DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brenda E. Waters, Office of AD/CVD Operations, Customs Liaison Unit, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–4735.

Background

Each year during the anniversary month of the publication of an antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation, an interested party, as defined in section 771(9) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), may request, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213, that the Department of Commerce (the Department) conduct an administrative review of that antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

All deadlines for the submission of comments or actions by the Department discussed below refer to the number of calendar days from the applicable starting date.

Respondent Selection

In the event the Department limits the number of respondents for individual examination for administrative reviews initiated pursuant to requests made for the orders identified below, the Department intends to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) data for U.S. imports during the period of review. We intend to release the CBP data under Administrative Protective Order (APO) to all parties having an APO within five days of publication of the initiation

notice and to make our decision regarding respondent selection within 21 days of publication of the initiation Federal Register notice. Therefore, we encourage all parties interested in commenting on respondent selection to submit their APO applications on the date of publication of the initiation notice, or as soon thereafter as possible. The Department invites comments regarding the CBP data and respondent selection within five days of placement of the CBP data on the record of the review.

In the event the Department decides it is necessary to limit individual examination of respondents and conduct respondent selection under section 777A(c)(2) of the Act:

In general, the Department finds that determinations concerning whether particular companies should be 'collapsed'' (*i.e.,* treated as a single entity for purposes of calculating antidumping duty rates) require a substantial amount of detailed information and analysis, which often require follow-up questions and analysis. Accordingly, the Department will not conduct collapsing analyses at the respondent selection phase of a review and will not collapse companies at the respondent selection phase unless there has been a determination to collapse certain companies in a previous segment of this antidumping proceeding (i.e., investigation, administrative review, new shipper review or changed circumstances review). For any company subject to a review, if the Department determined, or continued to treat, that company as collapsed with others, the Department will assume that such companies continue to operate in the same manner and will collapse them for respondent selection purposes. Otherwise, the Department will not collapse companies for purposes of respondent selection. Parties are requested to (a) identify which companies subject to review previously were collapsed, and (b) provide a citation to the proceeding in which they were collapsed. Further, if companies are requested to complete a

Quantity and Value Questionnaire for purposes of respondent selection, in general each company must report volume and value data separately for itself. Parties should not include data for any other party, even if they believe they should be treated as a single entity with that other party. If a company was collapsed with another company or companies in the most recently completed segment of a proceeding where the Department considered collapsing that entity, complete quantity and value data for that collapsed entity must be submitted.

Deadline for Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), a party that requests a review may withdraw that request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The regulation provides that the Department may extend this time if it is reasonable to do so. In order to provide parties additional certainty with respect to when the Department will exercise its discretion to extend this 90-day deadline, interested parties are advised that, with regard to reviews requested on the basis of anniversary months on or after November 2017, the Department does not intend to extend the 90-day deadline unless the requestor demonstrates that an extraordinary circumstance prevented it from submitting a timely withdrawal request. Determinations by the Department to extend the 90-day deadline will be made on a case-by-case basis.

The Department is providing this notice on its Web site, as well as in its "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" notices, so that interested parties will be aware of the manner in which the Department intends to exercise its discretion in the future.

OPPORTUNITY TO REQUEST A REVIEW: Not later than the last day of November 2017, interested parties may request administrative review of the following orders, findings, or suspended investigations, with anniversary dates in November for the following periods:

	Period of review
Antidumping Duty Proceedings	
BRAZIL: Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe A-351-809	11/1/16-10/31/17
INDIA: Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe A-533-867	5/10/16-10/31/17
INDONESIA:	
Certain Coated Paper Suitable for High-Quality Print Graphics Using Sheet-Fed Presses A-560-823	11/1/16-10/31/17
Monosodium Glutamate A-560-826	11/1/16-10/31/17
MEXICO:	

¹ Or the next business day, if the deadline falls on a weekend, federal holiday or any other day when the Department is closed.

