve or expand participation in these organizations and committees?

6. What methods and/or processes should be considered to encourage greater efficiency and responsiveness to governments and ccTLD managers in processing root management requests to address public policy and sovereignty concerns? Please keep in mind the need to preserve the security and stability of the Internet DNS and the goal of decision-making at the local level. Are there new technology tools available that could improve this process, such as automation of request processing?

7. Many public and private organizations have various roles and responsibilities related to the Internet DNS, and more broadly, to Internet governance. How can information exchange, collaboration and enhanced cooperation among these organizations be achieved as called for by the WSIS?<sup>8</sup>

**Public Meeting:** NTIA announces a public meeting to be held on July 26, 2006, to discuss issues associated with this transition. The agenda for the meeting will be posted on NTIA's Web site, <http://www.ntia.doc.gov>, one week prior to the meeting.

The meeting will be open to the public and press on a first-come, first-served basis. Space is limited. Due to security requirements and to facilitate entry to the Department of Commerce building, anyone wishing to attend must contact Tanika Hawkins at (202) 482-1866 or [thawkins@ntia.doc.gov](mailto:thawkins@ntia.doc.gov) at least five (5) days prior to the meeting in order to provide the necessary clearance information. When arriving for the meeting, attendees must present photo or passport identification and/or a U.S. Government building pass, if applicable, and should arrive at least one-half hour prior to the start time of the meeting. The public meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Individuals requiring special services, such as sign language interpretation or other ancillary aids are asked to indicate this to Ms. Hawkins.

Dated: May 22, 2006.

**Kathy D. Smith,**

Chief Counsel, National Telecommunications and Information Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-8077 Filed 5-25-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-60-S

---

## COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

### Determination under the Textile and Apparel Commercial Availability Provision of the Dominican Republic-Central America-United States Free Trade Agreement (CAFTA-DR Agreement)

May 23, 2006.

**AGENCY:** Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

**ACTION:** Determination to add a product in unrestricted quantities to Annex 3.25 of the CAFTA-DR Agreement.

**SUMMARY:** The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA) has determined that certain 100% cotton flannel fabrics, as specified below, are not available in commercial quantities in a timely manner in the CAFTA-DR region. The product will be added to the list in Annex 3.25 of the CAFTA-DR Agreement in unrestricted quantities.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 26, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Richard Stetson, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482 2582.

<sup>7</sup> U.S. Department of Commerce, *U.S. Principles on the Internet's Domain Name and Addressing System* (June 30, 2005), [http://www.ntia.doc.gov/ntiahome/domainname/usdnsprinciples\\_06302005.htm](http://www.ntia.doc.gov/ntiahome/domainname/usdnsprinciples_06302005.htm).

<sup>8</sup> See, e.g., World Summit on the Information Society, Tunis Agenda for the Information Society (November 18, 2005), WSIS-05/TUNIS/DOC/6(Rev. 1)-E, available at <http://www.itu.int/wsisis/docs2/tunis/off/6rev1.html>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ON-LINE:**

<http://web.ita.doc.gov/tacgi/CaftaReqTrack.nsf>

Reference number:

3.2006.04.17.Fabric.ST&RforBWA.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Authority:** Section 203(o)(4) of the Dominican Republic-Central America-United States Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (CAFTA-DR Act); the Statement of Administrative Action (SAA), accompanying the CAFTA-DR Act; Presidential Proclamations 7987 (February 28, 2006) and 7996 (March 31, 2006).

The CAFTA-DR Agreement provides a list in Annex 3.25 for fabrics, yarns, and fibers that the Parties to the CAFTA-DR Agreement have determined are not available in commercial quantities in a timely manner in the territory of any Party. Articles that otherwise meet the rule of origin to qualify for preferential treatment are not disqualified because they contain one of the products on the Annex 3.25 list.

