

with respect to complying with DOT regulations, does not materially alter the fact that a railroad employee is an affected individual. Covered chemical facilities must ensure that affected individuals have appropriate background checks performed, to include a check for terrorist ties. This ICR allows covered chemical facilities, and their service providers that employ affected individuals, an opportunity to enable a check for terrorist ties to be performed against the TSDB.

**Comment:** One commenter stated that the CFATS PSP, as currently designed, “does not take into consideration that Tier 3 and 4 facilities present a comparatively lower risk profile than Tier 1 and 2 sites.” The commenter suggested that the Department acknowledge the lower risk profile of Tier 3 and Tier 4 covered chemical facilities and not require them to comply with 6 CFR 27.230(a)(12)(iv). The commenter requested that Tier 3 and Tier 4 covered chemical facilities still be allowed to voluntarily participate.

**Response:** The Department believes that 6 CFR 27.230(a)(12)(iv) mitigates the risk of an individual with terrorist ties having insider access. Terrorist insiders could cause significant harm to the United States through access to any tiered chemical facility. To achieve the anti-terrorism objective of CFATS, it is necessary to mitigate this risk by conducting terrorist ties checks at all covered facilities. RBPS 12 accordingly requires terrorist ties checks for facilities of all four tiers.

**Comment:** One commenter questioned the effectiveness of the CFATS PSP if “absent a clear national security, homeland security, or law enforcement rationale . . . DHS does not follow-up with the company to alert it of the possible threat.” The commenter further stated that the “value of conducting TSDB screening is questionable if an identified bad actor is permitted continued access to [chemicals of interest] unbeknownst to the facility, which is in the best position to ensure that the person is not afforded that opportunity.”

**Response:** The Department’s design of the CFATS Program is intended to promote and enhance the security of high-risk chemical facilities; the PSP is one element of the larger CFATS Program. To prevent a significant threat to a facility or loss of life, a high-risk chemical facility will be contacted where appropriate and in accordance with federal law and policy, and per law enforcement and intelligence requirements.

### The Department’s Methodology in Estimating the Burden for the CFATS PSP

This 30-day notice relies on the analysis and resulting burden estimates in the 60-day notice for this instrument.

#### Analysis

**Title:** Chemical Facility Anti-Terrorism Standards (CFATS) Personnel Surety Program.

**OMB Number:** 1670–0029.

**Instrument:** CFATS Personnel Surety Program.

**Frequency:** “Other.”

**Affected Public:** Business or other for-profit.

**Number of Annual Respondents:** 72,607 respondents (estimate).

**Estimated Time per Respondent:** 0.1667 hours (10 minutes).

**Total Annual Burden Hours:** 12,101 hours.

**Total Annual Burden Cost (capital/startup):** \$1,719,409.

**Total Annual Burden Cost:** \$955,191.

**Total Recordkeeping Burden:** \$0.

**David Epperson,**

*Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2018–12523 Filed 6–15–18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110–9P–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

**[FWS–R8–ES–2018–N009;**

**FXES11130800000–189–FF08E00000]**

### Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Initiation of 5-Year Status Reviews of 50 Species in California, Nevada, and the Klamath Basin of Oregon

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of initiation of reviews; request for information.

**SUMMARY:** We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), are initiating 5-year status reviews of 50 species in California, Nevada, and the Klamath Basin of Oregon under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). A 5-year review is based on the best scientific and commercial data available at the time of the review; therefore, we are requesting submission of any new information on these species that has become available since the last review.

**DATES:** To ensure consideration in our reviews, we are requesting submission of new information no later than August 17, 2018. However, we will continue to accept new information about any species at any time.

**ADDRESSES:** For how and where to send information, see Request for New Information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For whom to contact for species-specific information, see Request for New Information. Individuals who are hearing impaired or speech impaired may call the Federal Relay Service at 800–877–8337 for TTY assistance.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Why do we conduct 5-year reviews?

