Housing and Community Development Act of 1992 and will not accept additional applications at this time. HUD may in the future proceed with the program or a revised program; however, HUD would, at a minimum, have to determine the following before proceeding:

(a) If the Initiative is still needed to provide debt financing to small, affordable properties, or whether the availability of long-term, low-cost permanent financing to support small properties has increased substantially since the Initiative was first proposed, specifically through new and expanded federally backed financing programs offered through Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac:

(b) The regulatory requirements and restrictions that would be imposed on property owners/borrowers participating in the Initiative regarding tenant rents and incomes, and whether these requirements would impose unfair and inappropriate economic burden on small property owners who provide affordable market rents but do not otherwise receive a government funded housing subsidy;

(c) Whether existing Federal Housing Administration multifamily lending programs, including the newly expanded Tax Credit Pilot Program which supports new construction and substantial rehabilitation projects, adequately serve the debt financing needs of small properties that support affordable rental housing; and,

(d) If the provisions of the Initiative as published adequately account for HUD's share of risk assumed for loans originated under the Initiative, or need to be modified in a revised Initiative notice.

Dated: April 24, 2017.

Genger Charles,

General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing.

[FR Doc. 2017–08721 Filed 4–28–17; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

[Fund 17XD4523WK; Functional Area: DWK000000.0000000; Funds Center: DS10100000]

Secretary's Indian Water Rights Office; Proposed New Information Collection: OMB Control Number 1094–ONEW, Indian Water Rights Settlements: Economic Analysis

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, Secretary's Indian Water Rights Office. **ACTION:** 30-day notice and request for comments. SUMMARY: The Secretary's Indian Water Rights Office (SIWRO) has submitted an information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to complete a new information collection to identify and track social and economic changes that occur as a result of the implementation of enacted Indian water rights settlements (IWRS). DATES: The OMB is required to respond to this information collection request within 60 days but may respond after 30 days. For maximum consideration, written comments should be received on or before May 31, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Please submit comments by either fax (202) 395-5806 or email (OIRA Submission@omb.eop.gov) directly to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for the Department of the Interior. Additionally, please provide a copy of your comments to Rachel Brown, U.S. Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street NW., MS 7069-MIB, Washington, DC 20240, fax 202-208-6970, or by electronic mail to Rebrown@ usbr.gov. Please mention that your comments concern the Indian Water Rights Settlements: Economic Analysis, OMB Control Number 1093-0NEW.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request a copy of the information collection request, any explanatory information and related forms, see the contact information provided in the **ADDRESSES** section above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501–3521) and OMB regulations at 5 CFR part 1320 provide that an agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Until OMB approves a collection of information, you are not obligated to respond. In order to obtain and renew an OMB control number, Federal agencies are required to seek public comment on information collection and recordkeeping activities (see 5 CFR 1320.8(d) and 1320.12(a)).

As required at 5 CFR 1320.8(d), the SIWRO published a 60-day notice in the **Federal Register** on October 17, 2016 (81 FR 71528), and the comment period ended December 16, 2016. The SIWRO received no comments. The SIWRO now requests comments on the following subjects:

1. The following are examples of the types of questions that SIWRO may use in the information collection: Was the infrastructure included in the agreement put in place; is the infrastructure functioning as expected; if water leasing is allowed for under the agreement is such leasing taking place, and with

whom; what are the perceived benefits to the tribal nations, local communities and other parties to the settlement; to what extent have economic and social benefits been realized from any infrastructure or other arrangements or agreements implemented pursuant to the settlement; are the benefits of the actions taken under the settlement expected to continue in the future; have there been any unintended consequences of the actions taken under the settlement. If commenters would like specific questions asked during the targeted interviews, SIWRO encourages that those questions be submitted as comments on this ICR.

2. Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper functioning of the SIWRO, including whether the information will have practical utility;

3. The accuracy of the SIWRO's estimate of the burden of collecting the information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

4. The quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and

5. How to minimize the information collection burden on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other forms of information technology.

Please send comments as directed under ADDRESSES and DATES. Please refer to OMB control number 1093-ONEW in your correspondence. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

The following information pertains to this request:

Title: Indian Water Rights Settlements: Economic Analysis. Form: None.

OMB Control Number: None.

