

effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

#### F. Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1 (series) OM, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This proposed rule involves amending the regulations for Hampton Roads and adjacent water anchorages by establishing an anchorage, Anchorage R, 3 NM west of Cape Charles, VA and relocating the existing Quarantine Anchorage, Anchorage Q, to a more secluded position that is 6 NM southwest of Fishermans Point, VA. Normally, such actions are categorically excluded from further review under paragraphs L59(a) and L59(b) of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 01. A preliminary Record of Environmental Consideration supporting this determination is available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

#### VI. Public Participation and Request for Comments

We view public participation as essential to effective rulemaking and will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. Your comment can help shape the outcome of this rulemaking. If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking, indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation.

We encourage you to submit comments through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>. If your material cannot be submitted using <http://www.regulations.gov>, contact the person in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document for alternate instructions.

We accept anonymous comments. All comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov> and will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy/

docket, visit <http://www.regulations.gov/privacyNotice>.

Documents mentioned in this NPRM as being available in the docket, and all public comments, will be in our online docket at <http://www.regulations.gov> and can be viewed by following that website's instructions. Additionally, if you go to the online docket and sign up for email alerts, you will be notified when comments are posted or a final rule is published.

We plan to hold three public meetings to receive oral comments on this NPRM, one in Norfolk, VA and two in Cape Charles, VA. The first public meeting will be held on Monday, June 25, 2018, from 5 p.m. to 7 p.m. at Slover Public Library Meeting Room, 235 E. Plume Street, Norfolk, VA 23510. Two public meetings will be held on July 10, 2018 at Cape Charles Civic Center, 500 Tazwell Avenue, Cape Charles, VA; the first meeting will be held from 1 p.m. to 3 p.m. and the second meeting will be held from 6 p.m. to 8 p.m. For information on facilities or services for individuals with disabilities or to request special assistance at the public meeting, contact the person named in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section, above.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 110

Anchorage grounds.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 110 as follows:

#### PART 110—ANCHORAGE REGULATIONS

- 1. The authority citation for part 110 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C., 471, 1221 through 1236, 2071; 33 CFR 1.05–1; Department Homeland Security Delegation No 0170.1.

- 2. In § 110.168, add introductory text in paragraph (a), revise paragraph (a)(6), and add paragraph (a)(7) to read as follows:

#### § 110.168 Hampton Roads, Virginia and adjacent waters.

(a) *Anchorage Grounds*. All coordinates in this section for anchorage grounds are based on North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83).

\* \* \* \* \*

(6) *Anchorage Q. Quarantine Anchorage*. The waters bound by a line connecting the following points:

Latitude	Longitude
37°05'40" N	076°08'12" W
37°05'40" N	076°07'19" W
37°03'46" N	076°05'58" W
37°03'46" N	076°06'51" W

(7) *Anchorage R*. The waters all within the Chesapeake Bay, bound by a line connecting the following points:

Latitude	Longitude
37°19'10" N	076°05'00" W
37°12'00" N	076°05'00" W
37°09'08" N	076°08'19" W
37°11'23" N	076°08'49" W
37°19'10" N	076°05'46" W

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: June 8, 2018.

**Meredith Austin,**

*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Fifth Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 2018–13439 Filed 6–19–18; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 9110–04–P**

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 63

[EPA–R03–OAR–2018–0304; FRL–9979–70—Region 3]

**Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; Allegheny County Health Department, Withdrawal of Section 112(I) Delegation Authority for the Chemical Accident Prevention Regulations**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is notifying the public that Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) has completed the regulatory process for voluntary withdrawal from EPA's delegation of authority to enforce the chemical accident prevention regulations, and EPA is proposing to modify amendments indicating that ACHD does not have delegated authority to implement and enforce the regulatory requirements. EPA is also notifying the public that each facility subject to the previously approved ACHD delegated chemical accident prevention program is required to maintain continuous compliance with applicable requirements. This action is being taken under the Clean Air Act (CAA).

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before July 23, 2018.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R03–OAR–2018–0304 at <https://www.regulations.gov>, or via email to [duke.gerallyn@epa.gov](mailto:duke.gerallyn@epa.gov). For comments submitted at [Regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from

*Regulations.gov*. For either manner of submission, EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be confidential business information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER**

**INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <https://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mary Cate Opila, (215) 814-2041, or by email at [opila.marycate@epa.gov](mailto:opila.marycate@epa.gov).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **I. Background**

Section 112(l) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) and 40 CFR part 63, subpart E, authorizes EPA to approve of State, and local, rules and programs to be implemented and enforced in place of certain CAA requirements, including the chemical accident prevention provisions set forth at 40 CFR part 68 (Chemical Accident Prevention Regulations). EPA promulgated the Chemical Accident Prevention Regulations (or risk management program (RMP) regulations) (RMP regulations) pursuant to CAA Section 112(r)(7). By letter dated June 15, 2001, ACHD requested delegation of authority to implement and enforce the RMP regulations for all sources, among other requests for delegation of other programs. On January 30, 2002, EPA issued a direct final rule, which became effective on April 1, 2002, approving ACHD's request for delegation of authority to implement and enforce EPA's RMP regulations, which had been adopted by reference from 40 CFR part 68, for all sources within Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, subject to such regulations. *See* 67 FR 4363 (January 30, 2002).