Under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act; 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), we maintain lists of endangered and threatened wildlife and plant species (referred to as the List) in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at 50 CFR 17.11 (for wildlife) and 17.12 (for plants). Section 4(c)(2)(A) of the Act requires us to review each listed species’ status at least once every 5 years. For additional information about 5-year reviews, refer to our factsheet at <http://www.fws.gov/endangered/what-we-do/recovery-overview.html>.

#### What information do we consider in our review?

A 5-year review considers all new information available at the time of the review. In conducting these reviews, we consider the best scientific and commercial data that have become available since the listing determination or most recent status review, such as:

(A) Species biology, including but not limited to population trends, distribution, abundance, demographics, and genetics;

(B) Habitat conditions, including but not limited to amount, distribution, and suitability;

(C) Conservation measures that have been implemented to benefit the species;

(D) Threat status and trends in relation to the five listing factors (as defined in section 4(a)(1) of the Act); and

(E) Other new information, data, or corrections, including but not limited to taxonomic or nomenclatural changes, identification of erroneous information contained in the List, and improved analytical methods.

Any new information will be considered during the 5-year review and will also be useful in evaluating the ongoing recovery programs for the species.

#### Which species are under review?

This notice announces our active review of the species listed in the table below.

Common name	Scientific name	Status	States where the species is known to occur	Final Listing rule (Federal Register citation and publication date)	Lead Fish and Wildlife Office
<b>Animals</b>					
Butterfly, Lange's metalmark.	<i>Apodemia mormo langei</i> .	Endangered .....	California .....	41 FR 22041 22044; 6/1/1976.	San Francisco Bay-Delta Fish and Wildlife Office.
Butterfly, Smith's blue	<i>Euphilotes enoptes smithi</i> .	Endangered .....	California .....	41 FR 22041 22044; 6/1/1976.	Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office.
Cuckoo, yellow-billed	<i>Coccyzus americanus</i>	Threatened .....	Western U.S. distinct population segment (DPS): Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Texas, Utah, Washington, Wyoming, as well as Canada and Mexico.	79 FR 59991 60038; 10/03/2014.	Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office.
Frog, California red-legged.	<i>Rana draytonii</i> .....	Threatened .....	California .....	61 FR 25813 25833; 5/23/1996.	Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office.
Frog, mountain yellow-legged.	<i>Rana muscosa</i> .....	Endangered .....	Southern California DPS: California .....	67 FR 44382 44392; 7/2/2002.	Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office.
Goby, tidewater .....	<i>Eucyclogobius newberryi</i> .	Endangered .....	California .....	59 FR 5494 5499; 2/4/1994.	Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office.
Kangaroo rat, Stephens'.	<i>Dipodomys stephensi</i> (incl. <i>D. cascus</i> ).	Endangered .....	California .....	53 FR 38465 38469; 9/30/1988.	Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office.
Mountain beaver, Point Arena.	<i>Aplodontia rufa nigra</i>	Endangered .....	California .....	56 FR 64716 64723; 12/12/1991.	Arcata Fish and Wildlife Office.
Mouse, Pacific pocket	<i>Perognathus longimembris pacificus</i> .	Endangered .....	California .....	59 FR 49752 49764; 9/29/1994.	Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office.
Plover, western snowy.	<i>Charadrius alexandrinus nivosus</i> .	Threatened .....	Pacific Coast population DPS: California, Oregon, Washington, Mexico (within 50 miles of Pacific coast).	58 FR 12864 12874; 3/5/1993.	Arcata Fish and Wildlife Office.
Poolfish, Pahrump .....	<i>Empetrichthys latos</i> ..	Endangered .....	Nevada .....	32 FR 4001; 3/11/1967.	Southern Nevada Fish and Wildlife Office.