The CAFTA-DR Agreement provides that the list in Annex 3.25 may be modified pursuant to Article 3.25(4)-(6). The CAFTA-DR Act states that the President will make a determination on whether additional fabrics, yarns, and fibers are available in commercial quantities in a timely manner in the territory of any Party. The CAFTA-DR Act requires the President to establish procedures governing the submission of a request and providing opportunity for interested entities to submit comments and supporting evidence before making a determination. In Presidential Proclamations 7987 and 7996, the President delegated to CITA the authority under section 203(o)(4) of CAFTA-DR Act for modifying the Annex 3.25 list. On February 23, 2006, CITA published interim procedures it would follow in considering requests to modify the Annex 3.25 list. (71 FR 9315)

On April 17, 2006, the Chairman of CITA received a request from Sandler, Travis, & Rosenberg, P.A. on behalf of B\*W\*A for certain 100% cotton flannel fabrics, of the specifications detailed below. On April 19, 2006, CITA notified interested parties of, and posted on its website, the accepted petition and requested that interested entities provide, by May 1, 2006, a response advising of its objection to the request or its ability to supply the subject product, and rebuttals to responses by May 5, 2006.

No interested entity filed a response advising of its objection to the request or its ability to supply the subject product.

In accordance with Section 203(o)(4) of the CAFTA-DR Act, and its

procedures, as no interested entity submitted a response objecting to the request or expressing an ability to supply the subject product, CITA has determined to add the specified fabrics to the list in Annex 3.25 CAFTA-DR Agreement.

The subject fabrics are added to the list in Annex 3.25 CAFTA-DR Agreement in unrestricted quantities.

**Specifications:**

<b>HTS Subheading:</b>	5208.43.00
<b>Fiber Content:</b>	100% Cotton
<b>Average Yarn Number:</b>	*COM041*67 to 69 metric warp and filling (39.5 to 40.5 English)
<b>Thread Count:</b>	44 to 48 warp ends per centimeter X 31 to 39 filling picks per centimeter; none less than 78.7 ends and picks per square centimeter. (112 to 122 warp ends per inch X 79 to 100 filling picks per inch; none less than 200 ends and picks per square inch)
<b>Weave Type:</b>	3 or 4 thread twill
<b>Weight:</b>	98 to 152 grams per square meter (2.9 to 4.5 ounces per sq. yard)
<b>Width:</b>	145 to 154 centimeters (57 to 63 inches)
<b>Finish:</b>	Of yarns of different colors, plaids, checks and stripes, napped on both sides, pre-shrunk

**James C. Leonard III,**

*Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.*

[FR Doc. 06-4916 Filed 5-24-06; 11:10 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE****Office of the Secretary****National Security Education Board Group of Advisors Meeting**

**AGENCY:** National Defense University, Department of Defense.

**ACTION:** Notice open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given of a forthcoming meeting of the National Security Education Board Group of Advisors. The purpose of the meeting is to review and make recommendations to the Board concerning requirements established by the David L. Boren National Security Education Act, Title VIII of Public Law 102-183, as amended.

**DATES:** June 2, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** The Doubletree/Edgewater Hotel, 100 Madison Street, Missoula, Montana 59802.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Edmond J. Collier, Director for Programs, National Security Education Program, 1101 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 1210, Rosslyn P.O. Box 20010, Arlington, Virginia 22209-2248; (703) 696-1991. Electronic mail address: [colliere@ndu.edu](mailto:colliere@ndu.edu).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The National Security Education Board Group of Advisors meeting is open to the public. This notice is being published less than 15 days due to an administrative oversight.

Dated: May 23, 2006.

**C.R. Choate,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, DoD.*

[FR Doc. 06-4898 Filed 5-25-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE****Office of the Secretary****National Security Education Board Meeting**

**AGENCY:** National Defense University, Department of Defense.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given of a forthcoming meeting of the National Security Education Board. The purpose of the meeting is to review and make recommendations to the Secretary concerning requirements established by the David L. Boren National Security Education Act, Title VIII of Public Law 102-183, as amended.

**DATES:** June 8, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** The National Transportation Safety Board, Conference Center, 429 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., Washington, DC 20594.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Edmond J. Collier, Deputy Director, National Security Education Program, 1101 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 1210, Rosslyn, Virginia 22209-2248; (703) 696-1991. Electronic mail address: [colliere@ndu.edu](mailto:colliere@ndu.edu).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Board meeting is open to the Public. This notice is being published less than 15 days due to an administrative oversight.

Dated: May 23, 2006.

**C.R. Choate,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, DoD.*

[FR Doc. 06-4899 Filed 5-25-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-M**