DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570-831]

Fresh Garlic From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Results of Administrative Review and Notice of Amended Final Results

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On January 30, 2019, the United States Court of International Trade (the CIT) entered final judgment sustaining the Department of Commerce's (Commerce) second remand results pertaining to the fifteenth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China (China) for Shenzhen Xinboda Industrial Co., Ltd. (Xinboda). Commerce is notifying the public that the final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the final results and partial rescission of the fifteenth antidumping duty administrative review, and that Commerce has amended the dumping margin found for Xinboda.

DATES: Applicable February 19, 2019. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alexander Cipolla, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4956.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 27, 2011, Commerce published the *Final Results* pertaining to mandatory respondent Xinboda, along with other exporters.¹ In the *Final Results*, Commerce selected India as the primary surrogate country.² Pursuant to section 773(c)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and applying our intermediate input methodology, Commerce used prices published for Azadpur in India to value whole raw garlic bulbs (bulbs). Commerce calculated a rate of \$0.06 per kilogram for Xinboda, and the separate rate respondents.³

On April 16, 2014, the CIT remanded for Commerce to: (1) Consider information indicating the Azadpur bulb prices might involve a higher level of processing that potentially doublecounted processing of factors of production (FOPs) reported by Xinboda that Commerce included in normal value (NV); (2) consider information indicating that prices for grade A bulbs already reflect prices for grade S.A. bulbs; (3) explain why Tata Tea's financial statements are useable, in light of information Xinboda provided allegedly indicating that Tata Tea received countervailable subsidies, and why Garlico Industries Limited's (Garlico) statements are not useable: (4) explain further the intermediate labor methodology or revise the surrogate value (SV) for labor; and (5) explain why zeroing is permissible in nonmarket economy (NME) reviews.4

On August 4, 2014, Commerce filed the First Remand Results, revising Xinboda's rate from \$0.06 per kilogram to \$0.02 per kilogram.⁵ In accordance with the First Remand Opinion, Commerce adjusted its NV calculation by removing the costs of self-produced and consumed green leaf from the surrogate manufacturing overhead ratio from Tata Tea. In addition, we revised our SV for labor, in compliance with Commerce's current surrogate labor rate methodology. We revised our margin calculation to exclude the inland freight expense of transportation expenses for raw garlic bulbs from Indian growers to the Azadpur market.⁶ Commerce continued to rely on grade A and grade S.A. bulb data from the Azadpur market data, explaining that those prices were "more similar" to the input being valued and that the Researcher Declaration submitted by Xinboda was unreliable and did not undermine the Azadpur prices to the point of being unusable. Commerce also continued to rely on Tata Tea's financial statements in order to value the surrogate financial ratios and gave a more fulsome explanation of Commerce's practice in interpreting the "reason to believe or suspect" standard regarding whether financial statements contain evidence of countervailable subsidies. Finally, we continued to utilize our zeroing methodology.

On December 15, 2017, the CIT sustained Commerce's application of its zeroing methodology, and the SV for labor in the First Remand Results.7 However, the Court again remanded the First Remand Results for Commerce to reconsider the SV for whole raw garlic bulbs and the selection of surrogate financial statements.8 Per the Court's instructions, Commerce recalculated Xinboda's rate using only the contemporaneous grade A bulb prices from the Azadpur data. In addition, as directed by the Court, Commerce adjusted the surrogate bulb value in order to reflect the expenses associated with intermediaries and further processing of the garlic bulb. Moreover, Commerce continued to apply financial ratios derived from the 2010 unconsolidated financial statements of Tata Tea, after further explaining our practice regarding the "reason to believe or suspect" standard for countervailable subsidies in financial statements.9 The calculations performed in the Second Remand Results resulted in a weightedaverage dumping margin of \$0.00 per kilogram for Xinboda.

On January 30, 2019, the CIT sustained Commerce's *Second Remand Results* with respect to the fifteenth administrative review of the AD order on fresh garlic from China.¹⁰

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*, ¹¹ as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*, ¹² the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Act, Commerce must publish a notice of a court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's January 30, 2019, final judgment sustaining the *Second Remand Results* constitutes a final decision of the Court that is not in harmony with Commerce's

¹ See Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Final Rescission, in Part, of the 2008–2009 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 76 FR 37321 (June 27, 2011) (Final Results) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum (IDM).

 $^{^2}$ See IDM.

³ See Final Results, 76 FR at 37326.

⁴ See Shenzhen Xinboda Industrial Co., Ltd. v. United States, Slip Op. 14–45, Court No. 11–00267 (CIT 2014) (First Remand Opinion).

⁵ See "Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Remand, Shenzhen Xinboda Industrial Co., Ltd. v. United States, Court of International Trade No. 11–00267, Slip Op. 14–45," dated August 14, 2014 (First Remand Results).

⁶ Id. at 6.

⁷ See Shenzhen Xinboda Industrial Co. v. United States, CIT Slip Op. 17–166, Consol. Ct. No. 11– 00267 (December 15, 2017) (Second Remand Opinion).

⁸ See Second Remand Opinion at 4.

⁹ See Memorandum, "Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Remand: Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China, Shenzhen Xinboda Industrial Co., Ltd. v. United States, U.S. Court of International Trade, Consol. Ct. No. 11– 00267, Slip Op. 17–166," dated April 24, 2018 (Second Remand Results).