Rail, California clapper.	<i>Rallus longirostris obsoletus</i> .	Endangered .....	California .....	35 FR 16047 16048; 10/13/1970.	San Francisco Bay-Delta Fish and Wildlife Office.
Sheep, Sierra Nevada bighorn.	<i>Ovis canadensis sierrae</i> .	Endangered .....	California .....	64 FR 19300 19309; 4/20/1999.	Reno Fish and Wildlife Office.
Skipper, Laguna Mountains.	<i>Pyrgus ruralis lagunae</i> .	Endangered .....	California .....	62 FR 2313 2322; 1/16/1997.	Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office.
Snail, Morro shoulderband (=Banded dune).	<i>Helminthoglypta walkeriana</i> .	Endangered .....	California .....	59 FR 64613 64623; 12/15/1994.	Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office.
Sucker, Lost River .....	<i>Deltistes luxatus</i> .....	Endangered .....	California, Oregon .....	53 FR 27130 27134; 7/18/1988.	Klamath Falls Fish and Wildlife Office.
Sucker, shortnose .....	<i>Chasmistes brevirostris</i> .	Endangered .....	California, Oregon .....	53 FR 27130 27134; 7/18/1988.	Klamath Falls Fish and Wildlife Office.
Tern, California least	<i>Sterna antillarum browni</i> .	Endangered .....	Arizona, California .....	35 FR 8491 8498; 6/2/1970.	Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office.
Towhee, Inyo California.	<i>Pipilo crissalis eremophilus</i> .	Threatened .....	California .....	52 FR 28780 28786; 8/3/1987.	Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office.
<b>Plants</b>					
Bedstraw, El Dorado	<i>Galium californicum</i> ssp. <i>sierrae</i> .	Endangered .....	California .....	61 FR 54346 54358; 10/18/1996.	Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office.
Bedstraw, island .....	<i>Galium buxifolium</i> .....	Endangered .....	California .....	62 FR 40954 40974; 7/31/1997.	Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office.
Bird's-beak, Pennell's	<i>Cordylanthus tenuis</i> ssp. <i>capillaris</i> .	Endangered .....	California .....	60 FR 6671 6685; 2/3/1995.	Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office.
Bluegrass, San Bernardino.	<i>Poa atropurpurea</i> .....	Endangered .....	California .....	63 FR 49006 49022; 9/14/1998.	Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office.
Butterweed, Layne's	<i>Senecio layneae</i> .....	Threatened .....	California .....	61 FR 54346 54358; 10/18/1996.	Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office.
Ceanothus, Pine Hill	<i>Ceanothus roderickii</i>	Endangered .....	California .....	61 FR 54346 54358; 10/18/1996.	Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office.
Checker-mallow, Kenwood Marsh.	<i>Sidalcea oregana</i> ssp. <i>valida</i> .	Endangered .....	California .....	62 FR 54791 54808; 10/22/1997.	Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office.
Clarkia, Vine Hill .....	<i>Clarkia imbricata</i> .....	Endangered .....	California .....	62 FR 54791 54808; 10/22/1997.	Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office.
Crownbeard, big-leaved.	<i>Verbesina dissita</i> .....	Threatened .....	California, Mexico .....	61 FR 52370 52384; 10/7/1996.	Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office.
Evening-primrose, San Benito.	<i>Camissonia benitensis</i> .	Threatened .....	California .....	50 FR 5755 5759; 2/12/1985.	Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office.
Flannelbush, Pine Hill	<i>Fremontodendron californicum</i> ssp. <i>decumbens</i> .	Endangered .....	California .....	61 FR 54346 54358; 10/18/1996.	Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office.
Goldfields, Burke's .....	<i>Lasthenia burkei</i> .....	Endangered .....	California .....	56 FR 61173 61182; 12/2/1991.	Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office.
Larkspur, Baker's .....	<i>Delphinium bakeri</i> .....	Endangered .....	California .....	65 FR 4156 4162; 1/26/2000.	Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office.
Larkspur, yellow .....	<i>Delphinium luteum</i> .....	Endangered .....	California .....	65 FR 4156 4162; 1/26/2000.	Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office.

