

*Contact Person:* James P. Harwood, Ph.D., Scientific Review Administrator, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 5168, MSC 7840, Bethesda, MD 20892. 301-435-1256. [harwoodj@csr.nih.gov](mailto:harwoodj@csr.nih.gov).

*Name of Committee:* Cell Biology Integrated Review Group, Biology and Diseases of the Posterior Eye.

*Date:* June 19–20, 2006.

*Time:* 8 a.m. 5:30 p.m.

*Agenda:* To review and evaluate grant applications.

*Place:* Hyatt Regency Bethesda, One Bethesda Metro Center, 7400 Wisconsin Avenue, Bethesda, MD 20814.

*Contact Person:* Michael H. Chaitin, Ph.D., Scientific Review Administrator, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 5202, MSC 7850, Bethesda, MD 20892. (301) 435-0910. [chaitinm@csr.nih.gov](mailto:chaitinm@csr.nih.gov).

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.306, Comparative Medicine; 93.333, Clinical Research, 93.306, 93.333, 93.337, 93.393–93.396, 93.837–93.844, 93.846–93.878, 93.892, 93.893, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: April 27, 2006.

**David Clary,**

*Acting Director, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.*

[FR Doc. 06-4215 Filed 5-4-06; 8:45am]

**BILLING CODE 4140-01-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

[USCG-2006-24627]

#### Finding of No Significant Impact for the Installation and Operation of the Integrated Anti-Swimmer System

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) is issuing this notice to advise the public that it has issued a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Installation and Operation of the Integrated Anti-Swimmer System, effective 4 April 2006, for the Final Environmental Assessment that evaluated the proposed action. This notice is issued in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4371 *et seq.*) and its implementing regulations 40 CFR 1500 through 1508.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the FONSI are available for review at the following locations and by the following methods:

(1) Electronic request to Mr. Kenneth McDaniel at [KMcdaniel@comdt.uscg.mil](mailto:KMcdaniel@comdt.uscg.mil).

(2) Delivery request to Commandant, United States Coast Guard, Office of

Security and Defense Operations (G-RPD), 2100 Second St., SW., Rm. 3121, Washington, DC 20593, Attn: K. McDaniel.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Commandant, United States Coast Guard, Office of Security and Defense Operations (G-RPD), 2100 Second St., SW., Rm. 3121, Washington, DC 20593, Attn: K. McDaniel.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The USCG has completed and issued its Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Installation and Operation of the Integrated Anti-Swimmer System (IAS). The FONSI sets out the USCG's consideration of environmental and other factors and is based on the Final Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) for the Installation and Operation of the IAS. The FONSI records the USCG's determination that the Proposed Action has no significant impact on the environment. Therefore, preparation of an environmental impact statement is not necessary.

The PEA and FONSI were prepared in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 (Section 102(2)(c)) and its implementing regulations at Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 1500 through 1508. The Coast Guard evaluated the environmental and socioeconomic impacts of the Proposed Action in the PEA.

The PEA presents the purpose and need for the project, identifies and examines reasonable alternatives and assesses their potential impact to the environment. The PEA's analysis of alternatives to the proposed project includes the No-Action Alternative and assesses potential impacts associated with the proposed installation and operation of the IAS.

Mitigation measures intended to minimize potential environmental impacts are identified in the FONSI and would become part of the IAS training and deployment standard operating procedures. There are no environmental impacts associated with the preferred alternative that cannot be mitigated below significance thresholds described in the PEA.

Dated: May 1, 2006.

**S.M. Burke,**

*Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Deputy Chief, Office of Security and Defense Operations.*

[FR Doc. E6-6874 Filed 5-4-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-15-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Extension of an Existing Information Collection; Comment Request

**ACTION:** 30-day notice of information collection under review: Application for Naturalization; Form N-400. OMB Control No. 1615-0052.

The Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on February 28, 2006, at 71 FR 10047. The notice allowed for a 60-day public comment period. No public comments were received on this information collection.

The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comments. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until June 5, 2006. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), USCIS, Director, Regulatory Management Division, Clearance Office, 111 Massachusetts Avenue, 3rd floor, Washington, DC 20529. Comments may also be submitted to DHS via facsimile to 202-272-8352 or via e-mail at [rfs.regs@dhs.gov](mailto:rfs.regs@dhs.gov). When submitting comments by e-mail please make sure to add OMB Control No. 1615-0052 in the subject box. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved information collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Application for Naturalization.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Homeland Security sponsoring the collection:* Form N-400. U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Individuals or Households. The USCIS uses the information collected to determine eligibility for naturalization.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* 700,000 responses at 6 hours and 8 minutes (6.13) per response.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* 4,291,000 annual burden hours.

If you have additional comments, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information, please visit the USCIS Web site at: <http://uscis.gov/graphics/formsfee/forms/pna/index.htm>.

If additional information is required contact: USCIS, Regulatory Management Division, 111 Massachusetts Avenue, 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20529 (202) 272-8377.

Dated: May 2, 2006.

**Richard A. Sloan,**

Director, Regulatory Management Division,  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services.  
[FR Doc. E6-6858 Filed 5-4-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-10-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-5043-N-04]

### Notice of Proposed Information Collection for Public Comment on the Quality Control for Rental Assistance Subsidy Determinations

**AGENCY:** Office of Policy Development and Research, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The proposed information collection requirement described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

**DATES:** Comments Due Date: July 5, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: Reports Liaison Officer, Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., Room 8226, Washington, DC 20410.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Yves Djoko, Economic Development and Public Finance Division, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., Room 8234, Washington, DC 20410; telephone 202-708-0426, extension 5851 (not a toll-free number). Copies of the proposed forms and other available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Mr. Djoko.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department will submit the proposed information collection to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35, as amended). The Department is required by the Improper Payments Act of 2002 to submit annual reports on improper payments associated with its assisted housing programs. The information must meet statistical accuracy tests and requires on-site file reviews and tenant interviews that cannot be accomplished with remote monitoring or HUD data systems. This Notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including if the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology that will reduce respondent

burden (e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses).

This notice also provides the following information:

*Title of Proposal:* Quality Control for Rental Assistance subsidy Determinations.

*Description of the Need for Information and Proposed Use:* The Department is conducting under contract a study to update its estimates of the extent and type of errors associated with income, rent, and subsidy determinations for the 4.3 million households covered by the Public Housing and Section 8 housing subsidies. The Quality Control process involves selecting a nationally representative sample of assisted households to measure the extent and types of errors in rent and income determinations, which in turn cause subsidy errors. On-site tenant interviews, file reviews, third-party income verifications, and income matching with other Federal data are conducted. The data obtained are used to identify the most serious problems and their associated costs. HUD program officers are then responsible for designing and implementing corrective actions. In addition to providing current estimates of error, results will be compared with those from previous years' studies. These comparisons will indicate whether corrective actions initiated since the 2000 study have been effective and if changes in priorities are needed.

The first QC study was completed in 1996 and found that about one-half of the errors measured using on-site tenant interviews and file reviews could not be detected with the 50058/50059 from data collected by the Department, which is why HUD and other agencies with means-tested programs have determined that on-site reviews and interviews are an essential complement to remote monitoring measures. The 2000 study showed that the calculation errors detectable with 50058/50059 data had decreased, probably because this information was increasingly subject to automated computational checks. HUD has initiated a program of corrective actions and increased monitoring since 2000, and the 2003 and 2004 studies of tenant certification and recertification actions showed significant error reductions in income and rent determinations. The results of the 2005 study, which involves data collected in the first half of 2006, are not yet available.

Future studies are planned on an annual basis, as required by legislation. Program monitoring and income matching policies being implemented