

First, that having been provided notice and opportunity for comment as provided in section 766.23 of the Export Administration Regulations (hereinafter, the "Regulations"), the following parties (hereinafter, "Related Persons") have been determined to be related to Sunford Trading, Ltd., Room 2202, 22/F, 118 Connaught Road West, Hong Kong, by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services, and it has been deemed necessary to make the Order denying the export privileges of Sunford Trading, Ltd. applicable to these Related Persons in order to prevent evasion of the Order:

- A. Sunford (China) Trading Ltd., Room 588, Site B, Zhong Ding Building, No. A 18 North San Huan West Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100086, People's Republic of China
- B. Sunford Trading Ltd., Room 711, 7/F, HSH Mongkok Plaza, 800 Nathan Road, Mongkok, Kowloon, Hong Kong
- C. Hero Peak Ltd., Room D, 11/F, Fui Nam Building, 48–51 Connaught Road West, Hong Kong
- D. Hero Peak Ltd., Flat C, Block 4, 11/F Golden Bldg, 146 Fuk Wa Street, Sham Shui Po, Kowloon, Hong Kong
- E. Joanna Liu, Flat C, Block 4, 11/F Golden Bldg, 146 Fuk Wa Street, Sham Shui Po, Kowloon, Hong Kong
- F. Joanna Liu, Flat 23C, 97 High Street, Hong Kong
- G. Portson Trading, Ltd., Unit D, 8/F, No. 217–223 Tung Choi Street, Mong Kok, Kowloon, Hong Kong
- H. Gold Technology Limited, 20 FuKang Road, ChangPing Science Park, Beijing 102200, People's Republic of China
- I. Gold Technology Limited, Flat 23C, 97 High Street, Hong Kong
- J. Gold Technology Limited, Room 408, Site B, Zhong Ding Building, No. A 18 North San Huan West Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100086, People's Republic of China
- K. Sunford Technology Development Ltd., British Virgin Islands
- L. Beijing Gold Technology Ltd., Beijing, People's Republic of China
- M. Sunford (International) Technology, Ltd., Macau, People's Republic of China
- N. Sunford Macau Commercial Offshore, Ltd., Macau, People's Republic of China

Second, that the denial of export privileges described in the Order against Sunford Trading, Ltd., which was published in the **Federal Register** on August 25, 2005, shall be made applicable to the Related Persons until August 25, 2008 as follows:

- I. The Related Persons, their successors or assigns, and when acting

for or on behalf of the Related Persons, their officers, representatives, agents, or employees (collectively, "Denied Persons") may not participate, directly or indirectly, in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as "item") exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations, including, but not limited to:

- A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export control document;
- B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations; or
- C. Benefitting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations.

II. No person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

- A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of the Denied Persons any item subject to the Regulations;
- B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the Denied Persons of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the Denied Person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;
- C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the Denied Persons of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States;
- D. Obtain from the Denied Persons in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or
- E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Persons, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied

Persons if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

Third, that in accordance with the provisions of section 766.23(c) of the Export Administration Regulations, any of the Related Persons may, at any time, make an appeal related to this Order by filing a full written statement in support of the appeal with the Office of the Administrative Law Judge, U.S. Coast Guard ALJ Docketing Center, 40 South Gay Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202–4022.

Fourth, that this Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the Regulations where the only items involved that are subject to the Regulations are the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

Fifth, that this Order shall be published in the **Federal Register** and a copy provided to each of the Related Persons.

This Order, is effective on March 10, 2006.

Entered this 9th day of March, 2006.

**Wendy Wyong,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. 06–2484 Filed 3–15–06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DT-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–122–822]

#### **Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Canada: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On September 9, 2005, the Department of Commerce (Department) published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products (CORE) from Canada. *See Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Canada: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 70 FR 53621 (September 9, 2005) (*Preliminary Results*). The review covers shipments of this merchandise to the United States for the period August 1, 2003, through July 31, 2004, made by Dofasco Inc., Sorevco Inc. (Sorevco), and Do Sol

Galva Ltd. (collectively Dofasco), and by Stelco Inc.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our *Preliminary Results*. Based on our analysis of comments, we have made changes in the margin calculations. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. For the final dumping margins, see the "Final Results of Review" section below.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* March 16, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Douglas Kirby or Joshua Reitze, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-3782 or (202) 482-0666, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On September 9, 2005, the Department published the *Preliminary Results*. The Department verified questionnaire responses from respondents. For Dofasco, the Department conducted verification of Sorevco and Dofasco only, as Do Sol Galva did not ship subject merchandise during the POR. See *Preliminary Results*. From October 20 through October 21, 2005, the Department conducted verification of the sales questionnaire responses provided by Sorevco for this antidumping administrative review. From October 26 through October 28, 2005, the Department conducted a verification of the sales questionnaire responses submitted by Dofasco Inc. In addition, from October 24 through October 25, 2005, the Department conducted verification of the sales questionnaire responses submitted by Stelco. Our verification results are outlined in the public and proprietary versions of the Memorandum to the File:

*Administrative Review of Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Canada: Verification of Sorevco Inc. (Sorevco Verification Report)*, Memorandum to the File: *Report on the Sales Verification of Dofasco Inc. in the Eleventh Antidumping Duty Administrative Review for Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Canada (Dofasco Verification Report)*, and Memorandum to the File:

*Administrative Review of Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Canada: Verification of Stelco Inc. (Stelco Verification Report)*, which are on file in the Central Records

Unit (CRU), room B-099 of the Main Commerce Building.