	Period of review
Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe A-201-805	11/1/16–10/31/17
Seamless Refined Copper Pipe and Tube A-201-838	11/1/16-10/31/17
Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar A-201-844	11/1/16-10/31/17
REPUBLIC OF KOREA: Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe A-580-809	11/1/16-10/31/17
TAIWAN:	
Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe A-583-814	11/1/16-10/31/17
Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products A-583-835	11/1/16-10/31/17
THAILAND: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products A-549-817	11/1/16-10/31/17
THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA:	
Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon Steel A-570-849	11/1/16-10/31/17
Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products A-570-865	11/1/16-10/31/17
Coated Paper Suitable for High-Quality Print Graphics Using Sheet-Fed Presses A-570-958	11/1/16-10/31/17
Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof A-570-900	11/1/16-10/31/17
Fresh Garlic A-570-831	11/1/16-10/31/17
Lightweight Thermal Paper A-570-920	11/1/16-10/31/17
Monosodium Glutamate A-570-992	11/1/16-10/31/17
Paper Clips A-570-826	11/1/16-10/31/17
Polyethylene Terephthalate (Pet) Film A-570-924	11/1/16-10/31/17
Pure Magnesium in Granular Form A-570-864	11/1/16-10/31/17
Refined Brown Aluminum Oxide A-570-882	11/1/16-10/31/17
Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe A-570-956	11/1/16-10/31/17
Seamless Refined Copper Pipe and Tube A-570-964	11/1/16-10/31/17
UKRAINE: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products A-823-811	11/1/16-10/31/17
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES: Polyethylene Terephthalate (Pet) Film A-520-803	11/1/16–10/31/17
Countervailing Duty Proceedings:	
INDIA: Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe C-533-868	3/11/16-12/31/16
INDONESIA: Certain Coated Paper Suitable for High-Quality Print Graphics Using Sheet-Fed Presses C-560-824	1/1/16-12/31/16
THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA:	
Certain Coated Paper Suitable for High-Quality Print Graphics Using Sheet-Fed Presses C-570-959	1/1/16-12/31/16
Chlorinated Isocyanurates C-570-991	1/1/16-12/31/16
Lightweight Thermal Paper C-570-921	1/1/16-12/31/16
Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe C-570-957	1/1/16-12/31/16
TURKEY: Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar C-489-819	1/1/16-12/31/16
Suspension Agreements:	
UKRAINE: Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon Steel A-823-808	11/1/16-10/31/17

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), an interested party as defined by section 771(9) of the Act may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. For both antidumping and countervailing duty reviews, the interested party must specify the individual producers or exporters covered by an antidumping finding or an antidumping or countervailing duty order or suspension agreement for which it is requesting a review. In addition, a domestic interested party or an interested party described in section 771(9)(B) of the Act must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or exporters. If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of merchandise by an exporter (or a producer if that producer also exports merchandise from other suppliers) which was produced in more than one country of origin and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state specifically, on an order-by-order basis, which exporter(s) the request is intended to cover.

Note that, for any party the Department was unable to locate in

prior segments, the Department will not accept a request for an administrative review of that party absent new information as to the party's location. Moreover, if the interested party who files a request for review is unable to locate the producer or exporter for which it requested the review, the interested party must provide an explanation of the attempts it made to locate the producer or exporter at the same time it files its request for review, in order for the Secretary to determine if the interested party's attempts were reasonable, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.303(f)(3)(ii).

As explained in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings:
Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68
FR 23954 (May 6, 2003), and NonMarket Economy Antidumping
Proceedings: Assessment of
Antidumping Duties, 76 FR 65694
(October 24, 2011), the Department
clarified its practice with respect to the
collection of final antidumping duties
on imports of merchandise where
intermediate firms are involved. The
public should be aware of this
clarification in determining whether to
request an administrative review of

merchandise subject to antidumping findings and orders.²

The Department no longer considers the non-market economy (NME) entity as an exporter conditionally subject to an antidumping duty administrative reviews.3 Accordingly, the NME entity will not be under review unless the Department specifically receives a request for, or self-initiates, a review of the NME entity.4 In administrative reviews of antidumping duty orders on merchandise from NME countries where a review of the NME entity has not been initiated, but where an individual exporter for which a review was initiated does not qualify for a separate rate, the Department will issue a final decision indicating that the company in question is part of the NME entity.