Common name	Scientific name	Status	States where the species is known to occur	Final Listing rule (Federal Register citation and publication date)	Lead Fish and Wildlife Office
Lily, Pitkin Marsh .....	<i>Lilium pardalinum</i> ssp. <i>pitkinense</i> .	Endangered .....	California .....	62 FR 54791 54808; 10/22/1997.	Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office.
Lily, Western .....	<i>Lilium occidentale</i> .....	Endangered .....	California, Oregon .....	59 FR 42171 42176; 8/17/1994.	Arcata Fish and Wildlife Office.
Lupine, Nipomo Mesa	<i>Lupinus nipomensis</i> ..	Endangered .....	California .....	65 FR 14888 14898; 3/20/2000.	Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office.
Meadowfoam, Sebastopol.	<i>Limnanthes vincularis</i>	Endangered .....	California .....	56 FR 61173 61182; 12/2/1991.	Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office.
Milk-vetch, Apple-gate's.	<i>Astragalus applegatei</i>	Endangered .....	California, Oregon .....	58 FR 40547 40551; 7/28/1993.	Klamath Falls Fish and Wildlife Office.
Milk-vetch, Clara Hunt's.	<i>Astragalus clarianus</i>	Endangered .....	California .....	62 FR 54791 54808; 10/22/1997.	Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office.
Milk-vetch, Peirson's.	<i>Astragalus magdalenae</i> var. <i>peirsonii</i> .	Threatened .....	California .....	63 FR 53596 53615; 10/6/1998.	Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office.
Morning-glory, Stebbins'.	<i>Calystegia stebbinsii</i>	Endangered .....	California .....	61 FR 54346 54358; 10/18/1996.	Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office.
Mountainbalm, Indian Knob.	<i>Eriodictyon altissimum</i> .	Endangered .....	California .....	59 FR 64613 64623; 12/15/1994.	Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office.
Penny-cress, Kneeland Prairie.	<i>Thlaspi californicum</i> ..	Endangered .....	California .....	65 FR 6332 6338; 2/9/2000.	Arcata Fish and Wildlife Office.
Phlox, Yreka .....	<i>Phlox hirsuta</i> .....	Endangered .....	California .....	65 FR 5268 5275; 2/3/2000.	Yreka Fish and Wildlife Office.
Rush-rose, island .....	<i>Helianthemum Greenei</i> .	Threatened .....	California .....	62 FR 40954 40974; 7/31/1997.	Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office.
Sedge, white .....	<i>Carex albida</i> .....	Endangered .....	California .....	62 FR 54791 54808; 10/22/1997.	Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office.
Spineflower, Howell's	<i>Chorizanthe howellii</i> ..	Endangered .....	California .....	57 FR 27848 27859; 6/22/1992.	Arcata Fish and Wildlife Office.
Spineflower, Scotts Valley.	<i>Chorizanthe robusta</i> var. <i>hartwegii</i> .	Endangered .....	California .....	59 FR 5499 5510; 2/4/1994.	Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office.
Sunshine, Sonoma ....	<i>Blennosperma bakeri</i>	Endangered .....	California .....	56 FR 61173 61182; 12/2/1991.	Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office.
Thistle, La Graciosa ..	<i>Cirsium loncholepis</i> ...	Endangered .....	California .....	65 FR 14888 14898; 3/20/2000.	Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office.

### What is a Distinct Population Segment?

Yellow-billed cuckoo, mountain yellow-legged frog, and western snowy plover were each listed as a Distinct Population Segment (DPS) of a vertebrate taxon. A DPS is defined in the February 7, 1996, Policy Regarding the Recognition of Distinct Vertebrate Population Segments (61 FR 4722). For a population to be listed under the Act as a distinct vertebrate population segment, three elements are considered: (1) The discreteness of the population segment in relation to the remainder of the species to which it belongs; (2) the significance of the population segment to the species to which it belongs; and (3) the population segment's conservation status in relation to the Act's standards for listing (*i.e.*, is the population segment endangered or threatened?). Distinct population segments of vertebrate species, as well as subspecies of all listed species, may be proposed for separate reclassification or for removal from the list. We will apply the DPS policy during the 5-year review.