**Scope of the Review**

The product covered by this antidumping duty order is certain corrosion-resistant steel, and includes flat-rolled carbon steel products, of rectangular shape, either clad, plated, or coated with corrosion-resistant metals such as zinc, aluminum, or zinc-, aluminum-, nickel- or iron-based alloys, whether or not corrugated or painted, varnished or coated with plastics or other nonmetallic substances in addition to the metallic coating, in coils (whether or not in successively superimposed layers) and of a width of 0.5 inch or greater, or in straight lengths which, if of a thickness less than 4.75 millimeters, are of a width of 0.5 inch or greater and which measures at least 10 times the thickness or if a thickness of 4.75 millimeters or more are of a width which exceeds 150 millimeters and measures at least twice the thickness, as currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) under item numbers 7210.30.0030, 7210.30.0060, 7210.41.0000, 7210.49.0030, 7210.49.0090, 7210.61.0000, 7210.69.0000, 7210.70.6030, 7210.70.6060, 7210.70.6090, 7210.90.1000, 7210.90.6000, 7210.90.9000, 7212.20.0000, 7212.30.1030, 7212.30.1090, 7212.30.3000, 7212.30.5000, 7212.40.1000, 7212.40.5000, 7212.50.0000, 7212.60.0000, 7215.90.3000, 7215.90.5000, 7217.20.1500, 7217.30.1530, 7217.30.1560, 7217.90.1000, 7217.90.5030, 7217.90.5060, and 7217.90.5090. Although the HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

Include in this order are corrosion-resistant flat-rolled products of non-rectangular cross-section where such cross-section is achieved subsequent to the rolling process (*i.e.*, products which have been "worked after rolling")—for example, products which have been beveled or rounded at the edges. Excluded from this order are flat-rolled steel products either plated or coated with tin, lead, chromium, chromium oxides, both tin and lead ("terne plate"), or both chromium and chromium oxides ("tin-free steel"), whether or not painted, varnished or coated with plastics or other nonmetallic substances in addition to the metallic coating. Also excluded from this order are clad products in straight lengths of 0.1875 inch or more in composite thickness

and of a width which exceeds 150 millimeters and measures at least twice the thickness. Also excluded from this order are certain clad stainless flat-rolled products, which are three-layered corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat-rolled products less than 4.75 millimeters in composite thickness that consist of a carbon steel flat-rolled product clad on both sides with stainless steel in a 20%-60%-20% ratio.

**Analysis of Comments Received**

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our *Preliminary Results* following the release of our verification results for Dofasco, Sorevco, and Stelco. The issues raised in all case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the *Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Canada*, from Stephen J. Claeys to David M. Spooner, dated March 8, 2006 (*Decision Memorandum*), which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues discussed in the *Decision Memorandum* is appendix to this notice. The *Decision Memorandum* is on file in the CRU, and can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov>.

**Changes Since the Preliminary Results**

Based on our analysis of comments received, we have corrected certain ministerial errors and made minor adjustments in the methodology that was used in the *Preliminary Results* concerning SAS programming errors for both Dofasco and Stelco, and disregarded Stelco's sales of off-spec, excess prime material sold through public offerings since we found them outside the ordinary course of trade. The adjustments are discussed in detail in the *Decision Memorandum*.

**Final Results of Review**

As a result of this review, we determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period August 1, 2003, through July 31, 2004:

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted average margin (percent)
Dofasco Inc., Sorevco, Inc., Do Sol Galva Ltd .....	2.96
Stelco Inc .....	3.08

**Assessment**

The Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection

(CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b). The Department calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the examined sales for that importer. Where the assessment rate is above *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to assess duties on all entries of subject merchandise by that importer.

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003 (68 FR 23954). This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the period of review produced by companies included in these final results of reviews for which the reviewed companies did not know their merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003). In accordance with 19 CFR 356.8(a), the Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP on or after 41 days following the date of publication of these final results of review.

#### Cash Deposits

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Canada entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, as provided by section 751(a) of the Act: (1) For companies covered by this review, the cash deposit rate will be the rate listed above; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be that established for the producer of the merchandise in these final results of review, a prior review, or in the final determination; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the producer is a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the investigation, the cash deposit rate will be 18.71 percent, the "All Others" rate

established in the less-than-fair-value investigation. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

#### Reimbursement

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred, and in the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

#### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice is the only reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under the APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 8, 2006.

**David M. Spooner,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

#### Appendix

##### List of Issues

1. Stelco's Sales of "Excess Prime" Merchandise in the Home Market.
2. Level of Trade Analysis for Dofasco.
3. Stelco's Margin Calculation Errors.
4. Dofasco's Margin Calculation Errors.

[FR Doc. 06-2508 Filed 3-15-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M**

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

(A-475-818)

#### Certain Pasta from Italy: Extension of Time Limits for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 16, 2006.

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dennis McClure or Brian Ledgerwood (202) 482-5973 or (202) 482-3836, respectively, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On August 29, 2005, the U.S. Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Italy, covering the period from July 1, 2004, to June 30, 2005. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 70 FR 51009 (August 29, 2005). The preliminary results of this review are currently due no later than April 3, 2006.

##### Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order or finding for which a review is requested. Consistent with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department may extend the 245-day period to 365 days if it is not practicable to complete the review within a 245-day period.

We determine that completion of the preliminary results of this review within the 245-day period is not practicable because additional time is needed by the Department to gather supplemental responses from the companies participating in the review. In order to obtain and analyze necessary additional information, and in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of review by 45 days to May 18, 2006. Therefore, the preliminary results are now due no later than May 18, 2006. The final results continue to be due 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(I) of the Act.