

Final Rule (IFR) and two "Security and Management Control Outsourcing Standards" (Outsourcing Standards) in the **Federal Register** (FR) on December 16, 2004. See 69 FR 75243 and 69 FR 75350, respectively. The Council adopted the IFR as a final rule and published a combined Outsourcing Standard in the **Federal Register** on December 15, 2005. See 70 FR 74200 and 70 FR 74373, respectively. The rule permits an Authorized Recipient of CHRI to outsource noncriminal justice administrative functions relating to the processing of CHRI to a third party, subject to appropriate controls. The rule states that contracts or agreements providing for authorized outsourcing "shall incorporate by reference a security and management control outsourcing standard approved by the Compact Council after consultation with the United States Attorney General."

The purpose of this notice is to provide interested parties advance notice that the FBI will soon publish an RFP for Channelers pursuant to the Council's Outsourcing Rule and Standard. The number of Channelers that will eventually be approved is unknown at this time; however, the FBI will strive to strike a balance between the number of Channelers it has the capability to administer (i.e. the number of CJIS Wide Area Network connections the FBI may reasonably establish during the first year of this initiative) and the number needed to effectively and efficiently serve the needs of Authorized Recipients. The RFP is expected to be advertised in the FedBizOpps, formerly the Commerce Business Daily, within 30 days of publishing this notice.

Dated: April 6, 2006.

David Cuthbertson,

*Section Chief, Programs Development
Section, Federal Bureau of Investigation.*

[FR Doc. E6-7365 Filed 5-15-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

May 9, 2006.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by

contacting Darrin King on 202-693-4129 (this is not a toll-free number) or e-mail: king.darrin@dol.gov.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, 202-395-7316 (this is not a toll-free number), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: Employee Benefits Security Administration.

Type of Review: Extension of currently approved collection.

Title: ERISA Summary Annual Report Requirement.

OMB Number: 1210-0040.

Frequency: Annually.

Type of Response: Third party disclosure.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit and Not-for-profit institutions.

Number of Respondents: 749,000.

Number of Annual Responses: 228,686,000.

Estimated Time per Respondent: Approximately 45 minutes.

Total Burden Hours: 461,000.

Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs: \$0.

Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$134,161,000.

Description: Section 104(b)(3) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) generally requires employee benefit plan administrators annually to furnish a Summary Annual Report (SAR) to each plan participant and to certain beneficiaries. The SAR must fairly summarize the information

included in the plan's most recent annual report filed with the Department of Labor.

The Department of Labor's regulation under section ERISA section 104(b)(3), codified at 29 CFR 2520.104b-10, prescribes the timing and format of the SAR. Plan administrators must furnish a copy of the SAR to each participant and to each beneficiary who is receiving benefits under the plan (other than welfare plan beneficiaries) within 9 months after the close of the plan year.

The SAR provides plan a timely and accurate description of their plan's financial condition. The participants and beneficiaries who receive the SAR can determine, based on the information it contains, whether they have concerns with the operation of the plan and whether to exercise their rights under ERISA, for example, by contacting the Department when problems with the plan are identified. Concerned calls from participants and beneficiaries are a critical component of the Department's compliance assistance and enforcement efforts.

Ira L. Mills,

Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. E6-7406 Filed 5-15-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-29-P

LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

Sunshine Act Meeting of the Board of Directors

TIME AND DATE: The Board of Directors of the Legal Services Corporation will meet on May 22, 2006 via conference call. The meeting will begin at 2 p.m., and continue until conclusion of the Board's agenda.

LOCATION: 3333 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20007, 3rd Floor Conference Room.

STATUS OF MEETING: OPEN. Directors will participate by telephone conference in such a manner as to enable interested members of the public to hear and identify all persons participating in the meeting. Members of the public wishing to observe the meeting may do so by joining participating staff at the location indicated above. Members of the public wishing to listen to the meeting by telephone may obtain call-in information by calling LSC's FOIA Information line at (202) 295-1629.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Approval of the agenda.
2. Consider and act on Board of Directors' response to the Inspector General's Semiannual Report to Congress for the period of October 1, 2005 through March 31, 2006.

3. Consider and act on other business.
4. Public comment.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patricia Batie, Manager of Board Operations, at (202) 295-1500.

Special Needs: Upon request, meeting notices will be made available in alternate formats to accommodate visual and hearing impairments. Individuals who have a disability and need an accommodation to attend the meeting may notify Patricia Batie at (202) 295-1500.

Dated: May 11, 2006.

Victor M. Fortuno,

Vice President for Legal Affairs, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary.

