

Dated: May 1, 2006.

Roy G. Weise,

*Senior CJIS Advisor, Criminal Justice
Information Services Division, Federal Bureau
of Investigation.*

[FR Doc. 06-4794 Filed 5-23-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-02-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-58,958]

Alcan Global Pharmaceutical Packaging, Inc.; Plastics Americas Division; Centralia, IL; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Alcan Global Pharmaceutical Packaging, Inc., Plastics Americas Division, Centralia, Illinois. The application did not contain new information supporting a conclusion that the determination was erroneous, and also did not provide a justification for reconsideration of the determination that was based on either mistaken facts or a misinterpretation of facts or of the law. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-58,958; Alcan Global Pharmaceutical Packaging, Inc., Plastics Americas Division Centralia, Illinois (May 12, 2006)

Signed at Washington, DC this 15th day of May 2006.

Erica R. Cantor,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6-7948 Filed 5-23-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-59,314]

Anritsu Instruments Company (Formerly Nettest), Utica, NY; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on May 2, 2006 in response to a worker petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers of Anritsu Instruments Company, (Formerly Nettest), Utica, New York.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 8th day of May 2006.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6-7936 Filed 5-23-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-57,867]

Capital City Press, Inc., Publication Services Division, Barre, VT; Notice of Revised Determination on Remand

On April 11, 2006, the United States Court of International Trade (USCIT) granted a consent motion for voluntary remand in *Former Employees of Capital City Press, Inc. v. U.S. Secretary of Labor*, Court No. 06-00081.

On August 31, 2005, a company official filed a petition for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) with the U.S. Department of Labor (Department) on behalf of workers at Capital City Press, Inc., Publication Services Division, Barre, Vermont (subject firm). The company official stated that the subject firm was shifting production of scientific journals and books to the Philippines and India and importing those products from those countries.

The initial investigation revealed that the workers created documents electronically and that the subject firm imported the publications in an electronic format. The Department determined that the workers did not produce an article within the meaning of Section 222 of the Trade Act. The determination was issued on October 21, 2005. On November 9, 2005, the Department's Notice of negative determination was published in the **Federal Register** (70 FR 68099).

By letters dating November 22, 2005 and December 5, 2005, the subject firm and Local One-L, Graphic Communications Conference, International Brotherhood of Teamsters, (Union), respectively, requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for the subject workers to apply for TAA and ATAA.

The Department's Notice of Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration was issued on January 10, 2006, and published in the **Federal Register** on

January 17, 2006 (71 FR 2566). The Department determined that the electronic nature of the publications created by the workers and brought into the United States by the subject firm barred the subject workers for consideration as production workers.

Since the publication of the Notice of Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm, the Department has revised its policy to acknowledge that there are tangible and intangible articles and to clarify that the production of intangible articles can be distinguished from the provision of services. Intangible goods that would have been considered articles, for the purposes of the Trade Act, if embodied in a physical medium are now considered to be articles regardless of their method of transfer.

The Department stresses that it will continue to implement the longstanding precedent that firms must produce an article to be certified under the Trade Act. This determination is not altered by the fact the provision of a service may result in the incidental creation of an article. Because the revised policy may have implications beyond this case of which the Department is not fully cognizant, it will be further developed in rulemaking.

Therefore, due to the Department's policy change, the Department requested the voluntary remand to conduct an investigation to determine whether the subject workers are eligible to apply for TAA and ATAA.

Reviewing previously-submitted information through the lens of the revised policy, the Department has determined that, for purposes of the Trade Act, the subject workers are engaged in activity related to the production of an article (scientific journals and books). The Department has also determined that during the relevant period, a significant portion of workers was separated from the subject facility, production shifted abroad, and the subject firm increased its imports of publications following the shift abroad.

In accordance with section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department herein presents the results of its investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for ATAA for older workers. In order for the Department to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for ATAA, the group eligibility requirements of Section 246 of the Trade Act must be met. The Department has determined in this case that the requirements of section 246 have been met.

A significant number of workers at the firm are age 50 or over and possess skills that are not easily transferable. Competitive conditions within the industry are adverse.

Conclusion

After careful review of the facts generated through the second remand investigation, I determine that a shift in production abroad of publications like or directly competitive to that produced at the subject facilities followed by increased imports contributed to the total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of workers at the subject facilities. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Capital City Press, Inc., Publication Services Division, Barre, Vermont, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after August 31, 2004, through two years from the issuance of this revised determination, are eligible to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 12th day of May 2006.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6-7935 Filed 5-23-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-58,629]

Consolidated Container Company Beverage and Industrial Container Division, Leetsdale, PA; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By application of March 13, 2006, the United Electrical, Radio & Machine Workers of America, Local 690 requested administrative reconsideration regarding the Department's Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to the workers of the subject firm.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination issued on February 15, 2006, was based on the finding that imports of polycarbonate bottles did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject plant and that there was no shift to a foreign

country. The denial notice was published in the **Federal Register** on March 10, 2006 (71 FR 12396).

To support the request for reconsideration, the petitioner supplied additional information to supplement that which was gathered during the initial investigation. Upon further review of the information and a contact with the company official, it was revealed that the subject firm shifted two production lines of the polycarbonate bottles to Canada during the relevant period and that this shift contributed to the layoffs at the subject firm.

In accordance with section 246 the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents the results of its investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) for older workers.

In order for the Department to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for ATAA, the group eligibility requirements of Section 246 of the Trade Act must be met. The Department has determined in this case that the requirements of section 246 have been met.

A significant number of workers at the firm are age 50 or over and possess skills that are not easily transferable. Competitive conditions within the industry are adverse.

Conclusion

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I determine that there was a shift in production from the workers' firm or subdivision to Canada of articles that are like or directly competitive with those produced by the subject firm or subdivision. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Consolidated Container Company, Beverage & Industrial Container Division, Leetsdale, Pennsylvania who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after January 11, 2005 through two years from the date of certification are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 and are eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC this 12th day of May 2006.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6-7938 Filed 5-23-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-59,176]

East Palestine China Company, East Palestine, OH; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on April 10, 2006 in response to a worker petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at East Palestine China Company, East Palestine, Ohio.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 11th day of May 2006.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6-7945 Filed 5-23-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, (19 U.S.C. 2273), the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) number and alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) by (TA-W) number issued during the periods of May 2006.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for directly-impacted (primary) worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

I. Section (a)(2)(A) all of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. The sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely; and

C. Increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision