

agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

**Magalie R. Salas,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6-4652 Filed 3-29-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

#### Notice of Application for Surrender of Conduit Exemption and Soliciting Comments, Motions To Intervene, and Protests

March 24, 2006.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

- a. *Application Type*: Surrender of Conduit Exemption.
  - b. *Project No*: 7426-002.
  - c. *Date Filed*: February 7, 2006.
  - d. *Applicant*: Lake Hemet Municipal Water District.
  - e. *Name of Project*: North Fork Project.
  - f. *Location*: The project is located on the Lake Hemet Municipal Water District's pipeline in Riverside County, California.
  - g. *Filed Pursuant to*: Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791a-825r
  - h. *Applicant Contact*: Mr. Mitchell J. Freeman, Lake Hemet Municipal Water District, 2480 East Florida Avenue, P.O. Box 5039, Hemet, CA 92544, (951) 658-3241.
  - i. *FERC Contact*: Robert Bell, (202) 502-6062.
  - j. *Status of Environmental Analysis*: This application is ready for analysis at this time, and the Commission is requesting comments, reply comments, recommendations, terms and conditions, and prescriptions.
  - k. *Deadline for filing comments and or motions*: April 24, 2006.
- All documents (original and eight copies) should be filed with: Ms. Magalie R. Salas, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington DC 20426. Please include the project number (P-7426-002) on any comments or motions filed.
- Comments, protests, and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.
- l. *Description of Application*: Lake Hemet Municipal Water District

proposes to surrender the exemption from licensing for the North Fork Project. As part of its request, Lake Hemet Municipal Water District proposes to decommission the project. The Lake Hemet Municipal Water District will remove all generating and electrical equipment from the site and water deliveries will continue through the same conduits that have been historically used.

m. *Location of the Application*: This filing is available for review and reproduction at the Commission in the Public Reference Room, Room 2A, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. The filing may also be viewed on the Web at using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number, here P-7426, in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, call toll-free 1-866-208-3676 or e-mail [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov). For TTY, call (202) 502-8659. A copy is also available for inspection and reproduction at the address in item (h) above.

n. Individuals desiring to be included on the Commission's mailing list should so indicate by writing to the Secretary of the Commission.

o. *Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene*—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

p. *Filing and Service of Responsive Documents*—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS", "PROTEST", OR "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

q. *Agency Comments*—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to

have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

**Magalie R. Salas,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6-4653 Filed 3-29-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-8051-2]

### Annual Meeting of the Mid-Atlantic/Northeast Visibility Union (MANE-VU)

**AGENCY**: Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION**: Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY**: The United States Environmental Protection Agency is announcing the 2006 Annual Board Meeting of the Mid-Atlantic Northeast Visibility Union (MANE-VU). This meeting will deal with matters relative to Regional Haze and visibility improvement in Federal Class I areas within MANE-VU.

**DATES**: The meeting will be held on May 10, 2006, starting at 9 a.m. (EDT).

**ADDRESSES**: Omni William Penn, 530 William Penn Place, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219; (412) 281-7100.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**: Questions regarding the agenda and registration for this meeting and all press inquiries should be directed to: Kromeklia Bryant, Ozone Transport Commission/MANE-VU Office, 444 North Capitol Street NW., Suite 638, Washington, DC 20001; (202) 508-3840; e-mail: [ozone@otcair.org](mailto:ozone@otcair.org), Web site: <http://www.manevu.org>.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Mid-Atlantic/Northeast Visibility Union (MANE-VU) was formed in 2001, in response to EPA's issuance of the Regional Haze rule. MANE-VU's members include: Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, the Penobscot Indian Nation, the St. Regis Mohawk Tribe along with EPA and Federal Land Managers.

*Type of Meeting*: This is the Annual Board Meeting and is open to the public.

*Agenda*: Questions regarding the agenda, registration and logistics of this meeting should be directed to the Executive Office of the Ozone Transport Commission/MANE-VU at (202) 508-3840, by e-mail: [ozone@otcair.org](mailto:ozone@otcair.org) or via the MANE-VU Web site at <http://www.manevu.org>.

Dated: March 22, 2006.

**William Early,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.*

[FR Doc. 06-3040 Filed 3-29-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

## FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Reinstatement of Existing Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission") intends to conduct a survey of parents who have one or more children, aged eight to 16, who play video or personal computer games. The FTC will also survey children aged eight to 16, who play video or personal computer games. The surveys are a follow-up to the Commission's surveys conducted in 2000 on consumers' use of and familiarity with the Entertainment Software Rating Board ("ESRB") electronic game rating system. The information collection requirements described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act ("PRA") (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before May 1, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested parties are invited to submit written comments. Comments should refer to "Entertainment Industry Study: FTC File No. P994511" to facilitate the organization of comments. A comment filed in paper form should include this reference both in the text and on the envelope and should be mailed or delivered, with two complete copies, to the following address: Federal Trade Commission/Office of the Secretary, Room H-135 (Annex E), 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. Because U.S. Postal Mail is subject to lengthy delays due to heightened security precautions, please consider submitting your comments in electronic form (in ASCII format, WordPerfect, or Microsoft Word) as part of or as an attachment to e-mail messages directed to the following e-mail box: [entstudy@ftc.gov](mailto:entstudy@ftc.gov). However, if the comment contains any material for which confidential treatment is requested, it must be filed in paper form, and the first page of the document must be clearly labeled "Confidential."<sup>1</sup>

Comments should also be submitted to: Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for the Federal Trade Commission. Comments should be submitted via facsimile to (202) 395-6974 because U.S. Postal Mail is subject to lengthy delays due to heightened security precautions.

