

### Blades Placed in Service After the Effective Date of This AD

(j) For blades being installed after the effective date of this AD that were not previously inspected using Hamilton Sundstrand ASB No. 14RF-9-61-A143, dated November 21, 2005; or Revision 1, dated December 5, 2005; or ASB No. 14RF-9-61-A144, dated February 27, 2006; or ASB No. 14RF-9-61-A145, dated April 13, 2006, do the following:

(1) Before installing the blade, perform initial visual, feeler gage, and tap test inspections for delamination. Use paragraph 3. of the Accomplishment Instructions of Hamilton Sundstrand ASB No. 14RF-9-61-A146, dated April 3, 2006, to do the inspection.

(2) Perform a 2nd inspection for delamination at least 7 days after installing the blade, but no later than 60 days after the initial inspection. Use paragraph 3. of the Accomplishment Instructions of Hamilton Sundstrand ASB No. 14RF-9-61-A146, dated April 3, 2006, to do the inspection.

### Blades That Fail Inspection

(k) Before further flight, remove propeller blades from service that fail inspection.

### Blade Removal From Service

(l) By March 1, 2007, remove from service all blades of the “+E” repair configuration having SNs below 885751.

(m) After March 1, 2007, do not install any blades of the “+E” repair configuration having SNs below 885751, onto any propeller.

(n) Hamilton Sundstrand ASB No. 14RF-9-61-A147, dated April 19, 2006, contains information on upgrading the removed blades to the “+E2” repair configuration.

### Inspection Reporting Requirement

(o) Within 10 days after each blade inspection, record the inspection data on a copy of the data sheet. The data sheet is on page 10 of ASB No. 14RF-9-61-A145, dated April 13, 2006, and ASB No. 14RF-9-61-A146, dated April 3, 2006. Report the inspection data to Hamilton Sundstrand, fax (800) 654-5107, and Boston Aircraft Certification Office, fax (781) 238-7170. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approved the reporting requirements and assigned OMB control number 2120-0056.

### Alternative Methods of Compliance

(p) The Manager, Boston Aircraft Certification Office, has the authority to approve alternative methods of compliance for this AD if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

### Related Information

(q) Hamilton Sundstrand ASB No. 14RF-9-61-A143, dated November 21, 2005; ASB No. 14RF-9-61-A143, Revision 1, dated December 5, 2005; and ASB No. 14RF-9-61-A144, dated February 27, 2006, pertain to the subject of this AD.

### Material Incorporated by Reference

(r) You must use the Hamilton Sundstrand service information specified in Table 1 of this AD to perform the inspections and blade removals required by this AD. The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of this service information in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Contact the Hamilton Sundstrand Propeller Technical Team, One Hamilton Road, Mail Stop 1-3-AB43, Windsor Locks, CT 06096-1010, USA.; fax 1-860-654-5107, for a copy of this service information. You may review copies at the Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, Room PL-401, Washington, DC 20590-0001, on the internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>, or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202-741-6030, or go to: <http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations.html>.

TABLE 1.—INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

Alert service bulletin No.	Page	Revision	Date
14RF-9-61-A145; Total Pages: 10 .....	All .....	Original .....	April 13, 2006.
14RF-9-61-A146; Total Pages: 10 .....	All .....	Original .....	April 3, 2006.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on May 5, 2006.

**Thomas A. Boudraeu,**

*Acting Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*  
[FR Doc. 06-4390 Filed 5-11-06; 8:45am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 95

[Docket No. 30495; Amdt. No. 461]

### IFR Altitudes; Miscellaneous Amendments

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule

**SUMMARY:** This amendment adopts miscellaneous amendments to the required IFR (instrument flight rules) altitudes and changeover points for certain Federal airways, jet routes, or direct routes for which a minimum or maximum en route authorized IFR altitude is prescribed. This regulatory

action is needed because of changes occurring in the National Airspace System. These changes are designed to provide for the safe and efficient use of the navigable airspace under instrument conditions in the affected areas.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* 0901 UTC, June 8, 2006.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Donald P. Pate, Flight Procedure Standards Branch (AMCAFS-420), Flight Technologies and Programs Division, Flight Standards Service, Federal Aviation Administration, Mike Monroney Aeronautical Center, 6500 South MacArthur Blvd., Oklahoma City, OK 73169 (Mail Address: P.O. Box 25082, Oklahoma City, OK 73125) telephone: (405) 954-4164.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This amendment to part 95 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 95) amends, suspends, or revokes IFR altitudes governing the operation of all aircraft in flight over a specified route or any portion of that route, as well as the changeover points (COPs) for Federal airways, jet routes, or direct routes as prescribed in part 95.

