

to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by a respondent for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the companies under review will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review (except, if that rate is *de minimis*, then the cash deposit rate will be zero); (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above in the Preliminary Results of Review, including those for which the Department may determine had no shipments during the POR, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or another completed segment of this proceeding, but the manufacturer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previously completed segment of this proceeding, then the cash deposit rate will be the “all-others” rate of 15.67 percent established in the less-than-fair-value investigation.⁵ These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with

this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h) and 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: March 31, 2017.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Preliminary Finding of No Shipments
- V. Comparison to Normal Value
- VI. Product Comparisons
- VII. Discussion of Methodology
 - A. Determination of Comparison Method
 - B. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis
 - C. Date of Sale
 - D. Export Price
 - E. Normal Value
 - F. Currency Conversion
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2017–06849 Filed 4–5–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Rutgers University, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscope

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. in Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 16–005. *Applicant:* Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ 08854–8076. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, the Netherlands. *Intended Use:* See notice at 81 FR 71702–03, October 18, 2016.

Docket Number: 16–014. *Applicant:* Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011–3616. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, the Netherlands. *Intended Use:* See notice at 81 FR 71703, October 18, 2016.

Docket Number: 16–016. *Applicant:* State University of New York at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY 117964–6000. *Instrument:* Cryo-Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, the Netherlands. *Intended Use:* See notice at 81 FR 71703, October 18, 2016.

Docket Number: 16–019. *Applicant:* University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE 68588. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* Elmitec, Germany. *Intended Use:* See notice at 81 FR 89434, December 12, 2016.

Comments: None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as this instrument is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States at the time the instrument was ordered. *Reasons:* Each foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron microscope. We know of no electron microscope, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

Dated: March 31, 2017.

Gregory W. Campbell,

Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office, Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2017–06823 Filed 4–5–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–808]

Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rods From India: Final Results of the Expedited Fourth Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of this review, the Department of Commerce (the Department) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty (AD) order on certain stainless steel wire rods from India (wire rods) would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping at the margins identified in the “Final Results of Review” section of this notice.

DATES: Effective April 6, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andre Gziryan or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone:

Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003) (*Assessment Policy Notice*).

⁵ See *Antidumping Duty Order: Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Thailand*, 51 FR 8341 (March 11, 1986).

(202) 482-2201 and (202) 482-1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 1, 1993, the Department published the AD order on wire rods from India.¹ On December 1, 2016, the Department published the notice of initiation of the fourth sunset review of the AD order on wire rods,² pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

On December 13, 2016, the Department received a notice of intent to participate on behalf of Carpenter Technology Corporation, North American Stainless, and Universal Stainless & Alloy Products, Inc. (collectively, the domestic interested parties) within the 15-day period specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as manufacturers in the United States of a domestic like product for the proceeding.

On January 3, 2017, the Department received a complete substantive response to the *Notice of Initiation* from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day period, specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).³ We received no substantive responses from any respondent interested parties. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the AD order on wire rods from India.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the AD order is certain stainless steel wire rods from India, which are hot-rolled or hot-rolled annealed and/or pickled rounds, squares, octagons, hexagons or other shapes, in coils. The wire rods subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 7221.00.0005, 7221.00.0017, 7221.00.0018, 7221.00.0030, 7221.00.0045, and 7221.00.0075 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the

merchandise subject to the order is dispositive.⁴

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this sunset review, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the order is revoked, are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice.⁵ The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>, and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn>.

Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, the Department determines that revocation of the AD order on wire rods from India would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and that the margins likely to prevail would be weighted-average margins up to 48.80 percent.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to the parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(5)(ii).

¹ Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rods from India, 58 FR 63335 (December 1, 1993).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review*, 81 FR 86697 (December 1, 2016) (*Notice of Initiation*).

³ See Letter from domestic interested parties regarding "Five-Year (Sunset) Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Stainless Steel Wire Rod From India—Petitioners' Substantive Response," dated January 3, 2017.

⁴ See Memorandum from Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary Gary Taverman to Acting Assistant Secretary Ronald K. Lorentzen entitled, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rods from India," dated concurrently with this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum), at 2, for a full description of the scope of the order.

⁵ See Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Dated: March 30, 2017.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2017-06828 Filed 4-5-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[S-17-2017]

Approval of Subzone Status; Orgill, Inc.; Post Falls, Idaho

On February 9, 2017, the Executive Secretary of the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board docketed an application submitted by the Southwest Idaho Manufacturers' Alliance, grantee of FTZ 280, requesting subzone status subject to the existing activation limit of FTZ 280, on behalf of Orgill, Inc., in Post Falls, Idaho.

The application was processed in accordance with the FTZ Act and Regulations, including notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (82 FR 10742-10743, February 15, 2017). The FTZ staff examiner reviewed the application and determined that it meets the criteria for approval. Pursuant to the authority delegated to the FTZ Board Executive Secretary (15 CFR 400.36(f)), the application to establish Subzone 280B is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.13, and further subject to FTZ 280's 2,000-acre activation limit.

Dated: March 30, 2017.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2017-06831 Filed 4-5-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Application(s) for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by Pub. L. 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be postmarked on or before April 26,