### Request for New Information

To ensure that a 5-year review is complete and based on the best available scientific and commercial information, we request new

information from all sources. See What Information Do We Consider in Our Review? for specific criteria. If you submit information, please support it with documentation such as maps, bibliographic references, methods used to gather and analyze the data, and/or copies of any pertinent publications, reports, or letters by knowledgeable sources.

To get more information on a species, submit information on a species; or review information we receive, please use the following contact information, depending on the Lead Fish and Wildlife Office for the species specified in the table above.

**Arcata Fish and Wildlife Office:** Kathleen Brubaker, 707-822-7201 (phone); [Kathleen\\_brubaker@fws.gov](mailto:Kathleen_brubaker@fws.gov) (email); or 1655 Heindon Road, Arcata, CA 952121 (U.S. mail, hand-delivery, or in-person review of documents);

**Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office:** Bradd Baskerville-Bridges, 760-431-9440 (phone); [fw8cfwocomments@fws.gov](mailto:fw8cfwocomments@fws.gov) (email); or 2177 Salk Avenue, Suite 250, Carlsbad, CA 92008 (U.S. mail, hand-delivery, or in-person review of documents);

**Klamath Falls Fish and Wildlife Office:** Daniel Blake, 541-885-2512 (phone); [daniel\\_blake@fws.gov](mailto:daniel_blake@fws.gov) (email); or 1936 California Ave., Klamath Falls,

OR 97601 (U.S. mail, hand-delivery, or in-person review of documents);

**Reno Fish and Wildlife Office:** Erin Nordin, 760-872-5020 (phone); [erin\\_nordin@fws.gov](mailto:erin_nordin@fws.gov) (email); or 351 Pacu Lane, Bishop, California 93514 (U.S. mail, hand-delivery, or in-person review of documents);

**Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office:** Josh Hull, 916-414-6742 (phone); [josh\\_hull@fws.gov](mailto:josh_hull@fws.gov) (email); or 2800 Cottage Way, Suite W2605, Sacramento, California 95825 (U.S. mail, hand-delivery, or in-person review of documents);

**San Francisco Bay-Delta Fish and Wildlife Office:** Steven Detwiler, 916-930-2640 (phone); [steven\\_detwiler@fws.gov](mailto:steven_detwiler@fws.gov) (email); or 650 Capitol Mall, Sacramento, CA 95814 (U.S. mail, hand-delivery, or in-person review of documents);

**Southern Nevada Fish and Wildlife Office:** James Harter, 702-515-5230 (phone); [james\\_harter@fws.gov](mailto:james_harter@fws.gov) (email); or 4701 N. Torrey Pines Dr., Las Vegas, NV 89130 (U.S. mail, hand-delivery, or in-person review of documents);

**Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office:** Cat Darst, 805-677-3318 (phone); [cat\\_darst@fws.gov](mailto:cat_darst@fws.gov) (email); or 2493 Portola Road, Suite B, Ventura CA 93003 (U.S. mail, hand-delivery, or in-person review of documents);

*Yreka Fish and Wildlife Office:*  
Nadine Kanim, 530-841-3108 (phone);  
*nadine\_kanim@fws.gov* (email); or 1829  
S Oregon Street, Yreka, California 96097  
(U.S. mail, hand-delivery, or in-person  
review of documents).

#### Public Availability of Comments

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Comments and materials received will be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at the offices to which the comments are submitted.

#### Authority

This document is published under the authority of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

**Jody Holzworth,**

*Acting Regional Director, Pacific Southwest Region, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.*

[FR Doc. 2018-12974 Filed 6-15-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4333-15-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

[NPS-WASO-NAGPRA-NPS0025528;  
PPWOCRADN0-PCU00RP14.R50000]

#### Notice of Inventory Completion: Heard Museum, Phoenix, AZ

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.  
**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Heard Museum has completed an inventory of human remains, in consultation with the appropriate Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian organizations, and has determined that there is a cultural affiliation between the human remains and present-day Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian organizations. Lineal descendants or representatives of any Indian Tribe or Native Hawaiian organization not identified in this notice that wish to request transfer of control of these human remains should submit a written request to the Heard Museum. If no additional requestors come forward, transfer of control of the human remains to the lineal descendants, Indian Tribes, or Native

Hawaiian organizations stated in this notice may proceed.