### The Rule

The specified IFR altitudes, when used in conjunction with the prescribed changeover points for those routes, ensure navigation aid coverage that is adequate for safe flight operations and free of frequency interference. The reasons and circumstances that create the need for this amendment involve matters of flight safety and operational efficiency in the National Airspace System, are related to published aeronautical charts that are essential to the user, and provide for the safe and efficient use of the navigable airspace. In addition, those various reasons or circumstances require making this amendment effective before the next scheduled charting and publication date of the flight information to assure its timely availability to the user. The effective date of this amendment reflects those considerations. In view of the close and immediate relationship between these regulatory changes and safety in air commerce, I find that notice and public procedure before adopting this amendment are impracticable and contrary to the public interest and that good cause exists for making the

amendment effective in less than 30 days.

### Conclusion

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated

impact is so minimal. For the same reason, the FAA certifies that this amendment will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 95

Airspace, Navigation (air).

Issued in Washington, DC on May 9, 2006.

**James J. Ballough,**

*Director, Flight Standards Service.*

### Adoption of the Amendment

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator,

part 95 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 95) is amended as follows effective at 0901 UTC, February 16, 2006.

### PART 95—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 95 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40106, 40113, 40114, 40120, 44502, 44514, 44719, 44721.

■ 2. Part 95 is amended to read as follows:

### REVISIONS TO IFR ALTITUDES AND CHANGEOVER POINTS

[Amendment 461 effective date, June 08, 2006]

From		To		MEA			
§ 95.1001 Direct Routes—U.S. Color Routes							
§ 95.4 Green Federal Airway G7 Is Amended To Delete							
Norton Bay, AK NDB .....		Bishop, AK NDB .....		5800			
Bishop, AK NDB .....		Birch, AK LF INT .....		5800			
* 5000—MOCA							
Birch, AK LF INT .....		Nenas AK LF INT .....		4100			
Nenas, AK LF INT .....		Chena, AK NDB .....		4100			
§ 95.4 Green Federal Airway G7 Is Amended To Read In Part							
Gambell, AK NDB/DME .....		Fort Davis, AK NDB .....		3000			
§ 95.20 Red Federal Airway R4 Is Added To Read							
Bear Creek, AK NDB .....		Chena, AK NDB .....		5000			
§ 95.2 Red Federal Airway R50 Is Amended To Delete							
Anvik, AK NDB/DME .....		Bishop, AK NDB .....		4800			
Bishop, AK NDB .....		Bear Creek, AK NDB .....		6000			
Bear Creek, AK NDB .....		Chena, AK NDB .....		5000			
§ 95.60 Blue Federal Airway B4 Is Amended To Delete							
Bishop, AK NDB .....		Utopia Creek, AK NDB .....		*7000			
* 5900—MOCA							
§ 95.60 Blue Federal Airway B12 Is Amended To Delete							
Takotna River, AK NDB .....		Bishop, AK NDB .....		* 6000			
* 5500—MOCA							
Bishop, AK NDB .....		Hotham, AK NDB .....		* 6000			
* 5300—MOCA							
From		To		MEA	MAA		
§ 95.4000 High Altitude RNAV Routes							
§ 95.4210 RNAV Route T210 Is Added To Read							
Taylor, FL VORTAC .....		Brado, FL FIX .....		1900	9000		
From/To		Total distance	Changeover distance	Point from	Track angle	MEA	MAA
§ 95.5000 Ground-Based High Altitude RNAV Routes							
J804R							
Anchorage, AK VOR/DME .....		61.2	.....	.....	.....	18000	45000
Nowel, AK .....			.....	.....	130/310 to Nowel .....	.....	.....
Nowel, AK .....		94.0	.....	.....	.....	18000	45000

