

the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to July 3, 2006).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available during this time for public inspection at the Office of the Foreign-Trade Zones Board's Executive Secretary at the first address listed above, and at the U.S. Department of Commerce, Export Assistance Center, 342 North Elm Street, First Floor, Greensboro, NC 27401.

Dated: April 7, 2006.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6-5777 Filed 4-17-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 12-2006]

Foreign-Trade Zone 148—Knoxville, TN, Area Application for Reorganization/Expansion

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the Industrial Development Board of Blount County, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 148, requesting authority to reorganize and expand FTZ 148 in the Knoxville, Tennessee, area, adjacent to the Knoxville Customs port of entry. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on April 6, 2006.

FTZ 148 was approved on June 28, 1988 (Board Order 384, 53 FR 26095, 7/11/88), and expanded on August 21, 2003 (Board Order 1294, 68 FR 52385, 9/3/03). The zone project currently consists of the following sites: *Site 1* (46 acres)—within the Bill Mullins Warehouse Park, Prosser Road, Knoxville (Knox County); *Site 2* (5 acres)—Blount County Industrial Park, State Route 321 (one mile west of State Route 129), Maryville; *Site 2A* (27,000 sq. ft.)—McGhee Tyson Airport, State Route 129, Alcoa (Blount County); *Site 3* (7 acres)—Valley Industrial Park, State Route 62 and Union Valley Road, Oak Ridge (Anderson County); and, *Site 4* (54 acres)—within the CoLinX warehousing facilities, 1536 Genesis Road, Crossville (Cumberland County).

The application is requesting authority to reorganize and expand the general-purpose zone project as follows: Sites 1, 2 and 3 would be deleted; Site 2A would become Site 1; and, Site 4

would become Site 2. Three new sites would be added: *Proposed Site 3* (190 acres)—Partnership Park South located on Partnership Way in Maryville (Blount County); *Proposed Site 4* (13 acres)—within the 15-acre Heritage Center, East Technology Park, 2010 Highway 58, Oak Ridge (Roane County); and, *Proposed Site 5* (71 acres, 2 parcels)—within Eagle Bend Industrial Park located on J.D. Yarnell Industrial Parkway in Clinton (Anderson County). No specific manufacturing authority is being requested at this time. Such requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at one of the addresses below:

1. *Submissions via Express/Package Delivery Services:* Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building—Suite 4100W, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005; or,

2. *Submissions via the U.S. Postal Service:* Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, FCB—Suite 4100W, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

The closing period for their receipt is June 19, 2006. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to July 3, 2006.)

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available during this time for public inspection at the Office of the Foreign-Trade Zones Board's Executive Secretary at the first address listed above, and at the U.S. Department of Commerce, Export Assistance Center, 17 Market Square, #201, Knoxville, TN 37902-1405.

Dated: April 7, 2006.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6-5778 Filed 4-17-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

International Trade Administration

[A-580-816]

Corrosion Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Korea: Extension of Time Limits for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Victoria Cho at (202) 482-5075, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Background

On September 28, 2005, the U.S. Department of Commerce ("Department") published a notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on corrosion resistant carbon steel flat products from Korea, covering the period August 1, 2004 to July 31, 2005. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 70 FR 56631 (September 28, 2005). The preliminary results of this review are currently due no later than May 3, 2006.

Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order or finding for which a review is requested. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act further states that if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period specified, the administering authority may extend the 245-day period to issue its preliminary results by up to 120 days.

We determine that completion of the preliminary results of this review within the 245-day period is not practicable for the following reasons. This review covers six companies, and to conduct the sales and cost analyses for each requires the Department to gather and analyze a significant amount of information pertaining to each company's sales practices, manufacturing costs and corporate relationships. Given the number and complexity of issues in this case, and in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, we are extending the time

period for issuing the preliminary results of review by 100 days. Therefore, the preliminary results are now due no later than August 11, 2006. The final results continue to be due 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: April 11, 2006.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-5776 Filed 4-17-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-357-810]

Notice of Implementation Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act; Antidumping Measures Concerning Oil Country Tubular Goods from Argentina

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* March 16, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred

