

DATES: Written comments must be received by the Office of the Secretary not later than June 30, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be captioned "Consumer Opinion Forum" and e-mailed to cpssc-os@cpssc.gov. Comments may also be sent by facsimile to (301) 504-0127, or by mail to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland 20814.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information about the proposed collection of information call or write Linda L. Glatz, Management and Program Analyst, Office of Planning and Evaluation, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland 20814; (301) 04-7671.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

The Commission is authorized under section 5(a) of the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA), 15 U.S.C. 2054(a), to collect information, conduct research, perform studies and investigations relating to the causes and prevention of deaths, accidents, injuries, illnesses, other health impairments, and economic losses associated with consumer products. Section 5(b) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2054(b), further provides that the Commission may conduct research, studies and investigations on the safety of consumer products or test consumer products and develop product safety test methods and testing devices.

In order to better identify and evaluate the risks of product-related incidents, the Commission staff seeks to solicit consumer opinions and perceptions related to consumer product use, on a voluntary basis, through questions posted on the CPSC's Consumer Opinion Forum on the CPSC Web site, <http://www.cpsc.gov>. Through the forum, consumers will be able to answer questions and provide information regarding their experiences, opinions and/or perceptions on the use or pattern of use of a specific product or type of product. The Consumer Opinion Forum is intended for consumers, 18 years and older, who have access to the Internet and e-mail, who voluntarily register to participate through a participant registration process, and respond to the questions posted in the Consumer Opinion Forum. New questions will be posted periodically on the CPSC Web site, <http://www.cpsc.gov>, and registered participants will be invited via e-mail to respond to various questions, but not

more frequently than once every four weeks.

The information collected from the Consumer Opinion Forum will help inform the Commission's evaluation of consumer products and product use by providing insight and information into consumer perceptions and usage patterns. Such information may also assist the Commission in its efforts to support voluntary standards activities, and help the staff identify areas regarding consumer safety issues that need additional research. In addition, based on the information obtained, the staff may be able to provide safety information to the public that is easier to read and is more easily understood by a wider range of consumers. For example, the staff may be able to propose new language or revisions to existing language in warning labels or manuals if the staff finds that certain warning language is perceived by many participants to be unclear or subject to misinterpretation. Finally, the Consumer Opinion Forum may be used to solicit consumer opinions and feedback regarding the effectiveness of product recall communications and in determining what action is being taken by consumers in response to such communications and why. This may aid in tailoring future recall activities to increase the success of those activities. If this information is not collected, the Commission would not have available useful information regarding consumer experiences, opinions, and perceptions related to specific product use, which the Commission relies on in its ongoing efforts to improve the safety of consumer products on behalf of consumers.

B. Estimated Burden

The Commission staff currently estimates that there may be up to 5,000 respondents who register to participate in the Consumer Opinion Forum. The Commission staff estimates that each respondent will take 10 minutes or less to complete the one-time registration process. The Commission staff further estimates that the amount of time required to respond to each set of questions on the Consumer Opinion Forum will be 15 minutes or less. If, at the maximum, each respondent responds to 12 sets of questions over the course of a year, or once a month, the yearly burden would result in approximately 3 hours per year for each respondent. If as many as 5,000 consumers respond, the Commission staff estimates that the annual burden could total approximately 15,833 hours per year.

The Commission staff estimates the value of the time of respondents to this collection of information at \$28.75 an hour. This is based on the 2005 U.S. Department of Labor Employer Costs for Employee Compensation. At this valuation, the estimated annual cost to the public of this information collection will be about \$455,000 per year.

C. Request for Comments

The Commission solicits written comments from all interested persons about the proposed collection of information. The commission specifically solicits information relevant to the following topics:

- Whether the collection of information described above is necessary for the proper performance of the Commission's functions, including whether the information would have practical utility;
- Whether the estimated burden of the proposed collection of information is accurate;
- Whether the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected could be enhanced; and
- Whether the burden imposed by the collection of information could be minimized by use of automated, electronic or other technological collection techniques, or other forms of information technology.

Dated: April 26, 2006.

Todd A. Stevenson,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 06-4102 Filed 4-28-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

Request for Public Review And Comment of the New Navstar GPS Space Segment/Navigation User Segment L1c (L1 Civil) Interface Specification (IS)

AGENCY: Department of the Air Force.

ACTION: Notice and Request for Review/Comment of new IS-GPS-800.

SUMMARY: This notice informs the public that the Global Positioning System (GPS) Joint Program Office (JPO) proposes to define and implement new L1C signal as specified in IS-GPS-800, Navstar GPS Space Segment/Navigation User L1C Interfaces. This new Interface Specification (IS), IS-GPS-800, provides detailed and necessary information for the new proposed L1C signal which is planned to be broadcast from the next generation of GPS satellites identified as

Block III. The draft IS-GPS-800 was first available to the public for review and comments on 20 April 2006. The review and comment period will be limited to 45 days from the day it is first made available to the public. The draft document will be available for view and for download at the following Web site: <http://gps.losangeles.af.mil>. Click on "System Engineering", then "Public Interface Control Working Group (ICWG)". Reviewers should save the document to a local memory location prior to opening and performing the review. It is requested that any review comments be submitted using the comment matrix form provided at the web site.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments to SMC/GPEE, Attn: Lt Sean Lenahan, 483 N Aviation Blvd, El Segundo, CA 90245-2808, Attn: Lt Sean Lenahan. Comments may also be submitted to either the following Internet addresses: Lawrence.Lenahan@losangeles.af.mil or Hudnut@usgs.gov, or, by fax to 1-310-653-3676.

