

Average Hours Per Response: 8 minutes for a telephone interview; 5 minutes for a dockside interview; 2 minutes to respond to a follow-up validation call for dockside interviews; 1 minute for a biological sampling of catch; 28 minutes for a headboat effort and catch survey; and 6 minutes for North Carolina winter bluefin tuna dockside interview.

Needs and Uses: The Large Pelagic Fishing Survey consists of dockside and telephone surveys of recreational anglers for large pelagic fish (tunas, sharks, and billfish) in the Atlantic Ocean. The survey provides the National Marine Fisheries Service with information to monitor catch of bluefin tuna and marlin. The catch monitoring in these fisheries and collection of catch and effort statistics for all pelagic fish is required under the Atlantic Tunas Convention Act and the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act. The information collected is essential for the U.S. to meet its reporting obligations to the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tuna.

Affected Public: Individuals or households; business or other for-profit organizations.

Frequency: Annually, weekly, and on occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory.

OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker, (202) 395-3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, FAX number (202) 395-7285, or David_Rostker@omb.eop.gov.

Dated: April 24, 2006.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. E6-6386 Filed 4-27-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce has submitted to the Office of Management

and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

Agency: National Institute of Standards and Technology.

Title: Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowship (SURF) Program Student Applicant Information.

Form Number(s): None.

OMB Approval Number: 0693-0042.

Type of Review: Regular submission.

Burden Hours: 400.

Number of Respondents: 200.

Average Hours Per Response: 2.

Needs and Uses: The purpose of this information is to determine eligible students, selection of students, and placement of students in an appropriate research projects that match their needs, interests, and academic preparation.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Frequency: Annually.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to apply to the program.

OMB Desk Officer: Jasmeet Seehra, (202) 395-3123.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to Jasmeet Seehra, OMB Desk Officer, FAX number (202) 395-3123, or Jasmeet_K_Seehra@omb.eop.gov.

Dated: April 24, 2006.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. E6-6387 Filed 4-27-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

ACTION: Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received requests to conduct administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with March anniversary dates. In accordance with the Department's regulations, we are initiating those administrative reviews. The Department also received requests to revoke in part one antidumping duty order and three countervailing duty orders.

DATES: April 28, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sheila E. Forbes, Office of AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4737.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department has received timely requests, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(2004), for administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with March anniversary dates. The Department also received timely

requests to revoke in part the antidumping duty order on Stainless Steel Bar from Germany and to revoke in part the countervailing duty orders on Low Enriched Uranium from Germany, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom. The revocation requests for Low Enriched Uranium from Germany, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom were inadvertently omitted from the initiation notice that published on April 5, 2006 (71 FR 17077).

Initiation of Reviews:

In accordance with section 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we are initiating administrative reviews of the following antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings. We intend to issue the final results of these reviews not later than March 31, 2007.

	Period to be Reviewed
Antidumping Duty Proceedings	
BRAZIL: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products. A-351-828 Companhia Siderurgica Nacional. Companhia Siderurgica de Tubarao.	3/1/05 - 2/28/06
FRANCE: Stainless Steel Bar. A-427-820 UGITECH, S.A..	3/1/05 - 2/28/06
GERMANY: Stainless Steel Bar. A-428-830 BGH Edelstahl Freital GmbH/BGH Edelstahl Lippendorf. GmbH/BGH Edelstahl Lugau GmbH/BGH Edelstahl Siegen GmbH.	3/1/05 - 2/28/06
SPAIN: Stainless Steel Bar. A-469-805 Sidenor Industrial SL.	3/1/05 - 2/28/06
THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: Certain Tissue Paper Products ¹ . A-570-894 AR Printing and Packaging. China National Aero-Technology Import & Export Xiamen Corp.. Foshan Sansico Co., Ltd.. Fujian Naoshan Paper Industry Group Co., Ltd.. Fuzhou Magicpro Gifts Co., Ltd.. Gifiworld Enterprise Co., Ltd.. Guilin Qifeng Paper Co., Ltd.. Goldwing Co., Ltd.. Guangzhou Baxi Printing Products Co., Limited. Kepsco, Inc.. Max Fortune Industrial Limited/Max Fortune (FETDE) Paper Products Co., Ltd.. PT Grafitecindo Ciptaprima. PT Printec Perkasa. PT Printec Perkasa II. PT Sansico Utama. Putian City Chengxiang Qu Li Feng. Putian City Hong Ye Paper Products Co., Ltd.. Samsam Productions Limited. Sansico Asia Pasific Limited. Vietnam Quijiang Paper Co., Ltd..	9/21/04 - 2/28/06
THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: Glycine ² . A-570-836 Baoding Mantong Fine Chemistry Co., Ltd.. Nantong Dongchang Chemical Industry Corporation.	3/1/05 - 2/28/06
THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: Axes/Adzes. A-570-803 Shanghai Xinike Trading Company ³ (*)..	2/1/05 - 1/31/06
THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: Bars/Wedges. A-570-803 Shanghai Xinike Trading Company*.	2/1/05 - 1/31/06
THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: Hammers/Sledges. A-570-803 Truper Herramientas S.A. de C.V. ⁴ . Shanghai Xinike Trading Company*.	2/1/05 - 1/31/06
THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: Picks/Mattocks. A-570-803 Truper Herramientas S.A. de C.V. ⁵ . Shanghai Xinike Trading Company*.	2/1/05 - 1/31/06
UNITED KINGDOM: Stainless Steel Bar. A-412-822 Corus Engineering Steels. Firth Rixson Limited.	3/1/05 - 2/28/06
Countervailing Duty Proceedings	
GERMANY: Low Enriched Uranium ⁶ . C-428-829 TURKEY: Certain Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe. C-489-502 The Borusan Group/Borusan Mannesmann Boru. Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S./Borusan Istikbal Ticaret T.A.S..	1/1/05 - 12/31/05 1/1/05 - 12/31/05
Suspension Agreements	
None..	

