

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-512]

In the Matter of Certain Light-Emitting Diodes and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Final Determination of No Violation of Section 337 as to Five Patents and Violation of Section 337 as to Three Patents; Issuance of Limited Exclusion Order; Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined that there is no violation of 19 U.S.C. 1337 by Dominant Semiconductors Sdn. Bhd. ("Dominant") with respect to United States Patent Nos. 6,066,861, 6,277,301, 6,613,247, 6,245,259, and 6,592,780 (collectively, the "Particle Size Patents"); that there is a violation by Dominant with respect to United States Patent Nos. 6,376,902, 6,469,321, and 6,573,580 (collectively, the "Lead Frame Patents"); and that the Commission has determined to issue a limited exclusion order.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michelle Walters, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by Osram GmbH and Osram Opto Semiconductors GmbH, both of Germany (collectively, "Osram"). 69 FR 32609 (June 10, 2004). In the complaint, as supplemented and amended, Osram alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation into the United States,

the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain light-emitting diodes and products containing the same by reason of infringement of various claims of the Particle Size Patents, United States Patent No. 6,576,930 (the "'930 patent"), the Lead Frame Patents, and United States Patent No. 6,716,673 (the "'673 patent").

On May 10, 2005, the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") issued his final initial determination ("ID") finding the sole remaining respondent, Dominant, in violation of section 337, but only with respect to the '673 patent. The ALJ concluded that the asserted claims of the Particle Size Patents were invalid for indefiniteness, that the '930 patent and the Lead Frame Patents were not infringed by Dominant's accused products, and that Osram did not meet the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement with respect to the '930 patent.

On June 24, 2005, the Commission determined to review the ALJ's findings and conclusions regarding the Particle Size Patents, the '930 patent, and the Lead Frame Patents. 70 FR 37431 (June 29, 2005). The Commission declined to review the ALJ's determination of violation of section 337 with respect to the '673 patent.

On review, the Commission determined that the Particle Size Patents were not invalid for indefiniteness and construed the disputed phrase "mean grain diameter d_{50} " to mean average diameter by volume. Inv. No. 337-TA-512, Comm'n Op. at 4-14 (Aug. 12, 2005). The Commission remanded the investigation to the ALJ for a determination on infringement and domestic industry with regard to the Particle Size Patents consistent with the Commission's opinion. In addition, the Commission left open the question whether the asserted claims of the Particle Size Patents are invalid as indefinite for failing to specify the type of instrument that should be used to determine the "mean grain diameter d_{50} ." With regard to the '930 patent, the Commission terminated the investigation with a finding of no violation. Finally, the Commission deferred addressing the issue of violation with respect to the Lead Frame Patents, as well as issues relating to remedy, public interest, and bonding. 70 FR 48194 (Aug. 16, 2005).

The ALJ issued a remand initial determination ("Remand ID") on October 31, 2005, finding no violation of section 337 with regard to the Particle Size Patents, because Osram failed to show that there was an industry in the United States that practices those

patents. The ALJ also concluded that some of Dominant's accused products do not infringe the asserted claims of the Particle Size Patents. Finally, the ALJ declined to revisit the issue of indefiniteness, because Dominant failed to raise it on remand.

In its remand notice, the Commission had invited comments from the parties addressing the ALJ's determination on remand, and on November 10, 2005, Osram filed comments, challenging the Remand ID. 70 FR 48194 (Aug. 16, 2005). On November 18, 2005, Dominant and the Commission investigative attorney each filed responses to Osram's comments, asserting that the ALJ's determinations on remand are not erroneous.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ALJ's final ID and Remand ID and the submissions of the parties, the Commission has determined (1) That there is no violation of section 337 by Dominant with regard to the Particle Size Patents; (2) that there is a violation of section 337 by Dominant with regard to the Lead Frame Patents; and (3) to issue a limited exclusion order with respect to the Lead Frame Patents and the '673 patent. The Commission's order was delivered to the President on the day of its issuance.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.45 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.45).

Issued: January 11, 2006.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E6-429 Filed 1-17-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Executive Office for United States Trustees; Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

ACTION: 30-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review: Application for Debt Education Course Provider.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Executive Office for United States Trustees (EOUST) submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to

obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 70, Number 121, page 36658 on June 24, 2005, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until February 17, 2006. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395-5806. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) Type of Information Collection: New Collection.

(2) Title of the Form/Collection: Application for Debt Education Course Provider.

(3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection: Form Number: None. Executive Office for United States Trustees.

(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief

abstract: Primary: Business or other for-profit. Other: Not-for-profit Institutions. Congress passed a new bankruptcy law that requires individuals who file for bankruptcy to complete an approved personal financial management instructional course as a condition of receiving a discharge.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply: It is estimated that 800 respondents will complete the application in approximately 8 hours.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: The estimated total annual public burden associated with this application is 6,400 hours.

If additional information is required contact: Brenda E. Dyer, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, 601 D Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: January 11, 2006.

Brenda E. Dyer,

Department Clearance Officer, Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 06-397 Filed 1-17-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-40-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Executive Office for United States Trustees; Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

ACTION: 30-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review: Application for Non-Profit Budget and Credit Counseling Agencies.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs (OJP) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register**, Volume 70, Number 116, page 35302 on June 17, 2005, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until February 17, 2006. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this

notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395-5806. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) Type of information collection: Extension of a currently approved collection.

(2) The title of the form/collection: Application for Approval as a Nonprofit Budget and Credit Counseling Agency.

(3) The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the department sponsoring the collection: Form Number: None. Executive Office for United States Trustees.

(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: Not-for-profit institutions. Agencies that wish to offer credit counseling services. Other: None. Congress passed a new bankruptcy law that requires any individual who wishes to file for bankruptcy to, within 180 days of filing for bankruptcy relief, first obtain credit counseling from a nonprofit budget and credit counseling agency that has been approved by the United States Trustee.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to