**INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Table of Contents**

202-789-6820.

I. Introduction
II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

#### I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the market dominant or the competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the market dominant or the competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request's acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service's request(s) can be accessed via the Commission's website (http://www.prc.gov). Non-public portions of the Postal Service's request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3007.40.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3010, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

#### II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

1. Docket No(s).: MC2018–148 and CP2018–214; Filing Title: USPS Request

to Add Priority Mail Express, Priority Mail & First-Class Package Service Contract 35 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; Filing Acceptance Date: May 9, 2018; Filing Authority: 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 et seq.; Public Representative: Kenneth R. Moeller; Comments Due: May 17, 2018.

2. Docket No(s).: MC2018–149 and CP2018–215; Filing Title: USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Contract 433 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; Filing Acceptance Date: May 9, 2018; Filing Authority: 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 et seq.; Public Representative: Kenneth R. Moeller; Comments Due: May 17, 2018.

This Notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

### Stacy L. Ruble,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-10285 Filed 5-14-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–83202; File No. SR– NYSEArca–2018–29]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend the NYSE Arca Options Fee Schedule

May 9, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) <sup>1</sup> of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act") <sup>2</sup> and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, <sup>3</sup> notice is hereby given that, on May 1, 2018, NYSE Arca, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "NYSE Arca") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

# I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the NYSE Arca Options Fee Schedule ("Fee Schedule"). The Exchange proposes to implement the fee change effective May 1, 2018. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at

www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

## II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

### 1. Purpose

The purpose of this filing is to amend the Fee Schedule effective May 1, 2018. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to offer an additional incentive for Market Makers to post liquidity in the SPDR S&P 500 ETF Trust ("SPY").

Currently, Market Makers receive a \$0.28 per contract credit for executions against Market Maker posted liquidity in Penny Pilot Issues and Lead Market Makers ("LMMs") may receive an additional \$.04 per contract credit (for a total of \$0.32 per contract credit) for posted liquidity in Penny Pilot Issues that are in the LMM's appointment.4 Similarly, Market Makers may receive a \$0.28 per contract credit for executions against their posted liquidity in SPY.5 The Exchange currently offers additional incentives (i.e., enhanced credits) to Market Makers to post liquidity.6

The Exchange also offers an incentive to encourage Market Makers to post interest in SPY. A Market Maker that has posted interest of at least 0.20% of TCADV in SPY during a calendar month receives a per contract credit of \$0.45

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78a

<sup>3 17</sup> CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Fee Schedule, Transaction Fee for Electronic Executions, Per Contract. See also Market Maker Monthly Posting Credit Tiers and Qualifications for Executions in Penny Pilot Issues and SPY (the "MM Tiers").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Fee Schedule, the MM Tiers, Base Rate.
<sup>6</sup> See id. See, e.g., the Market Maker Incentive for Penny Pilot Issues (which provides a \$0.41 per contract credit for executions of Marker Maker posted interest provided the Market Maker achieves at least 0.75% of total industry Customer equity and ETF option average daily volume ("TCADV") from Customer posted interest (e.g., from the Marker Maker's affiliate of Appointed Order Flow Provider) in all issues and an ADV from Market Maker posted interest equal to 0.70% of TCADV).

for electronic executions against such posted interest. The Exchange proposes to add an intermediate level incentive by offering any Market Maker that has posted interest of at least 0.15% of TCADV in SPY during a calendar month, a per contract credit of \$0.36 for electronic executions against such posted interest <sup>7</sup>

As is the case today, a Market Maker that qualifies for more than one available credit will always receive the highest rebate applicable to a transaction. For example, a Market Maker that is eligible to receive both the \$0.41 per contract credit via the Market Maker Incentive For Penny Pilot Issues as well as the proposed \$0.36 per contract credit via the Market Maker Incentive for SPY would receive the former (higher) credit.

The Exchange is not proposing any other changes to the Fee Schedule at this time.

# 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act, in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and (5) of the Act, in particular, because it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members, issuers and other persons using its facilities and does not unfairly discriminate between customers, issuers, brokers or dealers.

The Exchange believes that providing an intermediate incentive for executions against posted liquidity in SPY is reasonable, equitable, and not unfairly discriminatory because, among other things, it may encourage greater participation in SPY—which is consistently the most active options issue nationally. The proposed SPY incentive would also provide an additional means for Market Makers to qualify for credits for posting volume on the Exchange. By encouraging activity in SPY, the Exchange believes that opportunities to qualify for other rebates are increased, which benefits all participants through increased Market Maker activity. The Exchange also believes that encouraging a higher level of trading volume in SPY should increase opportunities for OTP Holders and OTP Firms ("OTPs") to achieve credits available through existing incentive programs, such as the MM Tiers, which provides OTPs the ability to achieve per contract credit for

electronic executions of posted Market Maker interest in SPY and other Penny Pilot names by combining the volume of the OTP with volume of their affiliates or Appointed Market Maker. To the extent that order flow, which adds liquidity, is increased by the proposal, OTPs will be encouraged to compete for the opportunity to trade on the Exchange, including by sending additional order flow to the Exchange to achieve higher tiers or enhanced rebates. The resulting increased volume and liquidity would benefit all Exchange participants by providing more trading opportunities and tighter

The Exchange also believes the proposed SPY incentive is not unfairly discriminatory to non-Market Markers (i.e., Customers, Professionals Customers, Firms and Broker-Dealers) because such market participants are not subject to the burdens and heightened obligations that apply to Market Makers, such as burdensome quoting obligations and costs related to market making activities. The Exchange believes the proposed incentive is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because encouraging Market Makers to direct more volume to the Exchange would also contribute to the Exchange's depth of book as well as to the top of book liquidity.