¹ If one of the above-named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Certain Tissue Paper Products from the People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

²If one of the above-named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Glycine from the People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

³(*) In the initiation notice that published on April 5, 2006 (71 FR 17077), Shanghai Xinike Trading Company was incorrectly initiated as Shanghai Xinke Trading Company for all product categories with respect to the antidumping case on Hand Tools from the PRC (A-570-803). The correct spelling of the company name is listed above.

⁴Company inadvertently omitted from initiation notice that published on April 5, 2006 (71 FR 17077).

⁵Company inadvertently omitted from initiation notice that published on April 5, 2006 (71 FR 17077).

⁶In the initiation notice that published on April 5, 2006 (71 FR 17077), the case number listed for Low Enriched Uranium from Germany was incorrect. The case number listed above is the correct number for that case.

During any administrative review covering all or part of a period falling between the first and second or third and fourth anniversary of the publication of an antidumping duty order under section 351.211 or a determination under section 351.218(f)(4) to continue an order or suspended investigation (after sunset review), the Secretary, if requested by a domestic interested party within 30 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the review, will determine, consistent with *FAG Italia v. United States*, 291 F.3d 806 (Fed. Cir. 2002), as appropriate, whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to the review if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer that is affiliated with such exporter or producer. The request must include the name(s) of the exporter or producer for which the inquiry is requested.

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305.

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)), and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: April 25, 2006.

Thomas F. Futtner,

Acting Office Director, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-6438 Filed 4-27-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-570-891

Hand Trucks and Certain Parts Thereof from The People's Republic of China: Notice of Decision of the Court of International Trade Not in Harmony

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On March 8, 2006, the United States Court of International Trade ("Court") sustained the final remand

determination made by the Department of Commerce ("the Department") pursuant to the Court's remand of the scope of the antidumping duty order on hand trucks from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). See *Vertex International, Inc., v. United States*, Ct. No. 05-00272, Slip Op. 06-35 (Ct. Int'l Trade March 8, 2006) ("Vertex II"). This case arises out of the Department's *Antidumping Duty Order on Hand Trucks and Certain Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China*, 69 FR 70122 (December 2, 2004) ("Order"). The final judgment in this case was not in harmony with the Department's February 2005 Final Scope Ruling.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 28, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lilit Astvatsatryan, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-6412.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 27, 2004, Vertex International, Inc. ("Vertex") requested a ruling from the Department to determine whether its garden cart, model MO 480 Deluxe Garden Cart, fell within the scope of the antidumping duty order on hand trucks from the PRC. See Vertex's Scope Ruling Request, Exhibit A (December 27, 2004) ("Scope Ruling Request"). On January 19, 2005, the Petitioners, Gleason Industrial Products, Inc. and Precision Products, Inc., stated that Vertex's garden cart was not within the scope of the order because the projecting edge on its product is incapable of sliding under a load for purposes of lifting and/or moving the load.

In an unpublished ruling, the Department found that the garden cart exhibited all of the essential physical characteristics of hand trucks as outlined by the Order and was within the scope of the Order. See *Memorandum from Aishe Allen, Case Analyst, though Wendy Frankel, Office Director to Barbara E. Tillman, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary: Final Scope*

Ruling on the Antidumping Duty Order From the People's Republic of China, February 15, 2005 ("Final Scope Ruling").

On March 17, 2005, Vertex filed its summons with the Court alleging that the Department's determination that the garden cart was within the scope of the Order was not supported by substantial evidence. On January 19, 2006, the Court issued its opinion finding that there was substantial evidence on the record demonstrating that Vertex's cart was outside the scope of the order. See *Vertex International, Inc. v. United States*, Ct. No. 05-00272, Slip Op. 06-10 (CIT January 19, 2006) ("Vertex I"). The Court instructed the Department to issue a determination that Vertex's garden cart is outside the scope of the order on hand trucks.

On February 21, 2006, the Department issued its final results of redetermination pursuant to court remand, in which the Department stated that we found Vertex's MO 480 Deluxe Garden Cart outside the scope of the Order on hand trucks. On March 8, 2006, the Court issued an opinion affirming this conclusion. See *Vertex II*.

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken Co., v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337, 341 (Fed. Cir. 1990) ("*Timken*"), the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department must publish a notice of a court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Department determination. The Court's decision in *Vertex* on March 8, 2006, constitutes a final decision of that court that is not in harmony with the Department's scope ruling. This notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*. Accordingly, the Department will issue revised instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection if the Court's decision is not appealed or if it is affirmed on appeal.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 516A(c)(1) of the Act.