

Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482 2924 (Baker), (202) 482-0649 (James).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 11, 1995, the Department published the antidumping duty order on OCTG from Argentina. See *Antidumping Duty Order: Oil Country Tubular Goods from Argentina*, 60 FR 41055 (August 11, 1995). On August 1, 2005, we published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request administrative reviews. See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 70 FR 44085 (August 1, 2005). On August 31, 2005, United States Steel Corporation (petitioner) requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of sales of the subject merchandise made by Siderca.

On September 28, 2005, the Department published a notice of initiation of this administrative review. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 70 FR 56631 (September 28, 2005). The Department issued its antidumping duty questionnaire to Siderca on October 3, 2005. In response, Siderca stated in an October 24, 2005, submission that it had no entries for consumption of subject merchandise of OCTG during the POR, and requested that the Department rescind the administrative review with respect to Siderca.

On January 24, 2006, the Department placed on the record of the review copies of documents regarding entries of subject merchandise from Argentina that it obtained from Customs and Border Protection (CBP). On February 2, 2006, the Department issued a letter to petitioners, domestic interested parties, and Siderca stating that the Department intended to rescind the review. We invited parties to submit comments on our intent to rescind the review. We requested that any comments be submitted by February 9, 2006. We received no comments.

Period of Review

The POR is August 1, 2004 through July 31, 2005.

Scope of the Review

OCTG are hollow steel products of circular cross-section, including oil well

casing and tubing of iron (other than cast iron) or steel (both carbon and alloy), whether seamless or welded, whether or not conforming to American Petroleum Institute (API) or non-API specifications, whether finished or unfinished (including green tubes and limited service OCTG products).

This scope does not cover casing or tubing pipe containing 10.5 percent or more of chromium. Drill pipe was excluded from this order beginning August 11, 2001. See *Continuation of Countervailing and Antidumping Duty Orders on Oil Country Tubular Goods From Argentina, Italy, Japan, Korea and Mexico, and Partial Revocation of Those Orders From Argentina and Mexico With Respect to Drill Pipe*, 66 FR 38630 (July 25, 2001).

The OCTG subject to this order are currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers: 7304.29.10.10, 7304.29.10.20, 7304.29.10.30, 7304.29.10.40, 7304.29.10.50, 7304.29.10.60, 7304.29.10.80, 7304.29.20.10, 7304.29.20.20, 7304.29.20.30, 7304.29.20.40, 7304.29.20.50, 7304.29.20.60, 7304.29.20.80, 7304.29.30.10, 7304.29.30.20, 7304.29.30.30, 7304.29.30.40, 7304.29.30.50, 7304.29.30.60, 7304.29.30.80, 7304.29.40.10, 7304.29.40.20, 7304.29.40.30, 7304.29.40.40, 7304.29.40.50, 7304.29.40.60, 7304.29.40.80, 7304.29.50.15, 7304.29.50.30, 7304.29.50.45, 7304.29.50.60, 7304.29.50.75, 7304.29.60.15, 7304.29.60.30, 7304.29.60.45, 7304.29.60.60, 7304.29.60.75, 7305.20.20.00, 7305.20.40.00, 7305.20.60.00, 7305.20.80.00, 7306.20.10.30, 7306.20.10.90, 7306.20.20.00, 7306.20.30.00, 7306.20.40.00, 7306.20.60.10, 7306.20.60.50, 7306.20.80.10, and 7306.20.80.50.

The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. Our written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Rescission of Review

On October 24, 2005, Siderca informed the Department that it did not ship OCTG to the United States during the POR, and requested that we rescind the administrative review. The Department subsequently obtained and reviewed entry documents from CBP, and found no evidence that Siderca had knowledge that any of its production was destined for the United States. In a February 2, 2006, letter to parties, we requested comments from parties on this determination, and received no

comments. Therefore, based on our review of CBP documents, we are satisfied that there were no entries of subject merchandise subject to this administrative review. Accordingly, we are rescinding the review.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), the Department may rescind an administrative review, in whole or with respect to a particular exporter or producer, if the Secretary concludes that, during the period covered by the review, there were no entries, exports, or sales of the subject merchandise. Because the evidence on the record shows that there were no entries of OCTG made by Siderca during the POR, the Department is rescinding this review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3). The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP within fifteen days of publication of this notice.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (as amended) and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: March 13, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-3988 Filed 3-17-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-475-820]

Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Review: Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Italy

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received information sufficient to warrant initiation of a changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rod (SSWR) from Italy. Based on this information, we preliminarily determine that: 1) Acciaierie Valbruna S.p.A. (Valbruna S.p.A.) is the successor-in-interest to Acciaierie Valbruna S.r.l. (Valbruna S.r.l.) and its subsidiary Acciaierie Bolzano S.p.A. (Bolzano S.p.A.), a respondent in the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation; and 2) merchandise from Acciaierie Valbruna S.p.A. should be excluded from the antidumping duty order. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 20, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irina Itkin or Alice Gibbons, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0656 and (202) 482-0498, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 15, 1998, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 49327) the antidumping duty order on SSWR from Italy. Valbruna S.r.l. and its affiliate Bolzano S.p.A. were excluded from the order because their dumping margin was *de minimis*. On January 26, 2006, Valbruna S.p.A. submitted a written request that the Department conduct a changed circumstances review in order to clarify for U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) that Valbruna S.p.A. is the successor-in-interest to Valbruna S.r.l./Bolzano S.p.A. and that subject merchandise produced by this entity should not be subject to antidumping

duties. Valbruna S.p.A. requested that the result of the Department's changed circumstances review be retroactive to December 16, 1998, the effective date of Valbruna S.r.l.'s name and corporate change to Valbruna S.p.A. On January 30, 2006, the Department requested that Valbruna S.p.A. supplement this request for a changed circumstances review by addressing the four factors normally examined by the Department in successor-in-interest determinations: changes in (1) Management; (2) production facilities; (3) supplier relationships; and (4) customer base. On February 8, 2006, Valbruna submitted this information to the Department. Further, on March 7, 2006, Valbruna S.p.A. submitted information to address additional questions raised by the Department on March 3, 2006.

Scope of Order

For purposes of this order, SSWR comprises products that are hot-rolled or hot-rolled annealed and/or pickled and/or descaled rounds, squares, octagons, hexagons or other shapes, in coils, that may also be coated with a

lubricant containing copper, lime or oxalate. SSWR is made of alloy steels containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. These products are manufactured only by hot-rolling or hot-rolling, annealing, and/or pickling and/or descaling, are normally sold in coiled form, and are of solid cross-section. The majority of SSWR sold in the United States is round in cross-sectional shape, annealed and pickled, and later cold-finished into stainless steel wire or small-diameter bar.

The most common size for such products is 5.5 millimeters or 0.217 inches in diameter, which represents the smallest size that normally is produced on a rolling mill and is the size that most wire-drawing machines are set up to draw. The range of SSWR sizes normally sold in the United States is between 0.20 inches and 1.312 inches diameter. Two stainless steel grades, SF20T and K-M35FL, are excluded from the scope of the order. The chemical makeup for the excluded grades is as follows:

SF20T

Carbon	0.05 max	Chromium	19.00/21.00
Manganese	2.00 max	Molybdenum	1.50/2.50
Phosphorous	0.05 max	Lead	added (0.10/0.30)
Sulfur	0.15 max	Tellurium	added (0.03 min)
Silicon	1.00 max		

K-M35FL

Carbon	0.015 max	Nickel	0.30 max
Silicon	0.70/1.00	Chromium	12.50/14.00
Manganese	0.40 max	Lead	0.10/0.30
Phosphorous	0.04 max	Aluminum	0.20/0.35
Sulfur	0.03 max		

The products subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 7221.00.0005, 7221.00.0015, 7221.00.0030, 7221.00.0045, and 7221.00.0075 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

Initiation and Preliminary Results of Review

In its January 26, 2006, February 8, 2006, and March 7, 2006, submissions to the Department, Valbruna S.p.A. provided information to the Department to demonstrate that it is the successor-in-interest to Valbruna S.r.l./Bolzano S.p.A. and that subject merchandise produced by it should not be subject to antidumping duties given that Valbruna

S.r.l./Bolzano S.p.A. were excluded from the antidumping duty order. *See* 63 FR 49327 (Sept. 15, 1998).

Thus, in accordance with section 751(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.221(a), the Department is initiating a changed circumstances review to determine whether Valbruna S.p.A. is the successor-in-interest to Valbruna S.r.l./Bolzano S.p.A. and thus entitled to exclusion from the antidumping duty order on SSWR from Italy.

