

§ 110.100 Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbors, CA

* * * * *

(c) *Area B-1.* Long Beach outer harbor along east side of Pier 400 beginning at latitude 33°44'22.8" N., longitude 118°13'51.0" W.; thence south to latitude 33°43'54.5" N., longitude 118°13'50.0" W.; thence southwesterly to latitude 33°43'46.0" N., longitude 118°14'13.6" W.; thence northwesterly to latitude 33°44'15.3" N., longitude 118°14'26.6" W.; thence northeasterly to latitude 33°44'25.1" N., longitude 118°14'15.6" W.; thence easterly to the beginning point.

(d) *Area C-1.* Long Beach outer harbor between Island Freeman and Island

Chaffee beginning at latitude 33°44'20.0" N., longitude 118°08'26.2" W.; thence west to latitude 33°44'23.5" N., longitude 118°09'32.6" W.; thence north to latitude 33°44'52.8" N., longitude 118°09'33.2" W.; thence southeast to latitude 33°44'25.5" N., longitude 118°08'26.2" W.; thence south to the beginning point.

(e) *Area E-1.* Long Beach outer harbor northwest of Island Freeman beginning at latitude 33°44'55.0" N., longitude 118°09'40.0" W.; thence southwesterly to latitude 33°44'37.0" N., longitude 118°09'48.5" W.; thence northwesterly to latitude 33°44'52.0" N., longitude 118°10'32.0" W.; thence north to

latitude 33°45'11.0" N., longitude 118°10'32.0" W.

(f) *Restrictions.* Special anchorage areas B-1, C-1, and E-1 are reserved for barges on mooring balls, unless otherwise authorized by the Captain of the Port Los Angeles-Long Beach.

■ 3. In § 110.214, revise paragraphs (b)(2) and (5) to read as follows:

§ 110.214 Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbors, CA

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(2) *Commercial Anchorage B (Long Beach Harbor).* An area enclosed by a line joining the following coordinates:

	Latitude	Longitude
Beginning point	33 deg.-44'-37.0" N	118 deg.-13'-00.0" W.
Thence south/southeast to	33 deg.-44'-12.0" N	118 deg.-12'-36.2" W.
Thence southeast to	33 deg.-43'-38.2" N	118 deg.-11'-36.9" W.
Thence southwest to	33 deg.-43'-26.1" N	118 deg.-11'-47.2" W.
Thence west to	33 deg.-43'-26.1" N	118 deg.-12'-22.7" W.
Thence west/southwest to	33 deg.-42'-58.9" N	118 deg.-13'-53.0" W.
Thence north/northwest to	33 deg.-43'-46.0" N	118 deg.-14'-13.6" W.
Thence east/northeast to	33 deg.-43'-54.5" N	118 deg.-13'-50.0" W.
Thence north to	33 deg.-44'-22.8" N	118 deg.-13'-51.0" W.
Thence east/northeast to the beginning point.		

* * * * *

(5) *Commercial Anchorage E (Long Beach Harbor).* An area enclosed by a line joining the following coordinates:

	Latitude	Longitude
Beginning point	33 deg.-44'-37.0" N	118 deg.-09'-48.5" W.
Thence southwest to	33 deg.-44'-18.5" N	118 deg.-09'-56.8" W.
Thence west to	33 deg.-44'-18.5" N	118 deg.-10'-27.2" W.
Thence northwest to	33 deg.-44'-27.6" N	118 deg.-10'-41.0" W.
Thence west/northwest to	33 deg.-44'-29.0" N	118 deg.-10'-57.4" W.
Thence north/northwest to	33 deg.-45'-06.4" N	118 deg.-11'-09.5" W.
Thence northeast to	33 deg.-45'-15.2" N	118 deg.-10'-46.1" W.
Thence southeast to	33 deg.-45'-11.0" N	118 deg.-10'-32.0" W.
Thence south to	33 deg.-44'-52.0" N	118 deg.-10'-32.0" W.
Thence southeast to the beginning point.		

* * * * *

Dated: March 16, 2006.

Kevin J. Eldridge,

*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander,
Eleventh Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 06-2876 Filed 3-24-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**Coast Guard****33 CFR Part 165**

[COTP San Francisco 06-009]

RIN 1625-AA87

**Security Zones; San Francisco Bay,
San Pablo Bay, Carquinez Strait,
Suisun Bay, CA**

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing temporary fixed security zones in the waters extending

approximately 100 yards around six separate oil refinery piers in the San Francisco Bay area. These security zones are an integral part of the Coast Guard's efforts to protect these facilities and the surrounding areas from destruction or damage due to accidents, subversive acts, or other causes of a similar nature. Entry into the zones is prohibited, unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port (COTP) San Francisco Bay, or his designated representative. These zones will be subject to discretionary and random patrol and monitoring by Coast Guard, Federal, state and local law enforcement assets.