Abstract: The Secretary's Indian Water Rights Office (SIWRO) is tasked with overseeing and coordinating the Federal Government's Indian water rights settlement program and is undertaking a study on the economic outcomes associated with Indian water rights settlements. The purpose of the study is to identify and track social and economic changes that occur as a result of the implementation of enacted settlements. The Office of Indian Water Rights is located within the Secretary's

Office. The Office leads, coordinates, and manages the Department's Indian water rights settlement program (109 Departmental Manual 1.3.E(2)).

Indian reserved water rights are vested property rights for which the United States has a trust responsibility, with the United States holding legal title to such water in trust for the benefit of Indian tribes. Federal policy supports the resolution of disputes regarding Indian water rights through negotiated settlements. Settlement of Indian water

rights disputes breaks down barriers and helps create conditions that improve water resources management by providing certainty as to the rights of all water users who are parties to the disputes. At a time of increasing competition for Federal funds, it is important to quantify and describe the economic impacts and net benefits of the implementation of enacted Indian water rights settlements.

Frequency: One time.

Description of Respondents: State, Local & Tribal Governments as well as some Private Sector entities.

Estimated Number of Responses Annually: 60.

Estimated Reporting and Recordkeeping "Hour" Burden Annually: 164.

Estimated Reporting and Recordkeeping "Non-Hour Cost" Burden Annually: None.

The estimated burdens are itemized in the following table:

A. Type of response	B. Number of responses	C. Hours per response	D. Total hours (column B × column C)
Interview and data sharing	60	2.73	164

Jeffrey M. Parrillo,

Department of the Interior, Departmental Information Collection Clearance Lead. [FR Doc. 2017–08747 Filed 4–28–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4334-63P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-480 and 731-TA-1188 (Review)]

High Pressure Steel Cylinders from China; Institution of Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it has instituted reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), as amended, to determine whether revocation of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on high pressure steel cylinders from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. Pursuant to the Act, interested parties are requested to respond to this notice by submitting the information specified below to the Commission.

DATES: Effective May 1, 2017. To be assured of consideration, the deadline for responses is May 31, 2017. Comments on the adequacy of responses may be filed with the Commission by July 13, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Messer (202–205–3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility

impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (https://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this proceeding may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On June 21, 2012, the Department of Commerce issued antidumping and countervailing duty orders on imports of high pressure steel cylinders from China (77 FR 37377 and 37384). The Commission is conducting reviews pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), to determine whether revocation of the orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. Provisions concerning the conduct of this proceeding may be found in the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure at 19 CFR parts 201, Subparts A and B and 19 CFR part 207, subparts A and F. The Commission will assess the adequacy of interested party responses to this notice of institution to determine whether to conduct full or expedited reviews. The Commission's determinations in any expedited reviews will be based on the facts available, which may include information provided in response to this

Definitions.—The following definitions apply to these reviews:

(1) Subject Merchandise is the class or kind of merchandise that is within the scope of the five-year reviews, as defined by the Department of Commerce.

- (2) The *Subject Country* in these reviews is China.
- (3) The *Domestic Like Product* is the domestically produced product or products which are like, or in the absence of like, most similar in characteristics and uses with, the *Subject Merchandise*. In its original determinations, the Commission defined a single *Domestic Like Product* to consist of high pressure steel cylinders coextensive with Commerce's scope.
- (4) The Domestic Industry is the U.S. producers as a whole of the Domestic Like Product, or those producers whose collective output of the Domestic Like Product constitutes a major proportion of the total domestic production of the product. In its original determinations, the Commission found a single Domestic Industry consisting of Norris Cylinder Company, the sole U.S. producer of high pressure steel cylinders.
- (5) The *Order Date* is the date that the antidumping and countervailing duty orders under review became effective. In these reviews, the *Order Date* is June 21, 2012.
- (6) An *Importer* is any person or firm engaged, either directly or through a parent company or subsidiary, in importing the *Subject Merchandise* into the United States from a foreign manufacturer or through its selling agent.

Participation in the proceeding and public service list.—Persons, including industrial users of the Subject Merchandise and, if the merchandise is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations, wishing to participate in the proceeding as parties must file an entry of appearance with the Secretary to the Commission, as provided in section 201.11(b)(4) of the