[FR Doc. 06-4588 Filed 5-11-06; 5:16 pm]

BILLING CODE 7050-01-P

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

Notice of Sunshine Act Meeting

TIME: 9:30 a.m., May 23, 2006.

PLACE: NTSB Conference Center, 429 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., Washington, DC 20594.

STATUS: The two items are open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

7768, *Aircraft Accident Brief—*

Controlled Flight Into Terrain, Learjet 35A, N30DK, San Diego, California, October 24, 2004.

7758, *Aircraft Accident Brief—*

Departure From Controlled Flight, Learjet 24B, N600XJ, Helendale, California, December 23, 2003.

NEWS MEDIA CONTACT: Ted Lopatkiewicz, Telephone: (202) 314-6100.

Individuals requesting specific accommodations should contact Chris Bisett at (202) 314-6305 by Friday, May 19, 2006.

The public may view the meeting via a live or archived Webcast by accessing a link under "News & Events" on the NTSB home page at <http://www.nts.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Vicky D'Onofrio, (202) 314-6410.

Dated: May 12, 2006.

Vicky D'Onofrio,

Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 06-4618 Filed 5-12-06; 1:57am]

BILLING CODE 7533-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-280 and 50-281]

Virginia Electric and Power Company (Dominion); Surry Power Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-32 and DPR-37, issued to Virginia Electric and Power Company (the licensee), for operation of the Surry Power Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, located in Surry County, Virginia.

The proposed amendments would add a requirement to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, (10 CFR) part 50 license to restrict the minimum cooling time and burnup of spent fuel assemblies that will be placed into storage in the NUHOMS HD spent fuel dry storage system at Surry 1 and 2 starting in the summer of 2006. Specifically, the proposed amendments would add Figure 5.4-2 to the Technical Specifications (TSs) to ensure that the NUHOMS HD canister remains subcritical during operations in the Surry 1 and 2 spent fuel pool.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendments, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendments request involve no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR part 50, § 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

(1) The probability of occurrence or the consequences of an accident previously evaluated is not significantly increased.

Operation under 10 CFR 50.68 for use of the NUHOMS HD system and implementation of additional requirements

on the cooling time and burnup of fuel that is to be loaded into the NUHOMS HD 32PTH DSC [Dry Shielded Canister] will not require any physical changes to Part 50 structures, systems, or components, nor will there be any changes to the performance requirements of existing structures, systems, or components. Handling of spent fuel storage casks has previously been evaluated for Surry. When older cask designs stored under the Surry ISFSI [Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation] site specific license are returned to the station, they will be handled and controlled in the same manner as the initial loading and movement of these casks. The response of the plant to previously analyzed Part 50 accidents is not adversely impacted, and current analyses of radiological releases, including those for the fuel handling accident, will continue to bound activities related to spent fuel cask loading, handling, and storage.

(2) The possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated is not created.

Neither fuel handling nor the loading and handling of the NUHOMS HD 32PTH DSC will be affected by operation under 10 CFR 50.68(b) or by placing additional constraints on selection of fuel to be stored in the DSC. When older cask designs stored under the Surry ISFSI site specific license are returned to the station, they will be handled and controlled in the same manner as the initial loading and movement of these casks. The existing process used to ensure that fuel assemblies selected for dry storage comply with the specific cask and ISFSI licensing requirements will be used to select the fuel assemblies to be placed in the NUHOMS HD 32PTH DSC. The requirements of the proposed new Technical Specification will only represent additional limitations that must be considered during this selection process.

(3) There is not a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The Code of Federal Regulations identifies compliance with 10 CFR 50.68(b) as an acceptable alternative to compliance with 10 CFR 70.24. The emphasis of 10 CFR 70.24 is on detection of criticality events, while the requirements of 10 CFR 50.68(b) emphasize prevention of inadvertent criticality events. Operation under 10 CFR 50.68(b) is therefore preferable to ensure that Surry complies with the intent of General Design Criterion 62, which specifically directs that criticality should be prevented during fuel storage and handling. The existing criticality limits for the Surry Spent Fuel Pool and New Fuel Storage Area will be maintained. The NUHOMS HD spent fuel storage system is currently under review for general licensing, and has been shown to comply with the criticality requirements identified in 10 CFR part 72. Compliance with the proposed Surry Technical Specification will further ensure that the system remains safely subcritical during all handling and storage operations (e.g., load, unloading, handling, decontamination, etc.) that are conducted at the station prior to transfer of the DSC to the ISFSI, even under the more restrictive condition of assuming the DSC is fully loaded with fuel of the maximum allowable