

**Participation in the investigation and public service list.**—Persons (other than petitioners) wishing to participate in the investigation as parties must file an entry of appearance with the Secretary to the Commission, as provided in sections 201.11 and 207.10 of the Commission's rules, not later than seven days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. Industrial users and (if the merchandise under investigation is sold at the retail level) representative consumer organizations have the right to appear as parties in Commission antidumping investigations. The Secretary will prepare a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to this investigation upon the expiration of the period for filing entries of appearance.

**Limited disclosure of business proprietary information (BPI) under an administrative protective order (APO) and BPI service list.**—Pursuant to section 207.7(a) of the Commission's rules, the Secretary will make BPI gathered in this investigation available to authorized applicants representing interested parties (as defined in 19 U.S.C. 1677(9)) who are parties to the investigation under the APO issued in the investigation, provided that the application is made not later than seven days after the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO.

**Conference.**—The Commission's Director of Operations has scheduled a conference in connection with this investigation for 9:30 a.m. on March 30, 2006, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC. Parties wishing to participate in the conference should contact Jim McClure (202–205–3191) not later than March 27, 2006, to arrange for their appearance. Parties in support of the imposition of antidumping duties in this investigation and parties in opposition to the imposition of such duties will each be collectively allocated one hour within which to make an oral presentation at the conference. A nonparty who has testimony that may aid the Commission's deliberations may request permission to present a short statement at the conference.

**Written submissions.**—As provided in sections 201.8 and 207.15 of the Commission's rules, any person may submit to the Commission on or before April 4, 2006, a written brief containing information and arguments pertinent to the subject matter of the investigation.

Parties may file written testimony in connection with their presentation at the conference no later than three days before the conference. If briefs or written testimony contain BPI, they must conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by § 201.8 of the Commission's rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (November 8, 2002). Even where electronic filing of a document is permitted, certain documents must also be filed in paper form, as specified in II (C) of the Commission's Handbook on Electronic Filing Procedures, 67 FR 68168, 68173 (November 8, 2002).

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the investigation must be served on all other parties to the investigation (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

**Authority:** This investigation is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.12 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 10, 2006.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E6–3756 Filed 3–14–06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–401 and 731–TA–853–854 (Review)]

### Structural Steel Beams From Japan and Korea

#### Determinations

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping order on structural steel beams from Japan and revocation of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on structural steel beams from Korea would not be likely to lead to

continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.<sup>2</sup>

#### Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on May 2, 2005 (70 FR 22696) and determined on August 5, 2005 that it would conduct full reviews (70 FR 48440, August 17, 2005). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on September 19, 2005 (70 FR 54962).<sup>3</sup> The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on January 12, 2006, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in this investigation to the Secretary of Commerce on March 9, 2006. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3840 (March 2006), entitled *Structural Steel Beams from Japan and Korea: Investigation Nos. 701–TA–401 and 731–TA–853–854 (Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 9, 2006.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E6–3718 Filed 3–14–06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Under 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on February 24, 2006, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Coffee County, et al.*, Civil Action Number 4:05–CV–5, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Tennessee.

In this action the United States sought, under Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9607, recovery of response costs incurred by the Air Force in response to releases of hazardous substances at the Coffee County Landfill located on the Arnold Air Force Base in Tennessee. The City of Manchester, City

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 207.2(f)).

<sup>2</sup> Commissioner Charlotte R. Lane dissenting.

<sup>3</sup> The revised schedule for the subject reviews was published on November 4, 2005 (70 FR 67193).

of Tullahoma, and Coffee County Tennessee (the "Defendants") are paying \$225,000 collectively. This settlement is based on the Defendants' ability to pay.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed settlement agreement. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States v. Coffee County, et al.* DOJ Ref. #90-11-2-08477.

During the public comment period, the proposed settlement agreement may be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html>. A copy of the proposed settlement agreement may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood, [tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov](mailto:tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), Fax No. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$0.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury, to obtain a copy of the Consent Decree.

**Ellen M. Mahan,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 06-2511 Filed 3-14-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging Proposed Consent Decree

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Donald Lee Prow, et al.*, Civil Action No. 05-1452-RHK (D. Minn.), was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota on March 2, 2006.

This proposed Consent Decree concerns a complaint filed by the United States against Donald Lee Prow, individually and d/b/a/ Rochester Topsoil, Inc.; Donald Bryce Prow, individually and d/b/a/ Rochester Topsoil, Inc.; and Rochester Topsoil, Inc., pursuant to section 309(b), 309(d), and 404 of the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), 33 U.S.C. 1319(b), 1319(d) and 1344, to obtain injunctive relief from and impose civil penalties against

the Defendants for violating the Clean Water Act by discharging pollutants without a permit, and in violation of a permit, into waters of the United States. The proposed Consent Decree resolves these allegations by requiring the Defendants to restore the impacted areas, perform mitigation and pay a civil penalty.

The Department of Justice will accept written comments relating to this proposed Consent Decree for thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this Notice. Please address comments to Joshua M. Levin, Senior Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice, Environmental and Natural Resources Division, Environmental Defense Section, P.O. Box 23986, Washington, DC 20026-3986, and refer to *United States v. Don Prow, et al.*, DJ #90-5-1-16552.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Clerk's Office, United States District Court for the District of Minnesota, at either of two addresses: 202 U.S. Courthouse, 300 South Fourth Street, Minneapolis, MN 55415, or 180 E. Fifth Street, St. Paul, MN 55101. In addition, the proposed Consent Decree may be viewed at <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html>.

Dated: March 3, 2006.

**Scott A. Schachter,**

*Assistant Chief, Environmental Defense Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 06-2509 Filed 3-14-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

Pursuant to 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on February 14, 2006, a proposed consent decree in *United States v. Edward Kraemer & Sons, Inc.*, Civil No. CIV-06-0480-PHX-NWV, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Arizona.

This Consent Decree will address claims asserted by the United States in a complaint filed contemporaneously with the Consent Decree against Edward Kraemer & Sons, Inc. (Kraemer) for civil penalties and injunctive relief under Section 113(b) of the Clean Air Act (the Act), 42 U.S.C. 7413(b), for failure to install suitable trackout control devices, failure to immediately clean up trackout and failure to comply with their dust control plan in violation of Rule 2 Regulation 1, and Rule 310 of Regulation 3 of the Maricopa County Air Quality Department (MCAQD) which are part of the federally approved and federally enforceable State

Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted to EPA by the State of Arizona pursuant to Section 110 of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 7410.

The proposed Consent Decree provides for the payment of \$190,000 in civil penalties. The Consent Decree also includes measures designed to abate fugitive dust emissions which include installation of trackout control devices at its work sites; employing a dust control monitor at sites with 50 acres or more of surface; and requiring dust control training for employees and certain employees of sub-contractors whose job responsibilities involve dust generating operations.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of the publication comments relating to the Consent Decree.

Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States v. Edward Kraemer & Sons, Inc.*, D.J. Ref. 90-5-2-1-08544.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney for the District of Arizona, Two Renaissance Square, 40 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1200, Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4408, and at U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, Office of Regional Counsel, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105. During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html>. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood ([tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov](mailto:tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov)), fax number (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$4.75 (.25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury.

**Ellen M. Mahan,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 06-2510 Filed 3-14-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M