¹⁰ See Shenzhen Xinboda Industrial Co., Ltd. v. United States, CIT Slip Op. 19–16, Consol. Ct. No. 11–00267 (January 30, 2019) (Slip Op. 19–16).

¹¹ See Timken Co. v. United States, 893 F.2d 337, 341 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*).

¹² See Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (Diamond Sawblades).

*Final Results.*¹³ This notice is published in fulfillment of the *Timken* publication requirements.

Amended Final Results

Because there is now a final court decision, we are amending the *Final Results* with respect to the dumping margins calculated for Xinboda. Based on the *Second Remand Results*, as affirmed by the CIT, the revised dumping margin for Xinboda, for the period of review of November 1, 2008, through October 31, 2009, is \$0.00 per kilogram.

Accordingly, Commerce will continue the suspension of liquidation of the subject merchandise pending the expiration of the period of appeal or, if appealed, pending a final and conclusive court decision. In the event the CIT's ruling is not appealed or, if appealed, upheld on appeal, Commerce will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to liquidate the unliquidated entries of subject merchandise based on the revised dumping margin above.

Cash Deposit Requirements

Commerce will not update the cash deposit requirements for Xinboda as it has later-determined rates from subsequent administrative reviews.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 516A(e)(1), 751(a)(1), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 11, 2019.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2019–02585 Filed 2–15–19; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Environmental Technologies Trade Advisory Committee (ETTAC) Public Meeting

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, DOC.

ACTION: Notice of an Open Meeting of a Federal Advisory Committee.

SUMMARY: This notice sets forth the schedule and proposed agenda of a meeting of the Environmental Technologies Trade Advisory Committee (ETTAC).

DATES: The teleconference is scheduled for Thursday, March 7, 2019, at 2:30 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time (EDT). The

deadline for members of the public to register or to submit written comments for dissemination prior to the teleconference is 5:00 p.m. EDT on Thursday, February 28, 2019. The deadline for members of the public to request auxiliary aids is 5:00 p.m. EDT on Tuesday, February 26, 2019.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will take place via teleconference. For logistical reasons, all participants are required to register in advance by the date specified above. Please contact Ms. Amy Kreps at the contact information below to register and obtain call-in information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Amy Kreps, Office of Energy & Environmental Industries (OEEI), International Trade Administration, Room 28018, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230 (Phone: 202–482–3835; Fax: 202–482–5665; email: amy.kreps@trade.gov)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The teleconference will take place on March 7, 2019, from 2:30 p.m. to 4:30 p.m. EDT. The general meeting is open to the public, and time will be permitted for public comment from 4:15-4:30 p.m. EDT. Members of the public seeking to attend the teleconference are required to register in advance. Those interested in attending must provide notification by Thursday, February 28, 2019, at 5:00 p.m. EDT, via the contact information provided above. This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for auxiliary aids should be directed to OEEI at (202) 482-3835 no less than one week prior to the teleconference. Requests received after this date will be accepted, but it may not be possible to accommodate them.

Written comments concerning ETTAC affairs are welcome any time before or after the meeting. To be considered during the meeting, written comments must be received by Tuesday, February 26, 2019, at 5:00 p.m. EDT to ensure transmission to the members before the teleconference. Minutes will be available within 30 days of this meeting.

Topic to be considered: The agenda for the March 7, 2019, meeting includes providing the newly re-chartered committee with briefings on Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) requirements and an overview of ETTAC operations. OEEI will make the final agenda available to the public one week prior to the meeting. Please email amy.kreps@trade.gov or contact 202–482–3835 for a copy.

Background: The ETTAC is mandated by Section 2313(c) of the Export Enhancement Act of 1988, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 4728(c), to advise the Environmental Trade Working Group of the Trade Promotion Coordinating Committee, through the Secretary of Commerce, on the development and administration of programs to expand U.S. exports of environmental technologies, goods, services, and products. The ETTAC was most recently re-chartered until August 2020.

Dated: February 13, 2019.

Man Cho,

Deputy Director, Office of Energy and Environmental Industries.

[FR Doc. 2019–02674 Filed 2–15–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-580-874]

Certain Steel Nails From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Daejin Steel Co. (Daejin), Koram Inc. (Koram), and Korea Wire Co. Ltd. (Kowire) made sales of certain steel nails (steel nails) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) at less than normal value during the period of review (POR), July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2017.

DATES: Applicable February 19, 2019.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Maliha Khan (Daejin), Trisha Tran
(Koram), or Robert Galantucci (Kowire),
AD/CVD Operations, Office IV,
Enforcement and Compliance,
International Trade Administration,
U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401
Constitution Avenue NW, Washington,
DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0895,
(202) 482–4852, or (202) 482–2923,

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

respectively.

On July 12, 2018, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of the 2016–2017 antidumping duty administrative review of steel nails from Korea. Commerce conducted verification of Koram and Kowire from July 23, 2018 through August 2, 2018. We invited interested parties to

¹³ See Final Results.

¹ See Certain Steel Nails from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016– 2017, 83 FR 32265 (July 12, 2018) and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (Preliminary Results).