The procedures for a State, or local authority, to voluntarily withdraw from a CAA approved rule, program or portion of a rule or program are set forth at 40 CFR 63.96(b)(7). In summary, these

regulations and relevant EPA guidance provide that a State, or local authority, may unilaterally and voluntarily withdraw from an approved delegated program by notifying EPA and all affected sources of its intent to withdraw and the specific requirements subject to such withdrawal. Any such withdrawal is not effective sooner than 180 days after such notification to EPA. The State, or local authority, must also provide notice and opportunity for comment to the public. To the extent that any source that is affected by the withdrawal is also subject to a CAA operating permit issued pursuant to 40 CFR part 70, the State, or local authority, must reopen and revise such permit to the extent necessary.

##### **II. EPA Analysis**

By letter dated July 28, 2017, ACHD notified EPA Region III of its intent to voluntarily withdraw from EPA's delegation of authority to enforce the RMP regulations. By letter dated November 9, 2017, ACHD notified EPA Region III that ACHD announced a public comment period to take comment on ACHD's voluntary withdrawal from EPA's delegation of authority to enforce the RMP regulations. The public comment period extended from November 10, 2017 to December 10, 2017. During this public comment period, ACHD did not receive any comments in response to the public comment notification. ACHD provided all applicable facilities with written notice that ACHD is voluntarily withdrawing from EPA's delegation of authority to enforce the RMP regulations set forth at 40 CFR part 68.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 63.96(b)(7), ACHD has determined which facilities, located in Allegheny County, are subject to the RMP regulations and have effective CAA Title V operating permits in accordance with 40 CFR part 70. Sixteen facilities within Allegheny County have submitted risk management plans to EPA and ACHD has issued Title V operating permits to 28 currently operating facilities. ACHD Title V operating permits incorporate the RMP regulations, set forth at 40 CFR part 68, by reference. Therefore, each facility, located in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, that is subject to the RMP regulations and has an effective Title V operating permit has been issued a Title V permit which includes the proper citation to any applicable RMP regulation.

Upon a State's or local authority's voluntary withdrawal of a delegated program, in accordance with 40 CFR 63.96(b)(7), EPA is required to publish a time for sources subject to the

previously approved State, or local, rule or program to come into compliance with applicable Federal requirements. Because, as part of its previously approved delegated program, ACHD incorporated the RMP regulations by reference, there is no distinction between ACHD's previously approved delegated program for implementing the requirements set forth at 40 CFR part 68 and the applicable Federal requirements set forth at 40 CFR part 68. Furthermore, EPA's delegation of authority to implement the requirements set forth at 40 CFR part 68 to ACHD stated in relevant part: "Although ACHD has primary authority and responsibility to implement and enforce the . . . chemical accident prevention provisions, nothing shall preclude, limit, or interfere with the authority of EPA to exercise its enforcement, investigatory, and information gathering authorities concerning this part of the Act." *See* 67 FR 4366 (January 30, 2002). Therefore, all facilities located in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, subject to any requirement set forth at 40 CFR part 68 shall maintain continuous compliance with such requirement.

##### **III. Proposed Action**

EPA's review of this material indicates that ACHD has completed the regulatorily mandated process, set forth at 40 CFR 63.96(b)(7), for voluntary withdrawal from EPA's delegation of authority to enforce the Chemical Accident Prevention regulations set forth at 40 CFR part 68. EPA is proposing to modify 40 CFR 63.99(a)(39)(v) to indicate ACHD's withdrawal from EPA's delegation of authority to enforce the chemical accident prevention provisions set forth at 40 CFR part 68. EPA is soliciting public comments on the issues discussed in this document. These comments will be considered before taking final action.

##### **IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews**

This action notifies the public that ACHD has completed the process for voluntary withdrawal from EPA's delegation of authority to enforce the chemical accident prevention provisions set forth at 40 CFR part 68, and the action proposes to update 40 CFR 63.99(a)(39)(v) to indicate the withdrawal. The proposed action does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state and federal law. For that reason, this proposed action:

- Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office

of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);

- is not an Executive Order 13771 regulatory action because this action is not significant under Executive Order 12866.

- does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);

- is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);

- does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);

- does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);

- is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

- is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

- is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and

- does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, this proposed rule to modify 40 CFR 63.99(a)(39)(v) to indicate ACHD's voluntary withdrawal from EPA's delegation of authority to enforce the chemical accident

prevention provisions set forth at 40 CFR part 68, does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the action does to apply in Indian country located in the state, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 63

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Hazardous substances, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Paper and paper products industry, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: June 12, 2018.

**Cosmo Servidio,**

*Regional Administrator, Region III.*

[FR Doc. 2018–13452 Filed 6–21–18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**