The FTC Act and other laws the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding as appropriate. All timely and responsive public comments will be considered by the Commission and will be available, to the extent practicable, to the public on the FTC Web site at <http://www.ftc.gov>. As a matter of discretion, the FTC makes every effort to remove home contact information for individuals from the public comments it receives before placing those comments on the FTC Web site. More information, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, may be found in the FTC's privacy policy at <http://www.ftc.gov/ftc/privacy.htm>.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information, such as requests for copies of the proposed collection of information (Supporting Statement and related attachments), should be addressed to Keith R. Fentonmiller, (202) 326-2775, or Richard F. Kelly, (202) 326-3304, Attorneys, Federal Trade Commission, Bureau of Consumer Protection, Division of Advertising Practices, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20580.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In 2000, OMB approved the FTC's request to conduct surveys on consumers' use of and familiarity with the rating or labeling systems of the motion picture, music recording, and video and personal computer game industries (OMB Control Number 3084-0120). After receiving OMB approval, the FTC conducted the consumer research and, in September 2000, the Commission issued a report requested by the President and Congress entitled, *Marketing Violent Entertainment to Children: A Review of Self-Regulation and Industry Practices in the Motion Picture, Music Recording & Electronic Game Industries* (hereafter "2000 Report").<sup>2</sup> The Commission found that

request for confidential treatment, including the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. The request will be granted or denied by the Commission's General Counsel, consistent with applicable law and the public interest. See Commission Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).

<sup>2</sup> Available at <http://www.ftc.gov/reports/violence/vioreport.pdf>.

the electronic game industry had engaged in widespread marketing of violent electronic games to children that: (1) Was inconsistent with the ESRB rating system;<sup>3</sup> and (2) undermined parents' attempts to make informed decisions about their children's exposure to violent content. Similar results were found for the motion picture and music recording industries. The Commission also found that advertisements for electronic games frequently failed to contain rating information. Further, the Commission's national surveys of parents and children found that only 61% of parents were aware of the ESRB system, and nearly half of those parents reported that they rarely or never used the ESRB system.<sup>4</sup>

In April 2001,<sup>5</sup> December 2001,<sup>6</sup> June 2002,<sup>7</sup> and July 2004,<sup>8</sup> the Commission issued follow-up reports to assess changes in industry practices. The first two follow-up reports documented progress by the video game industry to limit advertising in popular teen media. The third follow-up report found that the game industry was in substantial compliance with ESRB standards governing ad placements and disclosure of rating information in advertising. There were, however, some advertisements for Mature-rated games<sup>9</sup> placed on television programs with large numbers of teen viewers and continued placement of such ads in game enthusiast magazines with large youth readership. The Commission's July 2004 report found substantial compliance with ESRB standards governing ad placements and that

<sup>3</sup> As indicated on its Web site, <http://www.esrb.org>, the ESRB "is a self-regulatory body for the interactive entertainment software industry established in 1994 by the Entertainment Software Association, formerly the Interactive Digital Software Association. ESRB independently applies and enforces ratings, advertising guidelines, and online privacy principles adopted by the computer and video game industry. The ESRB rating system helps parents and other consumers choose the games that are right for their families. ESRB ratings have two parts: rating symbols that suggest what age group the game is best for, and content descriptors that indicate elements in a game that may have triggered a particular rating and/or may be of interest or concern."

<sup>4</sup> See 2000 Report, Appendix F at <http://www.ftc.gov/reports/violence/appendicesviort.pdf>. Appendix F also contains a detailed discussion of the underlying methodology and findings.

<sup>5</sup> Available at <http://www.ftc.gov/reports/violence/violence010423.pdf>.

<sup>6</sup> Available at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/2001/12/violencereport1.pdf>.

<sup>7</sup> Available at <http://www.ftc.gov/reports/violence/mvecrpt0206.pdf>.

<sup>8</sup> Available at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/2004/07/040708kidsviolencerept.pdf>.

<sup>9</sup> According to the ESRB, Mature-rated games have content that may be suitable for persons 17 years of age and older. See [http://www.esrb.org/esrbratings\\_guide.asp#symbols](http://www.esrb.org/esrbratings_guide.asp#symbols).

<sup>1</sup> Commission Rule 4.2(d), 16 CFR 4.2(d). The comment must be accompanied by an explicit