transfers of the *Domestic Like Product* produced in your U.S. plant(s); and

(e) the value of (i) net sales, (ii) cost of goods sold (COGS), (iii) gross profit, (iv) selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses, and (v) operating income of the *Domestic Like Product* produced in your U.S. plant(s) (include both U.S. and export commercial sales, internal consumption, and company transfers) for your most recently completed fiscal year (identify the date on which your fiscal year ends).

(10) If you are a U.S. importer or a trade/business association of U.S. importers of the *Subject Merchandise* from the *Subject Country*, provide the following information on your firm's(s') operations on that product during calendar year 2015 (report quantity data in metric tons and value data in U.S. dollars). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) The quantity and value (landed, duty-paid but not including antidumping or countervailing duties) of U.S. imports and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. imports of *Subject Merchandise* from the *Subject Country* accounted for by your firm's(s') imports;

(b) the quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping and/or countervailing duties) of U.S. commercial shipments of *Subject Merchandise* imported from the *Subject Country*; and

(c) the quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping and/or countervailing duties) of U.S. internal consumption/company transfers of *Subject Merchandise* imported from the *Subject Country*.

(11) If you are a producer, an exporter, or a trade/business association of producers or exporters of the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Country, provide the following information on your firm's(s') operations on that product during calendar year 2015 (report quantity data in metric tons and value data in U.S. dollars, landed and duty-paid at the U.S. port but not including antidumping or countervailing duties). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total production of *Subject Merchandise* in the *Subject Country* accounted for by your firm's(s') production;

(b) Capacity (quantity) of your firm(s) to produce the *Subject Merchandise* in the *Subject Country* (*i.e.*, the level of production that your establishment(s) could reasonably have expected to attain during the year, assuming normal operating conditions (using equipment and machinery in place and ready to operate), normal operating levels (hours per week/weeks per year), time for downtime, maintenance, repair, and cleanup, and a typical or representative product mix); and

(c) the quantity and value of your firm's(s') exports to the United States of *Subject Merchandise* and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total exports to the United States of *Subject Merchandise* from the *Subject Country* accounted for by your firm's(s') exports.

(12) Identify significant changes, if any, in the supply and demand conditions or business cycle for the Domestic Like Product that have occurred in the United States or in the market for the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Country after 2009, and significant changes, if any, that are likely to occur within a reasonably foreseeable time. Supply conditions to consider include technology; production methods; development efforts; ability to increase production (including the shift of production facilities used for other products and the use, cost, or availability of major inputs into production); and factors related to the ability to shift supply among different national markets (including barriers to importation in foreign markets or changes in market demand abroad). Demand conditions to consider include end uses and applications; the existence and availability of substitute products; and the level of competition among the Domestic Like Product produced in the United States, Subject Merchandise produced in the Subject Country, and such merchandise from other countries.

(13) (Optional) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the *Domestic Like Product* and *Domestic Industry;* if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

Authority: This proceeding is being conducted under authority of Title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.61 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission. Issued: January 27, 2016.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2016–01726 Filed 1–29–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1121-0140]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Extension of a Currently Approved Collection: OJP Standard Assurances

AGENCY: Office of Justice Programs, Department of Justice. **ACTION:** 60-day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 30 days until March 2, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Maria Swineford, Office of Audit, Assessment, and Management, 810 7th Street NW., Washington, DC 20531. (Phone: 202–514–2000.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- -Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Bureau of Justice Statistics, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- -Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- —Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*,

permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* OJP Standard Assurances.

(3) Agency form number, if any, and

the applicable component of the

Department sponsoring the collection: Form number: None.

Component: Office of Justice Programs, Department of Justice.

(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:

Primary: Applicants for grants funded by the Office of Justice Programs. *Other:* None.

Abstract: The purpose of the Standard Assurances form is to obtain the assurance/certification of each applicant for OJP funding that it will comply with the various crosscutting regulatory and statutory requirements that apply to OJP grantees, and to set out in one easy-toreference document those requirements that most frequently impact OJP grantees.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: Total of 8,250 respondents estimated, at 20 minutes each.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:

The estimated total public burden associated with this information is 3,500.

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., 3E.405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: January 27, 2016.

Jerri Murray,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2016–01754 Filed 1–29–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–18–P

LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

Request for Letters of Intent To Apply for 2016 Technology Initiative Grant Funding; Correction

AGENCY: Legal Services Corporation. **ACTION:** Correction Notice.

SUMMARY: On January 20, 2016, the Legal Services Corporation (LSC)

published a notice in the **Federal Register** (81 FR 3162) titled "Request for Letters of Intent To Apply for 2015 Technology Initiative Grant Funding." The title to this notice contained a typographical error. This document corrects the notice by revising the title. **DATES:** This correction is effective

January 20, 2016

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Freedman, Senior Associate General Counsel, Legal Services Corporation, 3333 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20007; (202) 295–1500; mfreedman@lsc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The title should read "Request for Letters of Intent to Apply for 2016 Technology Initiative Grant Funding."

Dated: January 27, 2016.

Katherine Ward,

Executive Assistant to the Vice President for Legal Affairs and General Counsel. [FR Doc. 2016–01765 Filed 1–29–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7050-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–76975; File No. SR– NYSEMKT–2016–11]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE MKT LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Amending the Fees for NYSE MKT Integrated Feed

January 26, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act")² and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,³ notice is hereby given that, on January 13, 2016, NYSE MKT LLC (the "Exchange" or "NYSE MKT") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the selfregulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the fees for NYSE MKT Integrated Feed to establish a multiple data feed fee. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at *www.nyse.com*, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend the fees for NYSE MKT Integrated Feed market data product,⁴ as set forth on the NYSE MKT Equities Proprietary Market Data Fee Schedule ("Fee Schedule"). The Exchange proposes to establish the multiple data feed fee. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to establish a new monthly fee, the "Multiple Data Feed Fee," that would apply to data recipients that take a data feed for a market data product in more than two locations. Data recipients taking NYSE MKT Integrated Feed in more than two locations would be charged \$200 per additional location per product per month.⁵ No new reporting would be required.⁶

Additionally, the various fees applicable to NYSE MKT Integrated Feed, other than the Multiple Data Feed Fee, became operative on January 1,

⁶ Data vendors currently report a unique Vendor Account Number for each location at which they provide a data feed to a data recipient. The Exchange considers each Vendor Account Number a location. For example, if a data recipient has five Vendor Account Numbers, representing five locations, for the receipt of the NYSE MKT Integrated Feed product, that data recipient will pay the Multiple Data Feed fee with respect to three of the five locations.

^{1 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 15 U.S.C. 78a.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 74127 (Jan. 23, 2015), 80 FR 4856 (Jan. 29, 2015) (SR– NYSEMKT–2015–06) (Notice–NYSE MKT Integrated Feed) and 76525 (Nov. 25, 2015), 80 FR 75148 (Dec. 1, 2015) (SR–NYSEMKT–2015–95) (establishing fees for NYSE MKT Integrated Feed).

⁵ The text of footnote 5 in Exhibit 5 of this proposed rule change was previously filed under a separate filing. *See* SR–NYSEMKT–2016–03 (Proposed Rule Change to Amend the Fees for NYSE MKT OpenBook).