

Dated: May 11, 2006.

**Joan F. Karr,**

*Acting Reports Clearance Officer, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.*

[FR Doc. E6-7563 Filed 5-17-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4163-18-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Administration for Children and Families

#### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

*Title:* The Office of Community Services (OCS) Evaluation Initiative.

*OMB No.:* New Collection.

*Description:* This questionnaire is part of a contract that addresses evaluation strategies for three programs administered by OCS: Community Economic Development (CED), Rural Community Facilities (RF), and Job Opportunities for Low-Income Individuals (JOLI). The legislative requirement for two of these programs, *i.e.*, the RF and CED programs, is in Title IV of the Community Opportunities, Accountability, and Training and Educational Services Act (COATS Human Services

Reauthorization Act) of Oct. 27, 1998, Public Law 105-285, sec. 680(b) as amended. This legislative directive states that "The Secretary shall require all activities receiving assistance under this section to be evaluated for their effectiveness. Funding for such evaluations shall be provided as a stated percentage of the assistance or through a separate grant awarded by the Secretary specifically for the purpose of evaluation of a particular activity or group of activities."

Under Title V, section 505, of the Family Support Act of 1988, Public Law 100-485, sec. 505(f), JOLI was initially a demonstration program that required local evaluations of each project. When JOLI was reauthorized in 1996 (Public Law 104-193—August 22, 1996), it no longer had demonstration status and evaluation requirements. As a result, a formal evaluation for the JOLI program has not been conducted since the 1996 Public Law reauthorization. At this time, OCS is interested in a formal evaluation to assess the JOLI program.

OCS has chosen to evaluate all three of these programs through a separate contract awarded by the Secretary using the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) Performance Assessment Rating Tool (PART) in order to critically review the overall design and effectiveness of

each program in its totality. The evaluation initiative contract provides the central office with the mechanism to ensure that all programs evaluated will have consistent data that is in agreement with the direction of OMB and provides the Secretary with information on program efficiency and effectiveness.

The evaluation survey's primary purpose is to document and systematically evaluate the program performance of three OCS discretionary grant programs in qualitative and quantitative terms. Thus it will assess each of the three OCS discretionary grant programs—CED, RF, and JOLI—using qualitative and quantitative evaluation methods that capture key information about program and grantee-level performance in four general areas: (1) Program purpose and design; (2) strategic planning; (3) program management; and (4) program results. The evaluation activities will build on the initial year's findings and methods, with the goal of expanding data collection and analysis to improve the validity and generalizability of findings.

The questionnaire will be administered online.

*Respondents:* Active CED and JOLI grantees with grants awarded from 2001 through 2004.

#### ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES

Instrument	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Total burden hours
Questionnaire for OCS-CED and JOLI Grantees in the U.S. ....	172	1	1.5	258
Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours .....				258

*Additional Information:* Copies of the proposed collection may be obtained by writing to the Administration for Children and Families, Office of Administration, Office of Information Services, 370 L'Enfant Promenade, SW., Washington, DC 20447, Attn: ACF Reports Clearance Officer. All requests should be identified by the title of the information collection. E-mail address: [infocollection@acf.hhs.gov](mailto:infocollection@acf.hhs.gov).

*OMB Comment:* OMB is required to make a decision concerning the collection of information between 30 and 60 days after publication of this document in the **Federal Register**. Therefore, a comment is best assured of having its full effect if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent directly to the following: Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork

Reduction Project, Attn: Desk Officer for ACF, E-mail address: [Katherine.T.Astrich@omb.eop.gov](mailto:Katherine.T.Astrich@omb.eop.gov).

Dated: May 11, 2006.

**Robert Sargis,**

*Reports Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 06-4632 Filed 5-17-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4184-01-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Administration for Children and Families

#### Proposed Information Collection Activity; Comment Request

##### Proposed Projects

*Title:* Multi-site Evaluation of Foster Youth Programs.