DATES: This rule is effective from 11:59 p.m. PST on March 31, 2006 to 12 a.m. PST on April 10, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Documents indicated in this preamble, as being available in the docket, are part of docket COTP San Francisco Bay 06–009 and are available for inspection or copying at the Waterways Safety Branch between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lieutenant Ian Callander, Waterways Safety Branch, U.S. Coast Guard Sector San Francisco, (415) 556–2950 extension 142, or the Sector San Francisco Command Center, at (415) 399–3547.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory Information

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing an NPRM because the threat to U.S. assets and the public currently exists and is ongoing.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register** because the threat of maritime attacks is real and any delay in the effective date of this temporary final rule (TFR) is impractical and contrary to the public interest.

On September 22, 2005 we published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) entitled, Security Zones; San Francisco Bay, San Pablo Bay, Carquinez Strait, Suisun Bay, CA, in the **Federal Register** (70 FR 55607). We received no letters commenting on the proposed rule. No public hearing was requested, and none was held. On September 22, 2005 we also published a temporary final rule (TFR) in the **Federal Register** (70 FR 55607) establishing temporary fixed security zones in the waters extending approximately 100 yards around six separate oil refinery piers in the San Francisco Bay area, effective from 11:59 p.m. PST on September 9, 2005, to 11:59 p.m. PST on March 31, 2006. On February 17, 2006 the final rule (FR) was signed and was published on March 9, 2006 (71 FR 12136). The final rule becomes effective on April 10, 2006. For continuity this rule is necessary to maintain the security zones during the period that the TFR expires at 11:59 p.m. PST on March 31, 2006 until the FR becomes effective on April 10, 2006.

Background and Purpose

In its effort to manage the threat posed by terrorist activity, the Coast Guard has increased safety and security measures on U.S. ports and waterways. The measures contemplated by this rule are intended to assist the Coast Guard in protecting vessels and facilities within or adjacent to the six marine oil terminals in San Francisco Bay. As part of the Diplomatic Security and Antiterrorism Act of 1986 (Pub. L. 99–399), Congress amended section 7 of the Ports and Waterways Safety Act (PWSA), 33 U.S.C. 1226, to allow the Coast Guard to take actions, including the establishment of security and safety zones, to prevent or respond to acts of terrorism against individuals, vessels, or public or commercial structures. The Coast Guard also has authority to establish security zones pursuant to the Act of June 15, 1917, as amended by the Magnuson Act of August 9, 1950 (50 U.S.C. 191 *et seq.*) and implementing regulations promulgated by the President in subparts 6.01 and 6.04 of part 6 of title 33 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

To address the aforementioned security concerns, and to take steps to prevent the catastrophic impact that a terrorist attack against marine oil terminals within San Francisco Bay would have on the public interest, the Coast Guard is establishing temporary fixed security zones in the waters extending approximately 100 yards around six separate oil refinery piers in the San Francisco Bay, California. These security zones help the Coast Guard to prevent vessels or persons from engaging in terrorist actions against these facilities. Due to heightened security concerns, and due to the catastrophic impact a terrorist attack on a marine oil terminal would have on the surrounding waterways, area, and community, security zones are prudent for these facilities.

Discussion of Rule

In this temporary final rule, the Coast Guard is establishing temporary fixed security zones in the waters extending from the surface to the sea floor and approximately 100 yards around six separate oil refinery piers in the San Francisco Bay Area.

For the Chevron-Texaco oil facility, the proposed security zone would extend approximately 100 yards into the waters of San Francisco Bay around the Chevron Long Wharf, located in Richmond, California.

For the Conoco-Phillips oil facility, the proposed security zone would extend approximately 100 yards into the

waters of San Pablo Bay around the Conoco-Phillips Wharf, located in Rodeo, California.

For the Shell Martinez oil facility, the proposed security zone would extend approximately 100 yards into the waters of Carquinez Strait around the Shell Terminal, located in Martinez, California.

For the Tesoro-Amorco oil facility, the proposed security zone would extend approximately 100 yards into the waters of Carquinez Strait around the Amorco Pier, located in Martinez, California.