**DATES:** Lineal descendants or representatives of any Indian Tribe or Native Hawaiian organization not identified in this notice that wish to request transfer of control of these human remains should submit a written request with information in support of the request to the Heard Museum at the address in this notice by July 18, 2018.

**ADDRESSES:** David Roche, Director/CEO, Heard Museum, 2301 North Central Avenue, Phoenix, AZ 85004, telephone (602) 252-8840, email *director@heard.org*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is here given in accordance with the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), 25 U.S.C. 3003, of the completion of an inventory of human remains under the control of the Heard Museum, Phoenix, AZ. The human remains were removed from Navajo County, AZ.

This notice is published as part of the National Park Service's administrative responsibilities under NAGPRA, 25 U.S.C. 3003(d)(3). The determinations in this notice are the sole responsibility of the museum, institution, or Federal agency that has control of the Native American human remains. The National Park Service is not responsible for the determinations in this notice.

#### Consultation

A detailed assessment of the human remains was made by the Heard Museum professional staff in consultation with representatives of the Hopi Tribe of Arizona and Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico.

#### History and Description of the Remains

Sometime prior to 1991, human remains representing, at minimum, one individual were removed from Navajo County, AZ. In 1991, the human remains were found in museum storage and assigned catalog number NA-SW-PR-T-5. The individual was an adult aged 18-20 years, of unknown gender. No known individuals were identified. No associated funerary objects were present. A note with the remains identified it as "Pueblo."

#### Determinations Made by the Heard Museum

Officials of the Heard Museum have determined that:

- Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(9), the human remains described in this notice represent the physical remains of one individuals of Native American ancestry.
- Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(2), there is a relationship of shared group

identity that can be reasonably traced between the Native American human remains and Hopi Tribe of Arizona and Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico.

#### Additional Requestors and Disposition

Lineal descendants or representatives of any Indian Tribe or Native Hawaiian organization not identified in this notice that wish to request transfer of control of these human remains should submit a written request with information in support of the request to David Roche, Director/CEO, Heard Museum, 2301 North Central Avenue, Phoenix, AZ 85004, telephone (602) 252-8840, email *director@heard.org*, by July 18, 2018. After that date, if no additional requestors have come forward, transfer of control of the human remains to the Hopi Tribe of Arizona; Pueblo of Acoma, New Mexico; Pueblo of Laguna, New Mexico; and Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico may proceed.

The Heard Museum is responsible for notifying the Hopi Tribe of Arizona and Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico that this notice has been published.

Dated: May 2, 2018.

**Melanie O'Brien,**

*Manager, National NAGPRA Program.*

[FR Doc. 2018-13034 Filed 6-15-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-52-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

[NPS-WASO-NAGPRA-NPS0025638;  
PPWOCRADN0-PCU00RP14.R50000]

#### Notice of Inventory Completion: La Plata County Historical Society, Durango, CO; Correction

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.  
**ACTION:** Notice; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The La Plata County Historical Society has corrected a Notice of Inventory Completion published in the **Federal Register** on April 4, 2018. This notice corrects a paragraph that contains an error.

**ADDRESSES:** Kathy McKenzie, Board President, La Plata County Historical Society, 3065 W 2nd Avenue, Durango, CO 81301, telephone (970) 259-2402, email *director@animasmuseum.org*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice is published as part of the National Park Service's administrative responsibilities under NAGPRA, 25 U.S.C. 3003(d)(3). The determinations in this notice are the sole responsibility of the museum, institution, or Federal