Valbruna S.p.A. has presented evidence to establish a *prima facie* case that neither its change in corporate form and name from Valbruna S.r.l. to Valbruna S.p.A. nor its subsequent merger with its wholly owned subsidiary, Bolzano S.p.A., affected the company's operations (*i.e.*,

management, production facilities, supplier relationships, or customer relationships) so that they are materially dissimilar to those of its predecessor. As a consequence, we find that it is appropriate to issue the preliminary results of our review in combination with the notice of initiation of the changed circumstances review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(ii). Because the evidence indicates that Valbruna S.p.A. has the same corporate structure and operations as Valbruna S.r.l./Bolzano S.p.A., we preliminarily determine that merchandise from Valbruna S.p.A. should be excluded from the antidumping duty order. Thus, if these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of this changed circumstances review, we will instruct CBP to liquidate, without regard to

antidumping duties, all entries entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after December 16, 1998, the date of Valbruna S.r.l.'s name change to Valbruna S.p.A. This action is in accordance with the Department's practice of applying the results of changed circumstances determinations retroactively where the company in question was never subject to the order. *See Certain Hot-Rolled Lead and Bismuth Carbon Steel Products from the United Kingdom: Final Results of Changed-Circumstances Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 64 FR 66880, 66881 (Nov. 30, 1999). For further discussion of this issue, see the memorandum from Irene Darzenta Tzafolias to Stephen J. Claeys, entitled "Successor-In-Interest Determination for Acciaierie Valbruna S.r.l. in the Changed Circumstances Review of Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Italy," dated concurrently with this notice.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Any written comments may be submitted no later than 14 days after date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to arguments raised in case briefs, are due five days after the case brief deadline. Case briefs and rebuttal briefs must be served on interested parties in accordance with 19 CFR 351.209. The Department will publish the final results of the changed circumstances review including the results of its analysis of any issues raised in any such comments.

This initiation of review, preliminary results of review, and notice are in accordance with sections 751(b) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 13, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.
[FR Doc. E6-3990 Filed 3-17-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-489-806]

Certain Pasta From Turkey: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* March 20, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brandon Farlander or Audrey Twyman, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import

Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0182 and (202) 482-3534, respectively.

Background

On July 24, 1996, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (61 FR 38546) the countervailing duty order on certain pasta from Turkey. On July 1, 2005, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" of this countervailing duty order (70 FR 38099). We received one request for review on July 29, 2005, and initiated the review for calendar year 2004, on August 29, 2005 (70 FR 51009). The preliminary results for this review are currently due no later than April 3, 2006.

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Section 7512(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department of Commerce ("Department") to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and the final results of review within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. If it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively.

We currently analyzing supplemental information provided by the respondent and the Government of Turkey in this review. Because the Department requires additional time to review, analyze, and, if necessary, to issue additional supplemental questionnaires, it is not practicable to complete this review within the originally anticipated time limit (*i.e.*, by April 3, 2006). Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results to not later than June 5, 2006, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 14, 2006.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 06-2647 Filed 3-17-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 031006C]

Marine Mammal Authorization Program Integration of Registration for Selected Atlantic Ocean, Gulf of Mexico, and Caribbean Fisheries

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of integrated registration program for Southeast fisheries.

SUMMARY: NMFS is providing notice that it is increasing the number of fisheries for which the Marine Mammal Authorization Program (MMAP) registration is integrated with existing state and Federal fishery licensing and permitting programs. NMFS is integrating MMAP registration for state fisheries permitted through the states of North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Louisiana, Alabama, Mississippi, Texas, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

ADDRESSES: For east coast fisheries, MMAP marine mammal injury/mortality reporting forms may be obtained at the following Web address: http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/pdfs/interactions/mmap_reporting_form.pdf or from the following office:

NMFS, Southeast Regional office, Protected Resources Division, 263 13th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701, Attn: Teletha Mincey.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Vicki Cornish, Southeast Regional Office, 727-824-5312; or Patricia Lawson, Office of Protected Resources, 301-713-2322. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. Eastern time, Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) prohibits the taking (defined as actual or attempted harassment, hunt, capture, or kill) of marine mammals, with certain exceptions. The MMAP provides an authorization for commercial fishermen that allows the incidental (*i.e.*, non-intentional) taking of marine mammals during the course of commercial fishing operations. NMFS must annually publish a List of