From/To	Total distance	Changeover distance	Point from	Track angle	MEA	MAA
Middleton Island, AK VOR/DME .....				132/312 to Middleton Island.		
Middleton Island, AK VOR/DME .....	170.9	121.0	Middleton Island	121/301 to Cop .....	24000	45000
Snout, AK .....				121/301 to Snout .....		
Snout, AK .....	196.9	65.0	Snout .....	125/305 to Cop .....	24000	45000
Eeden, AK .....				125/305 to Eeden .....		
Eeden, AK .....	153.9	112.0	Eeden .....	130/310 to Cop .....	24000	45000
Fried, AK .....				130/310 to Fried .....		
From	To				MEA	

**§ 95.6001 Victor Routes—U.S.**  
**§ 95.6190 VOR Federal Airway V190 Is Amended To Read in Part**

Peaks, AZ FIX .....	Teddi, AZ FIX .....	
	NE BND .....	13000
	SW BND .....	10000
Teddi, AZ FIX .....	* Salts, AZ FIX .....	** 13000
* 14000—MRA		
** 9700—MOCA		

From	Airway Segment		Changeover Points	
	To	Distance	From	

**§ 95.8003 VOR Federal Airway Changeover Points**  
**§ 95.803 VOR Is Amended To Modify Changeover Point**

Phoenix, AZ VORTAC .....	St Johns, AZ VORTAC .....	67	Phoenix.
--------------------------	---------------------------	----	----------

[FR Doc. 06–4477 Filed 5–11–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Bureau of Industry and Security

#### 15 CFR Parts 756 and 766

[Docket No. 060320077–6077–01]

RIN 0694–AD60

#### Revised Appeal Procedure for Persons Designated as Related Persons to Denial Orders

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Industry and Security, Commerce.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This rule revises Section 766.23(c) of the Export Administration Regulations (EAR) to make the appeal procedure for any person named as a related person to the respondent in an order denying export privileges identical to the appeal procedure for the respondent in that order.

**DATES:** This rule is effective May 12, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** William Arvin, Regulatory Policy Division, Bureau of Industry and Security, e-mail [warvin@bis.doc.gov](mailto:warvin@bis.doc.gov), fax 202–484–3355, tel. 202–484–2440.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

Section 766.23 of the EAR sets forth the process for making applicable to a related person an order denying export privileges issued pursuant to any provision of part 766 of the EAR. Specifically, Section 766.23(a) provides that “[i]n order to prevent evasion,” orders denying export privileges may be made applicable to “persons then or thereafter related to the respondent [in a denial order] by ownership, control, position of responsibility, affiliation, or other connection in the conduct of trade or business.” Section 766.23 may be used to make applicable to a related person an order denying export privileges issued pursuant to any provision of part 766. Prior to publication of this rule, Section 766.23(c) provided that any person named by BIS as a related person “may file an appeal with the administrative law judge.” Section 766.23(c) further provided that “[t]he recommended decision and order of the administrative law judge shall be reviewed by the Under Secretary in accordance with the procedures set forth in Section 766.22 of this part.” This rule amends Section 766.23(c) of the EAR to provide that an administrative law judge’s decision pertaining to a related person to whom an order issued pursuant to Section 766.25 has been made applicable may be appealed to the Under Secretary for Industry and Security under part 756.

This rule revises Section 766.23(c) to eliminate three procedural inconsistencies involving: Appeal by a related person of an order imposed under Section 766.25 for violation of a specified statute; a decision by the administrative law judge that makes an order issued for a violation related to part 760 applicable to a related person; or an order issued pursuant to Section 766.24 to prevent an imminent violation of the Export Administration Act, the EAR, or any order, license or authorization issued thereunder.

#### Changes Made By This Rule

Section 766.25 provides the procedure for imposing denials of export privileges for up to ten years for persons convicted of violations of statutes specified in Section 11(h) for the Export Administration Act. Prior to publication of this rule, a person named as a related person to an order issued pursuant to Section 766.25 had a right of appeal to the administrative law judge. In contrast, the respondent in such an order had a right of appeal to the Under Secretary under part 756 of the EAR. These separate and distinct appeals procedures could unnecessarily bifurcate administrative proceedings. Therefore, this rule amends Section 756.1 by including as a type of action appealable under part 756 appeals from actions making an order issued under Section 766.25 applicable to a related person.