Baker or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-2924 (Baker), (202) 482-0649 (James).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In November 2000, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published its final results of the expedited sunset review on the antidumping duty order on Oil Country Tubular Goods ("OCTG") from Argentina and other countries. *See Final Results of Expedited Sunset Reviews: Oil Country Tubular Goods from Argentina, Italy, Japan, and Korea*, 65 FR 66701 (Nov. 7, 2000) ("Final Results"). The Government of Argentina subsequently requested dispute resolution at the World Trade Organization ("WTO") to consider, *inter alia*, its claims that the Final Results were inconsistent with the WTO Agreement on Implementation of Article VI of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994 ("AD Agreement"). In its final report, the panel found, *inter alia*, that the Department's original determination of dumping could not, by itself, represent a sufficient factual basis for concluding that dumping continued during the life of the order. Panel Report, *United States—Sunset Review of Antidumping*

Measures on Oil Country Tubular Goods From Argentina, WT/DS268/R (issued July 16, 2004). The Panel also concluded that application of the "deemed waiver" provisions of the Department's regulations to Argentine exporters other than Siderca "invalidated" the Department's order-wide likelihood determination. *Id.* The United States did not appeal the Panel's finding concerning whether an original determination of dumping or continued collection of antidumping duties provided an adequate factual basis for finding likelihood, but did appeal the Panel's conclusions concerning the waiver provisions. The Appellate Body affirmed the Panel's conclusions concerning the waiver provisions and the Panel and Appellate Body reports were adopted on December 17, 2006. *See id.*; and Appellate Body Report, *United States—Sunset Review of Antidumping Measures on Oil Country Tubular Goods From Argentina*, WT/DS268/AB/R (issued Nov. 29, 2004).

Section 123 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA") governs the process for changes to the Department's regulations where a dispute settlement panel and/or the Appellate Body finds a regulatory provision to be inconsistent with any of the WTO agreements. Consistent with section 123(g)(1) of the URAA, on October 28, 2005, the Department published amendments to its regulations related to sunset reviews to conform the existing regulations to the United States' obligations under Articles 6.1, 6.2, and 11.3 of the Antidumping Agreement. *See Final Rule; Procedures for Conducting Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 70 FR 62061 (Oct. 28, 2005). That final rule, which was effective on October 31, 2005, amended the "waiver" provisions of the regulations governing treatment of interested parties who do not provide a complete substantive response to the Department's notice of initiation of a sunset review and clarifies the basis for parties' participation in a public hearing in an expedited sunset review.

After following the preliminary procedures required under section 129 of the URAA, by letter dated October 31, 2005, the United States Trade Representative ("USTR") requested that the Department issue a determination under section 129(b) of the URAA that would render the Department's action in the sunset review not inconsistent with the recommendations and findings of the DSB. On December 16, 2005, the Department issued such a determination, and continued to determine that revocation of the order

would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. *See* Decision Memorandum, "Section 129 Determination: Final Results of Sunset Review, Oil Country Tubular Goods from Argentina," (Dec. 16, 2005).

Pursuant to section 129(b)(3) of the URAA, and following consultations with the Department and congressional committees, on March 16, 2006, USTR directed the Department to implement the Section 129 determination under section 129(b)(4) of the URAA.

Implementation

Accordingly, the Department is publishing this notice of its revised final results of sunset review with respect to OCTG from Argentina. Consistent with the recommendations and findings of the DSB, the revised final results reflect the Department's analysis of whether revocation of the order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. A copy of the Decision Memorandum detailing the Section 129 determination is available online at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov>, and is also available in the Central Records Unit in room B-099 of the main Department building.

This notice of implementation is issued and published in accordance with section 129(c)(2)(A) of the URAA.

Dated: April 13, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 06-3742 Filed 4-17-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-816]

Certain Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Taiwan: Notice of Court Decision and Suspension of Liquidation

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On April 6, 2006, in *Alloy Piping Products, Inc., Flowline Division, et al. v. United States*, Slip Op. 06-47, ("Alloy Piping II"), the Court of International Trade ("CIT") affirmed the Department of Commerce's ("Department") Final Results of Determination Pursuant to Remand ("Remand Results"), dated August 16, 2004. Consistent with the decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit ("CAFC") in *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) ("Timken"), the Department will