DATES: The draft IS-GPS-800 will be made available to the public at or about 20 April 2006 and suspense date for comment submittal is 45 days after the release of the document (at or about 24 May 2006).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: GPEE at 1-310-653-3496, GPS JPO System Engineering Division, or write to one of the addresses above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The international position, navigation, and timing communities use the Global Positioning System, which employs a constellation of satellites at Medium Earth Orbit to provide continuously, transmitted signals to enable appropriately configured GPS user equipment to produce accurate position, navigation, and time information.

Bao-Anh Trinh,
Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.
[FR Doc. E6-6498 Filed 4-28-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-05-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers

Upper Columbia Alternative Flood Control and Fish Operations, Libby and Hungry Horse Dams, MT

AGENCY: Corps of Engineers, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of Availability of a Final Environmental Impact Statement.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), Seattle District,

announces the availability of the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for Upper Columbia Alternative Flood Control and Fish Operations. The U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) is a cooperating agency for this FEIS. The document describes and analyzes the environmental impacts of alternative flood control operations at Libby Dam on the Kootenai River and at Hungry Horse Dam on the South Fork Flathead River. Both dams are located in northwestern Montana. The overall goal of the FEIS is to evaluate effects of alternative dam operations to provide better reservoir and flow conditions at and below Libby and Hungry Horse Dams for anadromous and resident fish listed as threatened or endangered under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), consistent with authorized project purposes, including maintaining the current level of flood control benefits. Two new alternatives for Libby Dam were added in the FEIS and the Corps is particularly interested in any comments on those alternatives which are described in Section 2.2 and evaluated in Section 3.3 of the FEIS.

DATES: A Record of Decision (ROD) will be issued by each agency no sooner than May 30, 2006 (the first business day at least 30 days after the Environmental Protection Agency's Notice of Availability for this FEIS in the April 28, 2006, **Federal Register**).

ADDRESSES: The FEIS may be accessed online at <http://www.nws.usace.army.mil/PublicMenu/Menu.cfm?sitename=VARQ&pagename=VARQ>.

Compact discs or hard copies of the entire document or the executive summary are available upon request from the address below. Mail comments relating to the FEIS to Mr. Evan Lewis, Environmental Resources Section, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District, P.O. Box 3755, Seattle, Washington 98124-3755, or submit electronic comments to uceis@usace.army.mil. For electronic comments, please include your name and address in your message. Comments may also be sent via fax to (206) 764-4470.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Evan Lewis at (206) 764-6922, or E-mail: evan.r.lewis@usace.army.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Corps, in cooperation with Reclamation, has prepared an FEIS that considers alternative flood control and fish operations at Libby and Hungry Horse dams in northwestern Montana. The FEIS evaluates an action and a no-action alternative for Hungry Horse Dam (operated by Reclamation), and 5 action

alternatives and a no-action alternative for Libby Dam (operated by the Corps).

Hungry Horse alternatives are:

- **Alternative HS (No Action):** Hungry Horse Dam operations using Standard flood control (FC) with bull trout and salmon augmentation flows. In very general terms, Standard FC operations are based on the principle of providing deep drafts for flood control, then minimizing outflow during the refill period from May through June 30.

- **Alternative HV (Preferred Alternative):** Hungry Horse Dam operations using variable discharge (VARQ) FC to increase the likelihood of refill (store more water) with bull trout and salmon augmentation flows (seasonal flow targets to enhance conditions downstream for these species). This is the current interim operation at Hungry Horse Dam.

Libby Dam alternatives are:

- **Alternative LS1 (No Action):** Libby Dam operations using Standard FC with sturgeon, bull trout, and salmon flow augmentation. Sturgeon flow augmentation would provide tiered sturgeon volumes, as adopted in the 2006 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) Biological Opinion (BiOp) on Libby Dam operations, using a maximum Libby Dam release rate up to the existing powerhouse capacity (about 25,000 cubic feet per second, or 25 kcfs). Dam releases for sturgeon flows would be timed and optimized to provide for temperatures of 50 ° F with no more than a 3.6 ° F drop for all of the Libby alternatives.

- **Alternative LV1:** Libby Dam operations similar to Alternative LS1, but with VARQ FC rather than Standard FC. Alternative LV1 is the current interim operation at Libby Dam.

- **Alternative LS2:** Libby Dam operations similar to Alternative LS1, except that sturgeon flow augmentation would provide tiered sturgeon volumes using a maximum Libby Dam release rate at some level up to 10 kcfs above the approximately 25 kcfs powerhouse capacity. Alternative LS2 does not identify a specific mechanism to achieve the 10 kcfs of additional flow and the corresponding analysis presumes that the full 10 kcfs of flow above powerhouse capacity would be provided for all sturgeon flow augmentation events, except when limited to avoid exceeding flood stage of 1,764 feet at Bonners Ferry, Idaho. Therefore, it portrays the maximum extent of impacts associated with these flows.

- **Alternative LV2:** Libby Dam operations similar to Alternative LV1, except that sturgeon flow augmentation would provide tiered sturgeon volumes