For the Valero oil facility, the proposed security zone would extend approximately 100 yards into the waters of Carquinez Strait around the Valero Pier, located in Benicia, California.

For the Tesoro-Avon oil facility, the proposed security zone would extend approximately 100 yards into the waters of Suisun Bay around the Avon Pier, located in Martinez, California.

These zones will be subject to discretionary and random patrol and monitoring by Coast Guard, Federal, state and local law enforcement assets. Vessels and people may be allowed to enter these security zones on a case-by-case basis with authorization from the COTP or his designated representative.

Vessels or persons violating this section may be subject to both civil and criminal penalties as set forth in 33 U.S.C. 1232 and 50 U.S.C. 192. The Captain of the Port may enlist the aid and cooperation of any Federal, State, county, municipal, or private agency to assist in the enforcement of the regulation.

Regulatory Evaluation

This rule is not a “significant regulatory action” under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not “significant” under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

We expect the impact of this rule to be so minimal that a full regulatory evaluation under the regulatory policies and procedures of DHS is unnecessary. Although this rule restricts access to the waters encompassed by the security zones, the effect of this rule is not significant because: (i) The zones encompass only small portions of the waterways; (ii) vessels are able to pass safely around the zones; and (iii) vessels may be allowed to enter these zones on a case-by-case basis with permission of

the Captain of the Port or his designated representative.

The size of the zones is the minimum necessary to provide adequate protection for all of the six marine oil facilities. The entities most likely to be affected are fishing vessels and pleasure craft engaged in recreational activities and sightseeing.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

We expect this rule may affect owners and operators of vessels, some of which may be small entities, intending to fish, sightsee, transit, or anchor in the waters affected by these security zones. These security zones will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for several reasons: Small vessel traffic will be able to pass safely around the security zones and vessels engaged in recreational activities, sightseeing and commercial fishing have ample space outside of the zones to engage in these activities. Small entities and the maritime public will be advised of these security zones via public notice to mariners.

Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we offered to assist small entities in understanding the rule so that they could better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency’s responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247).

Collection of Information

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

Taking of Private Property

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and

responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

Energy Effects

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a “significant energy action” under that order because it is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

Technical Standards

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have concluded that there are no factors in this case that would limit the use of a categorical exclusion under section 2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore, this rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation because we are establishing a security zone.

An “Environmental Analysis Check List” and a “Categorical Exclusion Determination” (CED) will be available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1226, 1231; 46 U.S.C. Chapter 701; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Pub. L. 107–295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. Add temporary § 165.T11–070, to read as follows:

§ 165.T11–070 Security Zones; San Francisco Bay, San Pablo Bay, Carquinez Strait, Suisun Bay, California.

(a) *Locations.* The following areas are security zones:

(1) *Chevron Richmond Long Wharf, San Francisco Bay.* This security zone includes all waters extending from the surface to the sea floor within approximately 100 yards of the Chevron Richmond Long Wharf and encompasses all waters in San Francisco Bay within a line connecting the following geographical positions—

Latitude	Longitude
37°55′52.2″ N.	122°24′04.7″ W.
37°55′41.8″ N.	122°24′07.1″ W.
37°55′26.8″ N.	122°24′35.9″ W.
37°55′47.1″ N.	122°24′55.5″ W.
37°55′42.9″ N.	122°25′03.5″ W.
37°55′11.2″ N.	122°24′32.8″ W.
37°55′14.4″ N.	122°24′27.5″ W.
37°55′19.7″ N.	122°24′23.7″ W.
37°55′22.2″ N.	122°24′26.2″ W.
37°55′38.5″ N.	122°23′56.9″ W.
37°55′47.8″ N.	122°23′53.3″ W.

and along the shoreline back to the beginning point.

(2) *Conoco-Phillips, San Pablo Bay.* This security zone includes all waters extending from the surface to the sea floor within approximately 100 yards of the Conoco-Phillips Rodeo Terminal and encompasses all waters in San Pablo Bay within a line connecting the following geographical positions—

Latitude	Longitude
38°03′06.0″ N.	122°15′32.4″ W.
38°03′20.7″ N.	122°15′35.8″ W.
38°03′21.8″ N.	122°15′29.8″ W.
38°03′29.1″ N.	122°15′31.8″ W.
38°03′23.8″ N.	122°15′55.8″ W.
38°03′16.8″ N.	122°15′53.2″ W.
38°03′18.6″ N.	122°15′45.2″ W.
38°03′04.0″ N.	122°15′42.0″ W.

and along the shoreline back to the beginning point.