*OMB No.* 0970-0253.

*Description:* The Administration for Children and Families (ACF) within the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) is requesting comments on plans to continue data collection for the Evaluation of Independent Living Programs funded under the Chafee Foster Care Independence Program. The Foster Care Independence Act of 1999 (Pub. L. 106-169) mandates evaluations of promising independent living programs administered by State and local child welfare agencies. ACF is conducting an evaluation of four independent living programs using a randomized experimental design. Youth aged 14-21 receiving independent living program services are interviewed at three points during the evaluation period. Program administrators, staff, and youth participate in interviews, observations, and focus groups conducted during program site visits.

In addition, ACF is requesting comments on plans to begin data collection and conduct an evaluation of a fifth independent living program using a randomized experimental design.

Youth aged 18–21 will be interviewed at three points during the evaluation period. Program administrators, staff, and youth will participate in interviews, observations, and focus groups

conducted during the program site visits.

*Respondents:* Youth, caseworkers, program administrators, and staff.

#### ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATE

Instrument	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Total burden hours
<b>Ongoing Study Sites</b>				
Baseline:				
Youth interview .....	98	1	1.5	147
Caseworker survey .....	4	19	.5	38
First Follow Up:				
Your interview .....	177	1	1.5	266
Caseworker survey .....	4	36	.5	72
Program Site visit .....	50	1	1.5	75
Second Follow Up:				
Youth interview .....	370	1	1.5	555
<b>New (5th) Study Site</b>				
Baseline:				
Youth interview .....	250	1	1.5	375
Program site visit .....	80	1	1.5	120
First Follow Up:				
Youth interview .....	213	1	1.5	320
Program site visit .....	50	1	1.5	75
Second Follow Up:				
Youth interview .....	200	1	1.5	300

*Estimated Total Burden Hours:* 2,343.  
*Estimated Annual Burden Hours (average over three years):* 781.

In compliance with the requirements of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Administration for Children and Families is soliciting public comment on the specific aspects of the information collection described above.

Copies of the proposed collection of information can be obtained and comments may be forwarded by writing to the Administration for Children and Families, Office of Administration, Office of Information Services, 370 L'Enfant Promenade, SW., Washington, DC 20447, Attn: ACF Reports Clearance Officer. E-mail address: [infocollection@acf.hhs.gov](mailto:infocollection@acf.hhs.gov). All requests should be identified by the title of the information collection.

The Department specifically requests comments on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the

collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted within 60 days of this publication.

Dated: May 11, 2006.

**Robert Sargis,**

*Reports Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 06–4633 Filed 5–17–06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4184–01–M**

#### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

##### Administration for Children and Families

##### Proposed Information Collection Activity; Comment Request

*Title:* Needs Assessment for Promoting Cultural Competence and Diversity in Youth Mentoring Programs Toolkit.

*OMB No.* New Collection.

*Description:* The Department of Health and Human Services' (HHS) Mentoring Children of Prisoners (MCP) program, administered under the Family Youth Services Bureau (FYSB) within the Administration for Children and

Families (ACF), was authorized by the Promoting Safe and Stable Families Act of 2001 (SSFA, Pub. L. 107–133). The MCP program is designed to nurture children who have one or both parents incarcerated. The Secretary of HHS is mandated to appropriate funds for the MCP grant program, specifically for evaluation, research, training, and technical assistance. In FY 2004, grantees began submitting progress reports to HHS.

FYSB will conduct an assessment of the mentoring community to identify and assess needs for the purpose of building a toolkit of practical information and tools to assist mentoring programs in promoting cultural competence and diversity in their programs. The toolkit modules address recruiting minority mentors, assessing and matching mentors and mentees, training, educating program staff and participants, and promoting ethnic identity development.

*Respondents:* Mentoring Children of Prisoners grantees and National Mentoring Partnership (MENTOR) affiliated mentoring organizations.