² See also the Enforcement and Compliance Web site at http://trade.gov/enforcement/.

³ See Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings, 78 FR 65963 (November 4, 2013).

⁴In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1), parties should specify that they are requesting a review of entries from exporters comprising the entity, and to the extent possible, include the names of such exporters in their request.

However, in that situation, because no review of the NME entity was conducted, the NME entity's entries were not subject to the review and the rate for the NME entity is not subject to change as a result of that review (although the rate for the individual exporter may change as a function of the finding that the exporter is part of the NME entity).

Following initiation of an antidumping administrative review when there is no review requested of the NME entity, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate entries for all exporters not named in the initiation notice, including those that were suspended at the NME entity rate.

All requests must be filed electronically in Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS) on Enforcement and Compliance's ACCESS Web site at http://access.trade.gov.5 Further, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f)(l)(i), a copy of each request must be served on the petitioner and each exporter or producer specified in the request.

The Department will publish in the Federal Register a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation" for requests received by the last day of November 2017. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of November 2017, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures "gap" period of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the period of review.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community. Dated: October 17, 2017.

James Maeder,

Senior Director perfoming the duties of Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations. [FR Doc. 2017–23761 Filed 10–31–17; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-423-813, A-301-803, A-549-833]

Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From Belgium, Colombia, and Thailand: Postponement of Preliminary Determinations of Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigations

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Applicable November 1, 2017. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Stolz at (202) 482–4474 (Belgium); Stephanie Moore at (202) 482–3692 (Colombia); and Joy Zhang at (202) 482–1168 (Thailand), AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 22, 2017, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated less-than-fair- value (LTFV) investigations of imports of citric acid and certain citrate salts (citric acid) from Belgium, Colombia, and Thailand.¹ Currently, the preliminary determinations are due no later than November 9, 2017.

Section 733(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue the preliminary determination in a LTFV investigation within 140 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation. However, section 733(c)(1)) of the Act permits the Department to postpone the preliminary determination until no later than 190 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation if: (A) The petitioner makes a timely request for a postponement; or (B) the Department concludes that the parties concerned are cooperating, that the investigation is extraordinarily complicated, and that additional time is necessary to make a preliminary

determination. Under 19 CFR 351.205(e), the petitioner must submit a request for postponement 25 days or more before the scheduled date of the preliminary determination and must state the reasons for the request. The Department will grant the request unless it finds compelling reasons to deny the request. See 19 CFR 351.205(e).

On October 11, 2017, Archer Daniels Midland Company (ADM); Cargill Incorporated (Cargill); and Tate & Lyle Ingredients America LLC (Tate & Lyle) (collectively, the petitioners) submitted timely requests pursuant to section 703(c)(1)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(e) to postpone the preliminary determinations in these LTFV investigations.2 The petitioners stated that they request postponement because the Department is still gathering data and questionnaire responses from the foreign producers in these investigations, and additional time is necessary for the Department and interested parties to fully and properly analyze all questionnaire responses.

For the reasons stated above and because there are no compelling reasons to deny the request, the Department, in accordance with section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act, is postponing the deadline for the preliminary determinations by 50 days (i.e., 190 days after the date on which these investigations were initiated). As a result, the Department will issue its preliminary determinations no later than December 29, 2017. In accordance with section 735(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(1), the deadline for the final determinations of these investigations will continue to be 75 days after the date of publication of the preliminary determinations, unless postponed at a later date.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: October 20, 2017.

Carole Showers,

Executive Director, Office of Policy performing the duties of the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance. [FR Doc. 2017–23753 Filed 10–31–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

⁵ See Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Electronic Filing Procedures; Administrative Protective Order Procedures, 76 FR 39263 (July 6, 2011).

¹ See Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From Belgium, Colombia, and Thailand: Initiation of Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigations, 82 FR 29828 (June 30, 2017).

² See letters from the petitioners, "Antidumping Duty Investigations of Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From Belgium, Colombia and Thailand: Petitioners' Request for Postponement of Preliminary Determinations," dated October 11, 2017.