(3) *Shell Martinez, Carquinez Strait.* This security zone includes all waters extending from the surface to the sea floor within approximately 100 yards of the Shell Martinez Terminal and encompasses all waters in San Pablo Bay within a line connecting the following geographical positions—

Latitude	Longitude
38°01′39.8″ N.	122°07′40.3″ W.
38°01′54.0″ N.	122°07′43.0″ W.
38°01′56.9″ N.	122°07′37.9″ W.
38°02′02.7″ N.	122°07′42.6″ W.
38°01′49.5″ N.	122°08′08.7″ W.
38°01′43.7″ N.	122°08′04.2″ W.
38°01′50.1″ N.	122°07′50.5″ W.
38°01′36.3″ N.	122°07′47.6″ W.

and along the shoreline back to the beginning point.

(4) *Tesoro-Amorco, Carquinez Strait.* This security zone includes all waters extending from the surface to the sea floor within approximately 100 yards of the Tesoro-Amorco oil terminal wharf and encompasses all waters in the Carquinez Strait within a line connecting the following geographical positions—

Latitude	Longitude
38°02′03.1″ N.	122°07′11.9″ W.
38°02′05.6″ N.	122°07′18.9″ W.
38°02′07.9″ N.	122°07′14.9″ W.
38°02′13.0″ N.	122°07′19.4″ W.
38°02′05.7″ N.	122°07′35.9″ W.
38°02′00.5″ N.	122°07′31.1″ W.
38°02′01.8″ N.	122°07′27.3″ W.
38°01′55.0″ N.	122°07′11.0″ W.

and along the shoreline back to the beginning point.

(5) *Valero, Carquinez Strait.* This security zone includes all waters extending from the surface to the sea floor within approximately 100 yards of the Valero Benicia Pier and encompasses all waters in the Carquinez Strait within a line connecting the following geographical positions—

Latitude	Longitude
38°02′37.6″ N.	122°07′51.5″ W.
38°02′34.7″ N.	122°07′48.9″ W.
38°02′44.1″ N.	122°07′34.9″ W.
38°02′48.0″ N.	122°07′37.9″ W.
38°02′47.7″ N.	122°07′42.1″ W.

and along the shoreline back to the beginning point.

(6) *Tesoro-Avon, Suisun Bay.* This security zone includes all waters extending from the surface to the sea floor within approximately 100 yards of the Tesoro-Avon Wharf and encompasses all waters in Suisun Bay within a line connecting the following geographical positions—

Latitude	Longitude
38°02′24.6″ N.	122°04′52.9″ W.
38°02′54.0″ N.	122°05′19.5″ W.
38°02′55.8″ N.	122°05′16.1″ W.

38°03′02.1″ N.	122°05′19.4″ W.
38°02′55.1″ N.	122°05′42.6″ W.
38°02′48.8″ N.	122°05′39.2″ W.
38°02′52.4″ N.	122°05′27.7″ W.
38°02′46.5″ N.	122°05′22.4″ W.

and along the shoreline back to the beginning point.

(b) *Regulations.*

(1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165 of this part, entry into these security zones is prohibited, unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port San Francisco Bay, or his designated representative.

(2) Persons desiring to transit the area of a security zone may contact the Captain of the Port at telephone number 415–399–3547 or on VHF–FM channel 16 (156.8 MHz) to seek permission to transit the area. If permission is granted, all persons and vessels must comply with the instructions of the Captain of the Port or his designated representative.

(c) *Enforcement.* All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Coast Guard Captain of the Port or the designated on-scene patrol personnel. Patrol personnel comprise commissioned, warrant, and petty officers of the Coast Guard onboard Coast Guard, Coast Guard Auxiliary, local, state, and federal law enforcement vessels. Upon being hailed by U.S. Coast Guard patrol personnel by siren, radio, flashing light, or other means, the operator of a vessel shall proceed as directed. The U.S. Coast Guard may be assisted in the patrol and enforcement of these security zones by local law enforcement as necessary.

(d) *Effective period.* This section becomes effective at 11:59 p.m. PST on March 31, 2006, and will terminate at 12 a.m. PST on April 10, 2006.

Dated: March 20, 2006.

W.J. Uberti,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port.

[FR Doc. 06–2911 Filed 3–24–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–15–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**40 CFR Part 52**

[EPA–R09–OAR–2005–NV–0001; FRL–8045–9]

Revisions to the Nevada State Implementation Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is finalizing approval of revisions to the Nevada State