The Exchange also notes that the proposed credit for posting in SPY is reasonable, equitable, and not unfairly discriminatory as it is consistent with credits offered to Market Makers by other options exchanges.<sup>8</sup>

For these reasons, the Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with the Act.

# B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

In accordance with Section 6(b)(8) of the Act,<sup>9</sup> the Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Instead, the Exchange believes that the proposed change would encourage competition, including by attracting additional liquidity to the Exchange, which would continue to make the Exchange a more competitive venue for, among other things, order execution and

price discovery. The Exchange does not believe that the proposed change would impair the ability of any market participants or competing order execution venues to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets. Further, the incentive would not impose an unfair burden on non-Market Markers because such market participants are not subject to the burdens and heightened obligations that apply to Market Makers.

The Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually review, and consider adjusting, its fees and credits to remain competitive with other exchanges. For the reasons described above, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change reflects this competitive environment.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

## III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change is effective upon filing pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) <sup>10</sup> of the Act and subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b–4 <sup>11</sup> thereunder, because it establishes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B) 12 of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

## **IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See proposed Fee Schedule, Market Maker Incentive for SPY (including reference to Endnote 8, which sets forth the calculations for monthly posting credits).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See, e.g., MIAX Pearl Fee Schedule, Section 1.a., Transaction Rebates/Fees, Exchange Rebates/Fees—Add/Remove Tiered Rebates/Fees, available here, https://www.miaxoptions.com/sites/default/files/fee\_schedule-files/MIAX\_PEARL\_Fee\_Schedule\_03082018.pdf (providing an alternative basis to achieve a \$0.47 per contract credit in Penny Pilot Issues based on a specified level of SPY volume).

<sup>9</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).

<sup>10 15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>11 17</sup> CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

<sup>12 15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to *rule-comments*@ *sec.gov*. Please include File No. SR–NYSEArca–2018–29 on the subject line.

#### Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File No. SR-NYSEArca-2018-29. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-NYSEArca-2018-29, and should be submitted on or before June 5, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.  $^{13}$ 

#### Eduardo A. Aleman,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018–10262 Filed 5–14–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-83193; File No. SR-NASDAQ-2018-036]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Reorganize and Amend The Nasdaq Options Market LLC Chapter XV, Section 3, Entitled "Nasdaq Options Market—Ports and Other Services

May 9, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on April 27, 2018, The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC ("Nasdaq" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

# I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to reorganize and amend The Nasdaq Options Market LLC ("NOM") Chapter XV, Section 3, entitled "Nasdaq Options Market—Ports and Other Services."

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at http://nasdaq.cchwallstreet.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

# II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

# 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to reorganize and amend Chapter XV, Section 3, entitled "Nasdaq Options Market—Ports and Other Services." The Exchange offers various services across its 6 affiliated options markets, NOM, Nasdaq BX, Inc., Nasdaq Phlx LLC, Nasdaq ISE, LLC, Nasdaq GEMX, LLC and Nasdaq MRX, LLC ("Nasdaq Affiliated Markets").3 The Exchange desires to rename services to conform the naming of the offerings across all Nasdaq Affiliated Markets. The Exchange proposes to reorganize Section 3 to list order and quote protocols first, order and execution offerings next, followed by data ports and other ports as the last section. The Exchange proposes to list data offerings which are offered at no cost. The Exchange is also proposing to remove obsolete pricing. The Exchange believes that aligning its offerings, where relevant, across the Nasdaq Affiliated Markets will provide more transparency as to the offerings for market participants.

#### Ports

The Exchange proposes to define a port within Section 3 to provide additional clarity to the fee schedule as "a logical connection or session that enables a market participant to send inbound messages and/or receive outbound messages from the Exchange using various communication protocols." The Exchange believes this definition will assist Participants in distinguishing ports from other offerings.

#### Order and Quote Protocols

The Exchange proposes to add a new section (i) and include the following introductory sentence, "The following order and quote protocols are available on NOM."

Today, NOM offers market participants an Order Entry order protocol and an SQF quote protocol. These fees currently exist on the fee schedule. The Exchange is not amending any pricing related to these protocols. The Exchange proposes to rename "Order Entry Port Fee" as "FIX Port Fee." This description is more accurate as "FIX" is the name of the

<sup>13 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Exchange will file a similar rule change on each Nasdaq Affiliated Market to conform the offerings by amending naming to make them similar and delineating each offering on the fee schedule where no fee is assessed.